

La Sapienza

Valutazione tesi 35 e 36 ciclo

**PULIMANTI ALESSANDRO**

## Evaluation form for PhD dissertation

### Evaluation form

Title of the thesis

(de.): "Elemente der romanischen Tradition im Werk Peter Handkes: Traum, Mystik und Eros"; (eng.): "Elements of the Romance Tradition in Peter Handke's Works: Dream, Eros and Mysticism"

Affiliation of the reviewer

Daniela Nelva, Università degli Studi di Torino

Report

The candidate demonstrates a convincing ability to reconstruct the state of art and the scientific background in a historical-critical perspective and moving from them he develops a convincing textual analysis, which reveals many traits of originality. The writing is clear, and the topics are exposed in a coherently way also in relation to the organization both of the individual chapters and the text as a whole. The repetitions of words or concepts don't compromise easy reading.

Confidential report (it will not be shown to the candidate)

The candidate has improved both the critical-methodological approach and the coherence of the arguments.

Evaluation file (optional)

Presentation and clarity

None    Poor    Average    Good    Excellent

The reviewer should be able to read the text without difficulty. This implies that the dissertation is clear and 'user friendly', without duplications or repetitions.

### Integration and coherence

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The manuscript should present logical and rational links between different parts of the thesis.

### Introduction to scientific background

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The text should contain a satisfactory introduction to the scientific background which is relevant to the research, preparing the reader to the exposition of the problem.

### Review of relevant literature

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The candidate must have a detailed knowledge of original sources, have a thorough knowledge of the field, and understand the main theoretical and methodological issues.

### Statement of research problem

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

A clear statement of the research problem should be made, together with specific hypotheses, predictions, or questions which the research is designed to address.

### Originality

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The research must be the candidate's own work. The degree of independence may vary

according to the research topic.

Contribution to knowledge and scientific relevance

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The dissertation should be substantial enough to be able to form the basis of two articles on refereed journal, a book or research monograph.

Mastery of the English language

None  Poor  Average  Good  Excellent

The candidate must be proficient in written English and show mastery of appropriate scientific/technical language.

A major goal of the review process is to evaluate if the present version of the thesis is:

- 1) adequate as is
- 2) require minor revision
- 3) require major revision

for admission of the candidate to the defense of the work in front of a national evaluation board.

Accept as is  Minor revision  Major revision

Date: 11/3/2023

Reviewer: Nelva Daniela