



Záznam o průběhu obhajoby disertační práce

Akademický rok: 2020/2021

Jméno a příjmení studenta: Mgr. Miroslava Kul'ková
Rok narození: 1992
Identifikační číslo studenta: 26133454

Typ studijního programu: doktorský
Studijní program: Mezinárodní vztahy
Studijní obor: Mezinárodní vztahy
Identifikační čísla studia: 521514

Název práce: Regional Transition – From Conflict to Cooperation
Pracoviště práce: Katedra mezinárodních vztahů (23-KMV)
Jazyk práce: angličtina
Jazyk obhajoby: čeština
Školitel: PhDr. Ondřej Ditrych, M.Phil., Ph.D.
Oponent(i): doc. PhDr. Jan Karlas, Ph.D., M.A.
Dr. Simon Koschut

Datum obhajoby: 15.01.2021 **Místo obhajoby:** Praha
Hlasování komise: prospěl/a: 5 neprospěl/a: 0

Průběh obhajoby: At the beginning, the chair of the committee has presented the schedule of the defense. The defense started out with the supervisor introducing the student, her fulfillment of all the responsibilities and the successful completion of her small defense. Then the student was given the floor and she presented the dissertation. She started with the puzzle that lead to the start of the research. Within the research design, the student has presented the methodological and theoretical bases of the thesis. After that she outlined the hypothesis and the way the dissertation answers them. Then both the opponents proceeded in presenting their reviews. Dr. Koschut has started to point out the remarks from the review and mentioned that the thesis is better placed with the literature. The way of separation of the epistemological differences within the theories is also a move to a better research design. There is still some room for improvement in the case of North America. Most of the remarks from the first review has been covered. Prof. Karlas has pointed out that Miroslava has identified an interesting gap within the literature. Overall, the thesis deserves to be defended. Further prof. Karlas has pointed out the deficiencies in empirical part. The first is the selection of cases. The next one is the operationalization. The thesis operationalizes the hegemon, but some parts of the causal mechanism is still missing. The last point is the alternative explanations, why the model explained in the thesis is better than other explanations? The student then answered the questions from the reviewers. She accepted that some of the remarks will be included to the book publication. Both reviewers proposed the start of the open discussion. Prof. Stojarová

mentioned, that in case of the book publication, diacritics should be adjusted. Also the claim that Albanians remain a destabilizing element should be probably excluded in such a way. She also asked whether there will be any Security community in the Western Balkans? The student replied that in a short term period there is no such possibility. Prof. Stojarová accepted the answer. Prof. Plechanovová has joined the questions from prof. Stojarová. How can you be sure that the process goes in one direction only? The student explained her position. The members of the commission had further questions to which the students answered in a complex and comprehensive way.

Výsledek obhajoby:	prospěl/a (P)	
Předseda komise:	doc. PhDr. Emil Aslan, Ph.D. (přítomen)
Členové komise:	doc. PhDr. Běla Plechanovová, CSc. (přítomen)
	PhDr. JUDr. Tomáš Karásek, Ph.D. (přítomen)
	PhDr. Ondřej Ditrych, M.Phil., Ph.D. (přítomen)
	doc. PhDr. Věra Stojarová, Ph.D. (přítomen)