

SUPERVISOR'S ASSESSMENT OF THE THESIS

Supervisor:

PhDr. Jan Carboch, PhD.

Student:

Filippos Ioannis Batsalias

Title:

Rally Pace in Tennis Practice

Aim:

The aim of this thesis is to examine the rally pace as well as other performance variables amongst advanced skill-level recreational tennis players.

Formal Processing of the Thesis:

	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Selection and definition of the topic, originality		X		
Degree of fulfillment of the thesis objective		X		
Logical structure and balance of chapters		X		
Quality of work with literature, including citation standards		X		
Formatting of the thesis (text, graphs, images, tables)		X		
Stylistic level of the text		X		

Criteria for Assessment of the Theoretical Part of the Thesis:

	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Understanding of the topic and orientation in the subject		X		
Analysis and interpretation of the literature review		X		
Application of the literature review results to the experimental part			X	

Criteria for Assessment of the Experimental Part of the Thesis:

	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Research questions, hypotheses - relevance and quality of definition				X
<i>None.</i>				
Research sample - adequacy of selection			X	
Methodology - methods used and their quality		X		
Results - presentation and interpretation	X			
Statistical processing and data analysis	X			
Discussion - interpretation of results in relation to current knowledge		X		
Conclusion - level of evaluation of the work		X		

Practical Application of the Results of the Thesis:

Above average	Average	Below average
---------------	---------	---------------

Additional Comments, Suggestions, Questions:

This thesis focuses on game performance indicators in tennis practice. Overall, it is well-written and demonstrates very good academic English. It is unclear whether AI has been used, as this is difficult to assess. The abstract is not structured. The theory section lacks some relevant studies. The aim section is too long; most of it belongs in the methods section. The section containing the research question or hypothesis is missing. Limitation section is missing. The conclusion is overly lengthy. There are some mistakes in the list of references. Overall, collaborating with the student on this thesis was very difficult. Despite the student consulting me many times, I had to repeat myself a lot of times.

How would you compare your results with the values of rally pace from the grand slam tournaments, that were published in previous studies?

What were the study limitations?

Conclusion of the Assessment:

The thesis meets the requirements for this type of work.

Recommendation for thesis defense:

yes	Yes with reservation	no
-----	----------------------	----

Proposed grade:

2

In Prague: 18.12.2024

PhDr. Jan Carboch, Ph.D.

signature