



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Paul Christian Radloff

Title: The 'new right' The English Defence League and PEGIDA

Programme/year: MISS / 2017

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/~~external assessor~~): Jan Charvát

Criteria	Definition	Maximm	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	8
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	20
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	30
<i>Total</i>		80	58
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	8
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	18
TOTAL		100	76



Evaluation

Major criteria:

It is the second attempt of the author to defend his work. Author revised his thesis as was recommended in the assessments. The work added chapters on social movements and the concept of the New Right. Section of methodology also expanded; although this could be part of the work still undoubtedly improve. Overall, the work gained a deeper anchoring. The main theme of submitted thesis is well selected as well as research question (comparing similarities and differences between the EDL and organizations Pegida). Author conducted a literature review, which is quite adequate (of course I still miss actual work of Prof. Pilkington on the issue of EDL “Loud and Proud”, especially because it is free to download on the Internet). Author adequately describes the development of both EDL and Pegida subsequently. Descriptive passages are relatively short and meaningfully included. Author did not only describing, but he already shows motivation and structure of the supporters of the two movements. The body of work is relatively clear, logically structured and point to answer the research question. Compared to the first version was expanded and adapted and conclusion of the work, which I evaluate positively.

Minor criteria:

Mater thesis is based on a relatively corresponding set of resources. But I would still recommend modifying the list of literature and dividing it into original sources, specialized texts and journalistic articles. The work is written stylistically appropriate, formal criteria are accomplished.

Overall evaluation:

Author revised his master's thesis according to the recommendations of the opponent and supervisor.

Suggested grade: Very Good (2)

Signature: