

Report on Bachelor / Master Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

Student:	Ivan Trpčevski
Advisor:	Doc. Ing. Pavel Mertlík, CSc.
Title of the thesis:	Supervision of the Integrated European Banking Market: Time to Rethink the Institutional Framework?

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

I find the topic of the diploma thesis very relevant for these days. Author uses up-to-date references and clearly has a good knowledge of the existing work on the regulatory issues.

The author nicely states what the aim of the thesis is and what it is NOT. Research questions are well motivated.

I find the diploma thesis to be basically a draft of a book on the supervision of the EU banking market. There is not much I could complain about. I think author should continue with his work and publish it as a textbook.

Author should rewrite the abstract. The abstract should clearly and briefly state what the contribution of his work is. The abstract in current form is general and weak. Despite that, I suggest a mark of an A (1, výborně).

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Literature</i> (max. 20 points)	19
<i>Methods</i> (max. 30 points)	23
<i>Contribution</i> (max. 30 points)	20
<i>Manuscript Form</i> (max. 20 points)	19
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points)	81
GRADE (1 – 2 – 3 – 4)	1

NAME OF THE REFEREE: PhDr. Pavel Vacek, Ph.D.

DATE OF EVALUATION: 17 June 2010

Referee Signature

EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES AND SCALE:

LITERATURE REVIEW: *The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and command of recent literature. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

METHODS: *The tools used are relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the author's level of studies. The thesis topic is comprehensively analyzed.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

CONTRIBUTION: *The author presents original ideas on the topic demonstrating critical thinking and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and empirics. There is a distinct value added of the thesis.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

MANUSCRIPT FORM: *The thesis is well structured. The student uses appropriate language and style, including academic format for graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables and disposes with a complete bibliography.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

Overall grading:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE		
81 – 100	1	= excellent	= výborně
61 – 80	2	= good	= velmi dobře
41 – 60	3	= satisfactory	= dobře
0 – 40	4	= fail	= nedoporučuji k obhajobě