



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: Anežka Amlerová

Title: Crisis management systems: the comparison of their effectivity and its implications

Programme/year: Bezpečnostní studia, 2020

Author of Evaluation (supervisor): Tomáš Karásek

Criteria	Definition	Maximum	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	9
	Theoretical / conceptual framework	30	27
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	37
<i>Total</i>		80	73
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	9
	Style	5	4
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	18
TOTAL		100	91



Evaluation

Major criteria:

Some master theses excel in innovative theorization, others in deep empirical understanding of the analysed phenomena. The main and crucial strength of the thesis under review is the clarity of purpose and its execution, combined with rigorous structuring and gradual development of the argument, all stemming from a unambiguously stated research question.

There have been, are and certainly will be many attempts at analysing the myriad connotations of the current COVID-19 crisis. The author has approached it from the perspective of crisis management, comparing the cases of the Czech Republic and Denmark. She must be lauded for having managed the difficult task of academically reflecting on an on-going issue. She further underscores her findings by comparing the two countries' responses to large-scale floods as one of the previous challenges to their crisis management systems, which adds a welcome layer to the analytical results.

The thesis has a strong analytical dimension, underscored by the author's utilization of a wide selection of relevant sources and clear conceptual anchoring provided at the beginning. The final conclusions are clearly formulated and convincingly wrap up the whole analytical enterprise.

Minor criteria:

Written in a clear, no-nonsense language, the thesis in fact nicely corresponds with the characteristics of the topic it has engaged. Perhaps the flow of the text would have been better served by longer paragraphs – sometimes the argumentation seems a tad terse as a result. Otherwise, all formal aspects are handled appropriately.

Overall evaluation:

A lean, analytically valuable thesis written and structured with laudable clarity, nicely interconnecting the initial conceptual anchoring, well explained selection of cases and a welcome insight into the most topical of contemporary challenges.



**FACULTY
OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**
Charles University

Suggested grade: A

Signature: