

**BACHELOR'S THESIS EXAMINER REPORT**  
*PPE – Bachelor's in Politics, Philosophy and Economics*  
*Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University*

<b>Thesis title:</b>	The Relationship Between White Guilt and Reparation: South Africa and the TRC
<b>Student's name:</b>	<b>Jami Pick</b>
<b>Referee's name:</b>	<b>Bohumil Doboš</b>

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
<b>Major Criteria</b>			
	Contribution and argument (quality of research and analysis, originality)	<b>50</b>	47
	Research question (definition of objectives, plausibility of hypotheses)	<b>15</b>	11
	Theoretical framework (methods relevant to the research question)	<b>15</b>	13
<i>Total</i>		<b>80</b>	71
<b>Minor Criteria</b>			
	Sources, literature	<b>10</b>	10
	Presentation (language, style, cohesion)	<b>5</b>	5
	Manuscript form (structure, logical coherence, layout, tables, figures)	<b>5</b>	5
<i>Total</i>		<b>20</b>	20
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>	91

**Plagiarism-check (URKUND) match score:**

There is no sign of plagiarism.

**Reviewer's commentary according to the above criteria:**

The presented thesis deals with a crucial topic of the South African politics and society. By returning to the shortcomings of the reconciliation process it highlights some crucial issues with the progress made in this dimension since 1994. To do so it revisits the topic of guilt and how it was reflected throughout the truth and reconciliation process. It works with relevant documents analysing them through suitable methodology and placing into a relevant research context. My only major comment is that the thesis lacks a formal research question which would help to better guide the research and provide clearer conclusions. Otherwise, the thesis presents a very original text and highlights the capacity of the author to undertake original research. I haven't noticed any formal issues with the presented work.

**Proposed grade (A-B-C-D-E-F): A**

**Suggested questions for the defence are:**

**I recommend the thesis for final defence.**

---

***Referee Signature***

Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Quality standard
91 – 100	<b>A</b>	= outstanding (high honor)
81 – 90	<b>B</b>	= superior (honor)
71 – 80	<b>C</b>	= good
61 – 70	<b>D</b>	= satisfactory
51 – 60	<b>E</b>	= low pass at a margin of failure
0 – 50	<b>F</b>	= failing. The thesis is not recommended for defence.