

La Sapienza

Valutazione esterna tesi di dottorato Studi germanici e slavi 36° ciclo

BELIA MARTA

Evaluation form for PhD dissertation

Evaluation form

Title of the thesis

Ruzné podoby poetiky každodennosti v české literaturě od druhé poloviny 20. století do současnosti

Affiliation of the reviewer

Iva Málková, prof. PaedDr. Phd., University of Ostrava, Faculty of Arts, Department of Czech Literature and Literary Criticism. Czech Republic

Report

entered into the system

Presentation and clarity

☐ None ☐ Poor ☒ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The reviewer should be able to read the text without difficulty. This implies that the dissertation is clear and ‘user friendly’, without duplications or repetitions.

Evaluation file (optional)

File caricati:
[Belia2 angl.pdf](#)

Integration and coherence

☐ None ☒ Poor ☐ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The manuscript should present logical and rational links between different parts of the thesis.

Introduction to scientific background

☐ None ☒ Poor ☐ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The text should contain a satisfactory introduction to the scientific background which is relevant to the research, preparing the reader to the exposition of the problem.

Review of relevant literature

☐ None ☐ Poor ☒ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The candidate must have a detailed knowledge of original sources, have a thorough knowledge of the field, and understand the main theoretical and methodological issues.

Statement of research problem

☐ None ☒ Poor ☐ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

A clear statement of the research problem should be made, together with specific hypotheses, predictions, or questions which the research is designed to address.

Originality

☐ None ☒ Poor ☐ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The research must be the candidate's own work. The degree of independence may vary according to the research topic.

Contribution to knowledge and scientific relevance

☐ None ☐ Poor ☒ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The dissertation should be substantial enough to be able to form the basis of two articles on refereed journal, a book or research monograph.

Mastery of the English language

☐ None ☐ Poor ☒ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent

The candidate must be proficient in written English and show mastery of appropriate scientific/technical language.

A major goal of the review process is to evaluate if the present version of the thesis is:

- 1) adequate as is
- 2) require minor revision
- 3) require major revision

for admission of the candidate to the defense of the work in front of a national evaluation board.

☒ Accept as is ☐ Minor revision ☐ Major revision

Date: 20/3/2025

Reviewer: Málková Iva