









IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Student Matriculation No.	Glasgow 2679272 DCU 21111049 Charles 45888844	
Dissertation Title	Beyond Gender Norms and Role Expectations: Revising Counterterrorism Strategies in Iraq and Jordan	

Word Count Penalty (1-15% over/under = 1gr point; 15-20% over/under = 2 gr points; 20-25% over/under = 3 gr points; more than 25% over/under = 0 fail)					
Word Count: 21306	Suggested Penalty: no penalty				

JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)

Final Agreed Mark:	A3 [20]		

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK	
Assessment Criteria	Rating
A. Structure and Development of Answer	
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent an	d original manner
Originality of topic	Excellent
Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified	Excellent
Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work	Very Good
Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions	Excellent
Application of theory and/or concepts	Very Good
B. Use of Source Material	
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner	
Evidence of reading and review of published literature	Very Good
Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument	Excellent
Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence	Very Good
Accuracy of factual data	Excellent
C. Academic Style	
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner	
Appropriate formal and clear writing style	Excellent
Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation	Excellent
Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)	Excellent
Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?	Yes
Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)	Not required
Appropriate word count	No











IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

Reviewer 1

The topic, little researched before, is relevant in the context of current preoccupation to respond to the challenge posed by female terrorism. The findings cover an important gap in the literature by dismantling gendered stereotypes and revealing women's involvement in a wide-ranging terrorist activity beyond martyrdom. The cases of Iraq and Jordan have been well chosen as both countries developed important tools to fight against terrorism and in both, the presence of female terrorism is significant.

The Introduction is well written, pointing out the rationale of this research in a logical manner. The literature review covers a wide range of relevant sources on gender and terrorism up until the latest findings, in order to address terrorism, gendered norms, misconceptions, women's involvement in Jihadist terrorist organisations and their impact upon counterterrorist policies, and to provide a theoretical framework for the dissertation. I appreciate your effort to avoid bias, comparing the literature authored by Western and Arab Muslim scholars, combined with first-hand accounts and official documents to explain women's role in society, generally, and in Jihad particularly. The methodology, detailed in the following chapter, is adequate to the purpose of your investigation and well explained. The in-depth analysis of various sources pertinently portrays the situation in regard to female terrorism and counterterrorist policies, bringing to light new and significant aspects. The only weakness of this research is the absence of complementary methods such as interviews that would have added value to your investigation. I appreciate, however, that you mentioned the limitations of your research and convincingly explained the reasons of these limitations.

Overall, I appreciate your effort to explore the topic and I think that you did an excellent work with limited resources. Moreover, your research has the potential to open the door to further investigations in a field which will remain extremely relevant for years to come. Well done! *Reviewer 2*

The dissertation is generally well-written and well-referenced. Overall, the student has identified a gap in the existing scholarship and has addressed it effectively. The literature review demonstrates a good level of engagement with the existing literature, albeit rather uncritically at times. Moreover, some claims are somewhat over-stated. For instance, given the significant number of works that have been published on gender and (counter-)terrorism, it is not entirely convincing to claim as the author does that '[scholars] have traditionally overlooked gender as a variable in researching terrorism' (p. 13). Likewise, the presentation of Critical Terrorism Studies is - ironically - uncritical. It would have stregthened the dissertation to engage with the literature that has reflected on what exactly is new or critical in Critical Terrorism Studies. One would have expected to see a separate chapter presenting a theoretical or analytical framework. Some conceptual considerations are offered, but those are quite limited and it is unclear why they are in the same chapter as the literature review. The following chapter presents the methodology in a clear and convincing manner, although some elements would actually have better fitted into a theoretical/analytical framework chapter. The author notably mentions undertaking selfreflexivity exercises. It would have been interesting to be able to find out more about those, given how critical the author is of what they perceive to be biases in the existing scholarship. The case studies are well-chosen and present insightful findings. The final chapter presents clear and nuanced conclusions, although the broader implications of the study could have been stated more strongly and further unpacked, especially as there were still words available in the wordcount for that purpose.











IMSISS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet