



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Bc. Anna Fošumpaurová

Title: Security Risks for Critical Infrastructure in the Water Management Sector

Programme/year: BS, 21/23

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/external assessor): Vit Stritecky

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	10
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	34
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	40
<i>Total</i>		80	74
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	20
TOTAL		100	94



Evaluation

Major criteria:

This thesis deals with a unique topic of the water management systems safety and security and does so using a disciplinary unusual methodological toolbox. The analysis represents a new approach to the examination of critical infrastructure, reaching beyond the traditional security studies treatment.

The key challenge of the thesis was clearly its contextualization within security studies. This issue was solved exceptionally well by relating it to the European policy on critical infrastructure protection and its demands on evaluation and assessment.

Minor criteria:

No issues when it comes to minor criteria, everything is in inline.

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

Overall evaluation:

The thesis is a highly unusual and novel contribution to how security studies could examine the issue of critical infrastructure protection. The most valuable part of the thesis is its demonstration of some of the state-of-the-art simulation-based methods for the water management systems safety and security evaluations.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade: A (94)

Signature