

| IMESS dissertation | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Cassiopée VIENNE | | | | |
| Dissertation title: | Beyond Charlemagne's Legacy: Normative Empire and the Independence of Judiciary in Conditionality | | | | |
| Scale: 5 - excellent, 4 - good, 3 - satisfactory, 2 - poor, 1 - very poor | | | | | |
| | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| ARGUMENT: | | | | | |
| Clearly defined research question | X | | | | No clearly defined research question |
| Answers research question | X | | | | Does not answer research question |
| Well structured | X | | | | Badly structured |
| Shows theoretical awareness | | X | | | Shows no theoretical awareness |
| Conceptual clarity | | | | | Conceptual confusion |
| Empirically appropriate & robust | X | | | | Full of empirical errors |
| Logical and coherent | X | | | | Illogical and incoherent |
| Analytical | | X | | | Descriptive |
| Critical | | X | | | Uncritical |
| Shows independent thought | | X | | | Does not show independent thought |
| SOURCES & USAGE: | | | | | |
| Evidence of reading/research | | X | | | No evidence of reading/research |
| Effective use of sources/data | X | | | | Ineffective use of sources/data |
| WRITING STYLE: | | | | | |
| Clear | | X | | | Obscure |
| Good punctuation | X | | | | Poor punctuation |
| Grammatically correct | X | | | | Grammatically incorrect |
| PRESENTATION: | | | | | |
| Appropriate length | X | | | | Too long/short |
| Good referencing | X | | | | Poor/inconsistent referencing |
| Good spelling | X | | | | Poor spelling |
| Good bibliography | X | | | | Poor bibliography |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interesting link between external and internal dimension of the EU. - Thorough analysis of the Commission's documents | | | | | |
| Specific Questions for oral defence: | | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What are the limits of generalisations of conclusions based on cases of Romania, Bulgaria and Croatia, as debated in the thesis? - How will position of Croatia change after its expected (mid-2013) accession to the EU? | | | | | |
| Deducted for late submission: | | Deducted for faulty referencing: | | Mark*: A | |
| Charles marker: | | Signed: | | Date: | |

***Mark: A = 70+; B = 65-69; C = 60-64; D = 55-59; E = 50-54; F = fail, less than 50, see Scheme of award –please, fill in this way: Charles/IMESS (e.g. Výborně/A)**

Scheme of award (assessment criteria):

| | Charles University** | IMESS |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Excellent | Výborně [1] | A |
| Very Good | Velmi dobře [2] | B |
| Good | Velmi dobře [2.5] | C |
| Satisfactory | Dobře [3] | D |
| Sufficient | Dobře [3.5] | E |
| Fail | Neprospěl [4] | F |