

UNIVERZITA KARLOVA V PRAZE

ZÁZNAM O PRŮBĚHU OBHAJOBY

DISERTAČNÍ PRÁCE

Název práce:	Forecasting regional population developments in Kazakhstan
Jazyk práce:	angličtina
Student:	Altynay Jazybayeva
Fakulta:	Přírodovědecká fakulta
Studijní program:	Demografie
Studijní obor:	-
Vedoucí / školitel:	prof. RNDr. Rychtaříková Jitka, CSc.
Oponent(i):	RNDr. Burcin Boris, Ph.D. RNDr. Fiala Tomáš, CSc.
Předseda komise:	prof. Ing. Zdeněk Pavlík, DrSc.
Členové komise:	prof. RNDr. Jitka Rychtaříková, CSc. doc. RNDr. Ludmila Fialová, CSc. RNDr. Luděk Šídlo, Ph.D. RNDr. Boris Burcin, Ph.D. RNDr. Tomáš Kučera, CSc. RNDr. Květa Kalibová, CSc. RNDr. Tomáš Fiala, CSc.
Datum obhajoby:	26.09.2012
Průběh obhajoby:	Prof. Pavlík opened the defence of A. Jazybayeva's thesis at 13:05. She introduced aims and population development in Kazakhstan and described the population projections for sixteen administrative regions and four macro-regions. The final part contained conclusions. Then Prof. Pavlík asked tutor Prof. Rychtaříková for her review. She emphasized relevance and topicality of the theme, reasonable structure of the work and independent work of A. Jazybayeva. She also mentioned a problem of plagiarism. Prof. Rychtaříková left the issue of final decision on the commission. Then Prof. Pavlík asked Dr. Burcin for his review. He mainly emphasised the problem of plagiarism. Based on specification of copied paragraphs, he gave the evidence of plagiarism to the commission. He stressed violation of the scientific ethics. He did not recommend the work for defence. Finally, Dr. Fiala was asked by Prof. Pavlík for his opinion. Dr. Fiala described the content and concluded that the work contained several copied paragraphs without proper quotations. He did not recommend the work for defence. Afterwards, A. Jazybayeva started to explain her approach to the issue of plagiarism. She told that the work should be accepted for defence and that plagiarism was no problem, because teachers have taken over ideas of other researchers without quotation too. Then Prof. Pavlík opened discussion. Dr. Burcin added that it was not just the issue of wrong quotations. A. Jazybayeva argued that only introductory parts were copied. Dr. Burcin pointed out that it did not

matter what part was copied. She answered that she had not known the rules. Considering that Dr. Kučera declared that the doctoral seminars for foreign students focused on academic writing and on questions of scientific ethics were provided repeatedly at the department and that the attendance was compulsory for all Ph.D. candidates. Furthermore, A. Jazybayeva asked why the reviews focused on the problem of plagiarism and did not emphasize her hard work done. Prof. Rychtaříková stressed that calculations have been valuable, but plagiarism has been serious problem which had to be considered. She also raised the question if errata could be the solution. Dr. Burcin answered that errata could not solve that. After the discussion A. Jazybayeva left the room. At 14:07 the commission announced the result to A. Jazybayeva.

Výsledek obhajoby:

Neprospěl

prof. Ing. Zdeněk Pavlík, DrSc.