

Evaluation of bachelor thesis	
advisor's assessment reader's assessment	Name of evaluator: Silvia Boschetti <hr/> Date: 01/07/2020
Author: Filip Blašík	
Title of thesis: Neural Correlates of Consciousness: Theoretical Problems and Candidates	
The work is literary research as specified in the published requirements (rules) The work also contains its own results.	
Objectives of the work (subject of research, working hypothesis...) The subject of the thesis is clearly articulated and well defined. The arguments presented are sufficient for the purpose of the thesis, showing high degree of comprehension of the topic. The methods presented are sufficient to explore the topic in the context of this theses.	
Structure of the work: The thesis is overall well-structured. The organization of the arguments is clear and easy to follow. The conclusion nicely follows the arguments discussed in the previous parts of the thesis.	
Are sufficient literary resources used and properly cited in the work? Did the author use any relevant data from literary sources in the research? The author used up to date literature, majority of which consists of relevant articles within the field.	
If the work contains its own research results (beyond requirements), are these results obtained, evaluated and discussed in an adequate manner? Not applicable	
Formal level of work (visual documentation, graphics, text, quality of writing): The text is overall well written but due to the complexity of the topic, some passages seem overcomplicated and not completely clear.	

Fulfillment of the objectives of work and overall evaluation:

The objectives of work are fulfilled. The topic is well explored and the structure of the thesis allowed the reader to clearly follow the argumentations, for the major part. The author used recent literature and prospective but also explored the historical background of the topic. Overall the thesis is well structured and well written.

Questions and comments of the reader:

The topic choice has high degree of complexity and as bachelor thesis is really well done. My only concern is that a better distinction between some cognitive function (like perception and attention) and consciousness should appear. The conclusion is well-structured and the arguments addressed but it could be more specific regarding the philosophical conception as base for future research and investigation, or more clear in the possible way to choose it. The author well explored the problems behind the NCC and deep understanding of the topic as well as the criticisms to classical approach.

Recommended grade of the advisor or reader:

excellent very good good failed

Signature of advisor/reader:

**Instructions for completing the form:**

- We ask readers and advisors to be as brief and concise as possible in their commentary regarding specific aspects of the work (keeping within the allotted space). Sections in bold are a required part of the evaluation.