



## Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Karolína Miksová

Title: Platform governance triangle: The case of the EU Regulation on preventing the dissemination of illegal terrorist content online

Programme/year: International Relations, 2021

Author of Evaluation (supervisor): Emil Aslan

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
<b>Major Criteria</b>			
	Research question, definition of objectives	<b>10</b>	10
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	<b>30</b>	27
	Methodology, analysis, argument	<b>40</b>	37
<i>Total</i>		<b>80</b>	74
<b>Minor Criteria</b>			
	Sources	<b>10</b>	10
	Style	<b>5</b>	5
	Formal requirements	<b>5</b>	5
<i>Total</i>		<b>20</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100</b>	74



## Evaluation

Major criteria: A well-researched thesis that seeks to apply a concept to a case; in doing so, it explores a topic that is extremely timely yet relatively under-researched. Although I wouldn't consider myself an expert in the phenomenon itself, my impression is the author has made a fairly solid contribution to the field as she has identified the gap and put together an interesting series of research questions (a total of four) to the empirical case of her choice. I consider the thesis a well-researched, logically organized, and clearly written. Although I do have some minor methodological criticisms or rather suggestions (for example, the thesis pursues four RQs, which are too complex to address in the rather superficial empirical analysis, with shallow conclusion which could link concept with theory in a more thorough way), they are clearly outweighed by the thesis' strengths.

Minor criteria: In terms of formal criteria, style, clarity, knowledge of related literature there are no issues I'm aware of.

Overall evaluation: This thesis is a solid piece of research. It's well-organized, with explicitly formulated (although somewhat redundant) RQs, well thought-of and executed. As such, it deserves the highest grade.

Suggested grade: A

Signature: Emil Aslan