



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Bc. Anna Fošumpaurová

Title: Security Risks for Critical Infrastructure in the Water Management Sector

Programme/year: BS, 21/23

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/~~external assessor~~): Petr Spelda

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	10
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	25
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	40
<i>Total</i>		80	75
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	20
TOTAL		100	95



Evaluation

Major criteria:

The dissertation intends to evaluate some of the state-of-the-art methods for assessing the reliability and robustness of water management systems. First, it needs to be emphasized that the work relies on a deep understating of (i) the water management sector as well as (ii) on the author's ability to use the selected methods to draw rigorous, correct and non-trivial conclusions regarding the Czech case.

Although the topic could be seen as rather remote to the disciplinary canon by some, the dissertation is well embedded in the security studies literature on critical infrastructure protection, which makes it a relevant and highly appreciated contribution to the topic.

Minor criteria:

All minor criteria are met in full.

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.

Overall evaluation:

Overall, the dissertation offers a methodologically innovative (from the disciplinary point of view) and rigorous look on one of the centre issues in infrastructure protection area and reaches highly relevant conclusions applicable in the Czech context.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade: A (95)

Signature