



Universiteit
Leiden

Thesis evaluation Lea Siebel

Student details:

Name: Lea Siebel

Studentnr: 2821230

E-mail: l.m.p.i.siebel@umail.leidenuniv.nl

Programme details

Programme: European Politics and Society

Specialisation:

EC: 30

Evaluators:

First: A.I. Richard

E-mail: a.i.richard@hum.leidenuniv.nl

Second: Y. Karagiannis

E-mail: ykaragiannis@ibei.org

Thesis details:

Title: Between theory and practice: The African Peace Facility and the securitization of EU development policy

Is the thesis in your assessment free of plagiarism?

Yes to my knowledge the thesis is free of plagiarism

turnit in ok

Can the thesis be made publicly available in the Leiden University Repository?

by A.I. Richard: it can be made public through the repository.

Summary assessment/comments

Very interesting thesis based on a huge amount of work. presented in a clear manner.

Criteria

Knowledge and insight

The thesis addresses a very timely topic, the security-development nexus and examines this in the context of the African Peace Facility - and to a certain extent its successor, the European Peace Facility. The thesis is very well embedded in the literature and academic debates and identifies a clear gap in the literature.

The research question for some reason does not quite do the thesis justice though.

Assessment: good

Weighing: n/a

Application knowledge and insight

The secondary sources used are very extensive and are very well dealt with. The thesis is also based on an extensive primary source base. The methodology section however would have benefitted from more detail about how all this material is operationalised. This is now left rather vague.

Assessment: (more than) satisfactory

Weighing: n/a

Reaching conclusions

The conclusions follow logically from the materials presented. the thesis shows how the interaction between security and development played out and indicated how this might develop in future/might be researched in future.

Assessment: good

Weighing: n/a

Communication

Well written, well structured and annotated.

Assessment: good

Weighing: n/a

Learning skills

The student worked independently, was communicative and repsonsive to feedback.

Assessment: very good

Weighing: n/a

Formal requirements

ok

Final assessment

On 17-06-2022 this thesis is graded with a 8

Signatures

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Richard". The lettering is cursive and fluid, with a large, rounded 'R' at the beginning.

A.I. Richard