

IMSIS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Student Matriculation No.	Glasgow 2409310 DCU 18114482 Charles 55886263
Dissertation Title	State of the field: the concept of nativism in far-right ideology

INDIVIDUAL INSTITUTION GRADING

Reviewer 1 Initial Grade Select from drop down list	Reviewer 2 Initial Grade Select from drop down list	Late Submission Penalty Select from drop down list
Word Count Penalty (1-15% over/under = 1gr point; 15-20% over/under = 2 gr points; 20-25% over/under = 3 gr points; more than 25% over/under = 0 fail)		
Word Count: 21625 Suggested Penalty: Select from drop down list		

JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)

Final Agreed Mark. (Following correspondence reviewers should list the agreed final internal grade taking before and after any penalties to be applied).

Before Penalty: A2 [21] **After Penalty:** Select from drop down list

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK

Assessment Criteria	Rating
A. Structure and Development of Answer	
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent and original manner	
• Originality of topic	Excellent
• Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified	Excellent
• Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work	Excellent
• Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions	Excellent
• Application of theory and/or concepts	Excellent
B. Use of Source Material	
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner	
• Evidence of reading and review of published literature	Excellent
• Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument	Excellent
• Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence	Excellent
• Accuracy of factual data	Excellent
C. Academic Style	
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner	
• Appropriate formal and clear writing style	Excellent
• Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation	Very Good
• Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)	Excellent
• Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?	Yes
• Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)	Not required
• Appropriate word count	Yes

IMSIS Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

Reviewer 1

This thesis sets out to give a comprehensive overview of nativism and a concept and argues cogently for the importance of such a concept. It clearly distinguishes between nativism and populism, the distinction between or even relationship between nativism and nationalism is slightly less clearly drawn, though the key appears to be the commitment to a core cultural community that cannot be entirely reduced to commitment to institutions i.e. you can have a civic nationalism but not a civic nativism. The study gives an excellent overview of the state of the art of the literature on nativism and links this to some of the most important debates in both contemporary academia and society. Perhaps though (following Brubaker) we shouldn't be asking what nativism is but rather what it does!

Reviewer 2

This is an impressive effort to disentangle the concept of nativism. The dissertation provides a comprehensive conceptual analysis of nativism phenomenon. Comparisons of nativism concept with other overlapping concepts such as populism were very useful. The student has offered a praise-worthy and meticulously detailed deconstruction of the nativism term, which is very time-relevant, as this concept begins to appear quite frequently in the context of security debates or as part of security issues. I believe that efforts to analyse nativism beyond Western European and North American contexts are also a welcome addition to an already comprehensive analysis conducted in this dissertation.