



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Dóra Béres

Title: Economic and social impacts of migration: The case of the United Kingdom

Programme/year: BS, 21/22

Author of Evaluation (~~supervisor~~/external assessor): Prof. Vit Stritecky

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	5
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	17
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	20
<i>Total</i>		80	42
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	5
	Style	5	2
	Formal requirements	5	3
<i>Total</i>		20	10
TOTAL		100	52



Evaluation

Major criteria:

This is a re-submitted dissertation. I can confirm that Dóra has re-worked the thesis substantially, including a shift of the overall focus. Even if the paper benefited from the changes, it still suffers from several deficiencies that need to be mentioned.

1. I am missing a security dimension in the entire paper, though I understand it is intuitively present. I would still appreciate a more explicit connection to the discipline via the existing literature.

2. The research is initiated by two hypotheses suggesting two causal processes related to the rise of immigration to the UK and rise of anti-immigration moods. Unfortunately, these claims remain rather unsubstantiated, since the theoretical framework largely misses the suggested causal relations.

The overall failure of the research design is, however, mitigated by a solid discussion of the related issues. The paper relatively effectively scrutinizes the discussion and data on the causes of migration, even if it would be advisable to open the discussion with theoretical perspectives and then illustrate the theoretical points empirically. Further, the dissertation captures the trends of migration to Europe. Here, the students rely on credible sources and coherently covers the issue.

Finally, the case study is also carefully based on the primary data. The parts capturing the trends are rather convincing, while the discussion of the consequences remains unsubstantiated and sketchy.

Minor criteria:

All minor criteria are generally satisfied. There are minor formatting and stylistic issues. The paper is also rather scarce on the disciplinary referencing.

Based on the anti-plagiarism software checks, it is formally confirmed that the submitted thesis is original and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, does not, in an ethically unacceptable manner, draw from the works of other authors.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Overall evaluation:

The paper suffers from several problems associated with research designs. But reflecting the fact that it is a re-submission, the paper has been essentially re-worked, and there are some analytically relevant parts I can recommend it for defence.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade: E

Signature