#### **CHARLES UNIVERSITY**

## **Faculty of Social Sciences**

## **Institute of Communication Studies and Journalism**

#### **MA THESIS REVIEW**

NOTE: Only the grey fields should be filled out!									
Review type (choose one):  Review by thesis supervisor  Review by opponent									
Thesis author:  Surname and given name: Joshi Kirti  Thesis title: Journalistic Branding Behaviour on Social Media: Do Indian political journalists create a brand online?  Reviewer:  Surname and given name: Macková Veronika Affiliation: ICSS FSS CU									
1. RE	<u>LATIONSHIP B</u>	ETWEEN RES			SIS (mark one box				
		Conforms to	Changes are well	Changes are	Changes are not	Does not			
		approved	explained and	explained but are	explained and are	conform to			
		research	appropriate	inappropriate	inappropriate	approved			
		proposal				research proposal			
1.1	Research								
	objective(s)								
1.2	Methodology	$\boxtimes$							
1.3	Thesis structure	$\boxtimes$							
COMMENTARY (description of the relationship between the research proposal and the thesis. If there are problems, please be specific):									
2. EVALUATION OF THE THESIS CONTENT Use letters A – B – C – D – E – F (A=best, F= failed)									
		`	,			Grade			
2.1	Quality and appr	opriateness of th	ne theoretical fram	nework		В			
2.2	Ability to critically evaluate and apply the literature					A			
2.3	Quality and soun					A			
2.4	Ability to select the appropriate methods and to use them correctly								
2.5									
	2.6 Thesis originality and its contribution to academic knowledge production								
	1113010 Originanty	,	and to deductiffe	mis wieuge produ		A			

# COMMENTARY (description of thesis content and the main problems):

The theoretical framework of the presented thesis is of high quality, and the author works with relevant sources appropriate to this topic. The research questions are well chosen, and the author works well with them. Kirti Joshi also uses literature in the analytical part and thus bases her findings on academic articles and books, which is exactly what we expect from a thesis. The author's conclusions of her thesis are supported by literature and interesting.

## 3. EVALUATION OF THE THESIS FORM

Use letters A - B - C - D - E - F (A=best, F= failed)

		Grade
3.1	Quality of the structure	A
3.2	Quality of the argumentation	A
3.3	Appropriate use of academic terminology	A

3.4	Quality, quantity and appropriateness of the citations (both in the theory part and in the	A					
	empirical part)						
3.5	Conformity to quotation standards (*)	A					
3.6	Use of an academic writing style, and correct use of language (both grammar and spelling)	A					
3.6	Quality of the textual lay-outing and appendices	В					
(*) in	case the text contains quotations without references, the grade is F; in case the text contains p	lagiarised					
parts,	do not recommend the thesis for defence and suggest disciplinary action against the author in	stead.					
	COMMENTARY (description of thesis form and the main problems):						
	The chapters build on each other, and the author interprets the results of her research very clearly. I consider						
	the weaker side of the thesis to be the textual lay-outing. The author could improve the visual aspect of the						
	s. Some of the main headings are not in the same places (not aligned precisely in the middle),	and, e.g.					
the t	able on page 42 should have a smaller font so that individual letters do not "run away".						
4. OV	ERAL EVALUATION (provide a summarizing list of the thesis's strengths and weaknesses	).					
	evident that the author is familiar with the topic, which is a benefit of the whole thesis.						
	a perfect job in her research, so I suggest a B grade.						
	<u> </u>						
5. QU	ESTIONS OR TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED DURING THE THESIS DEFENSE:						
5.1	Can you please explain how you chose the research sample for your thesis? You described	the sampling					
	this way: "First, every journalist has to work in one of the top ten most watched broadcast	channels or					
	news websites (with high circulation) in India. Second, the selected journalists cover politi-	cal news for					
	their news organization." But there were certainly more journalists, so how did you choose	them?					
5.2	I think you follow other journalists outside India on Twitter. Is there anything specific about	ut India					
	compared to other countries regarding how journalists present themselves on social media?	•					
5.3							
5.4							
6. AN	TIPLAGIARISM CHECK						
TI	ne reviewer is familiar with the thesis' antiplagiarism system score.						
If the	score is above 5%, please evaluate and indicate problems:						
6.1	1						
7. SU	GGESTED GRADE OF THE THESIS AS A WHOLE (choose one or two)						
$\mathbf{A}$	excellent						
В	very good (above average but with some weaknesses)						
$\mathbf{C}$	good (average with some important weaknesses)						
D	satisfactory (below average with significant weaknesses)						
E	marginal pass (meeting minimal requirements)						
$\mathbf{F}$	not recommended for defence						
If the	mark is an "F", please provide your reasons for not recommending the thesis for defend	e:					
ъ							
Date:	Signature:						
A finalised review should be printed, signed and submitted in two copies to the secretary of the Department of							

A finalised review should be printed, signed and submitted in two copies to the secretary of the Department of Media Studies. The electronic version of the review should be converted into a PDF and uploaded to SIS, or sent to the Department of Media Studies secretary who will upload it to SIS on the reviewer's behalf.

Do not upload PDFs with a scanned signature, the review uploaded to SIS must be without signature.