

## **Abstract**

This thesis focuses on the position of the Czech Republic towards the proposal of the revision of the Posting of Workers Directive, which was introduced by the European Commission in March 2016. Although the Czech Republic's statement was negative in March 2016, the Czech position supported the proposal during final voting in the Council in June 2018. The thesis aims at identifying causes of the position change using the Advocacy Coalition Framework and at confirming the main proposition, that change of the position may be explained by an existence of advocacy coalitions which tried to enforce their beliefs. The thesis concludes that the main proposition may be confirmed only partially. Although the existence of two advocacy coalitions with opposing beliefs was identified, it is not possible to claim absolutely that this is the true reason of the change.

Two hypothesis were defined. First one is based on existence of the external sources whose presence was confirmed, as well as their dominant influence on the change. Therefore, this hypothesis was confirmed. The second hypothesis was disproved. The second coalition was much weaker and rather passive than the first one, so there was no need to overcome the "hurting stalemate" situation at the national level. The change was not absolute. It should be perceived rather as *shift*, still at same opinion level. In words of the terminology of the theory, the change was only minor.