

## **Abstract**

The main purpose of this Diploma thesis is to determine the position of High Public Prosecutor's office within the Public Prosecutor's office system, especially considering its many times proposed abolition. The thesis deals with historical development of Public Prosecution in the Czech lands that should determine if High Public Prosecutor's office is considered as new institute or if it was part of the system for a long time. The thesis also deals with constitutional regulation of Public Prosecution and statutory regulation of High Public Prosecutor's office in Act on Public Prosecution's office, Code of Criminal Procedure and in regulation no. 283/1993, on Rules of Procedure of Public Prosecutor's office. The thesis also mentions Agreement on the selection and career advancement of Public Prosecutors closed between Chief Public Prosecutors and Ministry of Justice and several General Instructions of the Prosecutors General that specify statutory regulation of High Public Prosecutor's office. The Diploma thesis also describes relationships within the Public Prosecutor's office system including the institute of supervision and internal organization of High Public Prosecutor's office, especially Department of serious economic and financial crime. The thesis is also focused on competence of High Public Prosecutor's office in criminal proceedings, particularly on its special jurisdiction according to section 15 of regulation on Rules of Procedure of Public Prosecutor's office, both during supervision in preparatory criminal proceedings and in trial proceedings (both main trial and appeal proceedings). It also describes motions of High Public Prosecutor's office to authorize the use of an agent as one of the operative search means. In the final part of the thesis two drafts of the new Act on Public Prosecution's office, that has been submitted to the Chamber of Deputies for discussion and that has suggested to cancel High Public Prosecutor's office, are analyzed and evaluated. It is also considered if this reform of the system is necessary, opinions on this reform or if there are other more important changes in the regulation of Public Prosecutor's office that should be done.