

## REFERENCE OF BACHELOR THESIS OPPONENT

Study program: SPECIALIZATION IN HEALTH SERVICE - Master degree

Study branch: PHYSIOTHERAPY

Opponent's name:

Mgr. Martina Damborová

Author's name:

Désirée Ginger Bertron-Simpson

Supervisor's name:

Mgr. Michaela Stupková

Title of diploma thesis:

Case Study of Physiotherapy Treatment of a patient after Arthroscopic Bankart Repair

The aim of the diploma thesis:

Bachelor thesis is divided in two parts. The first one consists of overviewing the shoulder anatomy, kinesiology and biomechanics. The patient's diagnosis is also presented, including the underwent surgery. The second part presents the case study, with respective examinations provided, treatments and results after providing all the therapies.

1. Scope:

number of pages of the thesis / text	99/91			
number of used sources	35			
number of used sources - Czech / foreign language	monographs	journals	others	
	9/0	25/0	1/0	
others	tables	fig./photos	graphs	supplements
	26	21	0	5

2. Formal and language level of thesis:

	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory
degree of fulfillment of the goal of the thesis	x			
work with literature, use of citation standard		x		
work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables)	x			
stylistic level of the text	x			

3. Criteria for evaluating the special part of the work:

	degree of evaluation			
	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory
quality of the content and processing the theoretical part	x			
<i>Excellent theoretical basis for understanding the patient's diagnosis, especially due to sport's load (biomechanics of rugby tackle, etc.).</i>				
logical structure of work and balance of chapters	x			
<i>Bachelor thesis contains many figures and tables for better understanding of the reader.</i>				
chosen examination techniques, design and their recording	x			
<i>Corresponds with level of knowledge of bachelor.</i>				
adequacy, quality of therapeutic intervention and its recording	x			
<i>Corresponds with level of knowledge of bachelor.</i>				
ability to evaluate the intervention and interpretation of the results	x			
<i>Student used for interpretation of the results clear comparative tables.</i>				
level of work evaluation in relation to current knowledge	x			
<i>Corresponds with level of knowledge of bachelor.</i>				

4. Usefulness of the results of the work in practice:

above average    average    below average

5. Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for defense:

Student worked with the patient during the practise professionally and confidently and adapted very well to specific patient's needs as high level athlete. Bachelor thesis fulfilled its aims, theoretical and practical parts are written logically and clearly for good reading and understanding this case study. Question: Patient is high level rugby player, do you know how long after surgery she can start with playing rugby again? Do you suggest any preventive methods or specific rehabilitation programme when she will play rugby again?

**6. Statement of the supervisor:**

I declare that after studying the whole work I found that in the work the referenced sources are properly cited or paraphrased.

**7. Recommendation for defense:**

yes	yes with reservations	no
-----	--------------------------	----

**8. Proposed classification level:**

excellent

In Prague on: 14.6.2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
supervisor's signature