



Report on the part of the final state examination Record of the thesis defence

Academic year: 2022/2023

Student's name and surname: Cassandra van Diemen
Student's ID: 26675445

Type of the study programme: Master's (post-Bachelor)
Study programme: International Master in Security, Intelligence and Strategic Studies (IMSIS)

Study ID: 722547

Title of the thesis: Farmers, Framing, and the Far Right: A Content Analysis of Far Right Framing in the case of the Dutch 'Nitrogen Crisis'

Thesis department: Department of Security Studies (23-KBS)

Language of the thesis: English
Language of defence: English

Advisor: prof. PhDr. Emil Aslan, Ph.D.
Date of defence: 22.09.2023 **Venue of defence:** Praha
Attempt: regular

Course of defence: The student introduced the topic of her dissertation, situated the issue in a real-world context and helped explain the motivation. Following that, the student presented her research question and detailed how she proceeded to answer it within the scope of three case studies. The student made use of mixed-methods approach, which she described in some detail, relating the methodology to her work. Next, the student demonstrated her analytical process, explaining her code and theme emergence. Finally, the student presented three conclusions she drew from her work as well as related her findings to a wider theoretical-empirical context, suggested how it was relevant policy-wise, and outlined future research directions. Following that, the student presented and reflected the reviews. She pinpointed shortcomings related to her literature review but made clear how her work actually addressed the alleged issues. The student also recognized that her argumentation would have benefitted from drawing linkages to relevant research. The committee praised the defense, the work, and suggested the piece was published. The committee also asked about possible methodological challenges and ethical issues as well as details related to the content of the work (the meaning of anti-institutional). The student answered both questions to the satisfaction of the committee.

Result of defence:	excellent (A)	
Chair of the board:	prof. PhDr. Emil Aslan, Ph.D. (present)
Committee members:	Georgios Glouftsios (present)
	Marcin Kaczmarski, Dr. (present)