

Opponent's report - Bachelor thesis “DEVELOPMENT OF SWIMMING IN GREECE“ student Panagiotis Dilimperakis

Bachelor thesis contains 34 pages of text, supplemented by illustrative images. In the literary sources are properly cited 40 items. In Chapter 6 - Appendix also author describes Internet resources.

Structure of the thesis is not properly selected. Bachelor thesis does not correspond either to the work of historical or literary research. Thesis is descriptive. There are mainly the results of swimming competitions. The loss of thesis is that the author does not deal with more historical context and does not represent own views. What is missing is a logical link to the various historical periods and events. As the best there is a part of the thesis, which deals with the period of ancient Greece and ancient Olympic Games.

The form of consensus is not in the numbering of pages in content and text. Chapter 3 and 3.1 is not in the text and content of the same name. The list of references is given in chapter where the author presents the semantic dictionary. Internet sources are listed separately from the list of references.

Despite the aforementioned reservations bachelor thesis gives an overall view of the development collated swimming in Greece.

Bachelor's thesis for defense I recommend.

Questions for the defense:

- 1) How would you evaluate the development of swimming in Greece?
- 2) What in your opinion was the most successful period of Greek swimming and why?

Prague, 26th April 2011

Mgr. Gabriela Břečková