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#### Abstract

Abstrakt

Tato práce se zabývá otázkou ztráty flexe ve jmenných frázích ælfricovské homile $D e$ nativitate Sanctae Mariae (Nativitas Sanctae Mariae Virginis; Pseudo-Matthaei Evangelium). Na základě kolace rukopisů MS Hatton 114 a Bodley 343 provádí kvalitativní a kvantitativní analýzu jmenné fráze. Ć́lem práce je popsat hlavní tendence fonetických a morfologických změn v textu. Hlavní tendence v morfologických změnách poukazují na to, pomocí jakých praktik se písař upraveného rukopisu Bodley 343 vyrovnává s oslabením pádového systému. Ukazuje se, že mezi tyto praktiky patří sjednocování kolísajících podstatných jmen do jediného skloňovacího paradigmatu anebo pozvolné zavádění nových koncovek, jejichž význam je úžeji definován než kdy dřív. Vypozorované změny jsou zasazeny do souvislostí vývoje od staré do střední angličtiny.


#### Abstract

This work is concerned with the question of loss of inflections in the noun phrases of the Ælfrician homily De nativitate Sanctae Mariae (Nativitas Sanctae Mariae Virginis; PseudoMatthaei Evangelium). On the basis of collation of the manuscripts MS Hatton 114 and Bodley 343 a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the noun phrase is performed. The analysis aims to describe the main tendencies in the phonetic and morphological changes found in the text. The main tendencies of the morphological changes point toward the approach chosen by the scribe of the Updated manuscript Bodley 343 in dealing with the weakening case system. This includes unifying nouns which vary in gender affiliation in a single paradigm or the gradual implementation of new word endings with more narrowly defined meanings. The observed changes are placed in the context of the development from Old to Middle English.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to provide an analysis of the main tendencies of the morphological and the phonetic changes in the Updated Old English version of the text known as Nativitas Sanctae Mariae.

Nativitas Sanctae Mariae is one of several Old English texts which exist not only in Late Old English, but also in the corpus of texts written in the so called Updated Old English. This invites scholars to compare the two existing versions and make observations on the development of the language in this period, namely between the eleventh and twelfth century. Detailed linguistic studies of this material have been scarce so far. This work is focused on a single text but strives to provide as detailed analysis of the morphological and phonetic changes contained therein as possible in order to help further our understanding of the development of English at the time of its most profound structural change in history. It takes into account not only the morphology and phonetics, but also briefly takes note of the lexicology and syntax and puts the observed development into the context of the changing linguistic type of English, from the inflectional to the isolating.

The first part (2.1) of this work introduces the two manuscripts which contain the studied text. It examines the contents of each, their authorship and origin and finally the occasion for which they were created and the circumstances of their creation.

The second part (2.2) is concerned with the language of the manuscripts. The first two chapters provide information about Old English and Updated Old English in general, with the first serving as a brief introduction to Old English grammar. The second focuses on the phonetic and morphological characteristics which define Updated Old English. The third chapter of this section examines briefly the lexical and syntactic changes in the Updated Old English version of the text in comparison with the Old English version. This examination uncovers some general tendencies of the text, such as a tendency for conservativism.

In the third part (3.) of this work the material itself - that is, the edition of the text which had been used for the analysis - is introduced and the method of work is briefly described. The text was transcribed, colour-coded and broken down into several tables to make its quantification easier. The results of this quantification are to be seen in the fourth, analytical part of this work. At the end of this section the research questions are formed.

The analytical part (4.) draws on the research questions and the data collected and quantified in the tables in the Appendix. The first half consists of a simple quantification - the data is put into tables according to factors such as the type of the noun phrase or the type of the change and its frequency is calculated. The changes are divided into phonetic and morphological. In the second half of this part, the morphological changes are given special attention and examined one by one in their specific context to determine precisely what the scribe had changed in them. The results are summarised in the discussion at the end.

The final part of this work is the conclusion (5.) which reiterates the results and their implications for further research.

## 2. Theoretical Background

Nativitas Sanctae Mariae is an early medieval homily concerning the life of Saint Mary, an apocryphal story, beginning with the meeting of her parents and ending with her immaculate conception. The text can be divided into three parts. It begins with a prologue which introduces the topic to the listeners, celebrates Mary and explains her numerous titles. The main body of the text concerns the story of Mary itself. The third part is an epilogue which restates Mary's importance and instructs the listeners to pray to her in times of need. This text has been preserved in two distinct manuscripts, Hatton 114 and Bodley 343, in the second one undergoing noticeable linguistic alternation.

### 2.1 History of the Manuscripts

### 2.1.1 Manuscript Hatton 114

This manuscript contains the older version of the text of Nativitas Sanctae Mariae. Bruno Assmann in Angelsächsische Homilien und Heiligenleben lists it as Bodleiana, Jun. 22, fol. 201-212. ${ }^{1}$ However, this manuscript is actually evidenced in the Catalogue of Bodleian Libraries as Hatton 114. ${ }^{2}$ It is called "St. Wulstan's Homiliary" and is divided into two parts (Hatton 113 and 114), with the Nativity in the second part.

### 2.1.1.1 The Properties of the Manuscript

There are fifty items in the Manuscript in total (if we count as individual "items" even glosses and notes by specific hands throughout the manuscript), with Nativitas Sanctae Mariae listed as Item $42 .{ }^{3}$ Majority of the works in the manuscript are Ælfric's homilies from his First and Second Series along with a single homily by Wulfstan and a number of anonymous homilies of which the Nativity is one.

The manuscript has three main scribes who wrote various items in it, the first being the most active. The Nativity of Saint Mary was written by the second scribe. Mary Swan and Helen Foxhall Forbes in The Production and Use of English Manuscripts 1060 to 1220 do not

[^0]provide any details on this scribe's style and scripture, not even a characteristic to distinguish him from others. However we do know that he was the author of items 1, 42, 43, 44, 46 and of one Latin item in the first half of the manuscript, and that he wrote in the English Vernacular Minuscule just like the other two.

### 2.1.1.2 The Genesis of the Manuscript

The Manuscript is assumed to have been copied for and used by Wulfstan II, bishop of Worcester (1062-95). It includes Wulfstan's own texts as well as texts by abbot Ælfric of Eynsham, the most influential writer of that era. The manuscript being divided into two parts, the first part includes more of Wulfstan's texts, while the second more of Ælfric's, although they are both mixed to a certain degree. The manuscript was probably divided into two parts at the beginning of the thirteenth century. At around the same time, a table of contents was written in the margins. ${ }^{4}$

The manuscript originated in Worcester where it presumably remained for most of its existence - there is evidence of it being there from the seventeenth century. In the seventeenth century, the manuscript was in the ownership of Christopher, Lord Hatton. Its second part (Hatton 114) was gifted to the Bodleian Library from which it was borrowed by Dr Thomas Marshall. He eventually added it to the Junius collection which after his death in 1678 returned into the ownership of the Bodleian Library. ${ }^{5}$ This is why it was for a time known as Bodleiana, Junius 22, as mentioned above and listed in Bruno Assmann.

The authorship of the manuscript is uncertain, sources such as The Production and Use of English Manuscripts 1060 to 1220 list it as anonymous. ${ }^{6}$ There was some speculation that the text could have been written by Ælfric, however, Bruno Assmann argues against this hypothesis by pointing to the visible lack of his characteristic rhythmical prose style and to the fact that Ælfric had been quoted as specifically speaking out against apocryphal stories because they are not canonical. ${ }^{7}$

[^1]
### 2.1.1.3 The Purpose of the Manuscript

Unlike the younger manuscript B 343 which was copied at a time when production of texts in English had become less common and must have seemed of doubtful utility, Hatton 144 was most likely, as mentioned above, copied during the life of Wulfstan II (1008-1095). The language of its time still more or less adhered to the Old English standard and although the new Norman rulers of England were establishing their power by recalling senior monks from their positions in the monasteries and replacing them with their own people who would use Norman French and Latin, writing in English at this period was still far from being a revolutionary act.

The purpose of the copy was therefore, as speculated on by Mary Swan and Helen Foxhall Forbes in The Production and Use of English Manuscripts 1060 to $1220,{ }^{8}$ simply to be read out by Wulfstan II to his audience during his preaching. It is also possible that it could serve as personal reading material for spiritual contemplation.

### 2.1.2 Manuscript Bodley 343

This manuscript contains the younger version of the text. Changes had been made to the text on all levels, from morphological and lexical to syntactic.

### 2.1.2.1 The Properties of the Manuscript

Manuscript Bodley 343 contains ninety-six items. These include three musical sheets, Ælfric's homilies from his First and Second Series, some of Ælfric's letters and tracts, homilies by anonymous authors, scholarly dialogues in Latin, and Wulfstan's homilies, including his Sermo Lupi ad Anglos. ${ }^{9}$ Eighty-three out of the ninety-six items are in Old English, with eleven being in Latin and two containing only music sheets.

As Susan Irvine states in her General Introduction to Old English Homilies from MS Bodley 343, "the vocabulary and syntax were altered to conform to twelfth-century linguistic practice". ${ }^{10}$ According to Bruno Assmann, the changes in vocabulary and syntax are "often

[^2]nonsensical" ${ }^{11}$ He mentions that while some misspellings have been corrected, there are many illogically formed words.

Susan Irvine identifies in the manuscripts hands of two different scribes: the second one is the author of the Nativity of Saint Mary. Susan Irvine characterises his hand as "neat and clear"" ${ }^{12}$ and lists several of his characteristics: his abbreviations are marked by "a superscribed bar, usually straight but occasionally hooked" ${ }^{13}$, the symbol 7 is used instead of 'and'. His texts include decorative initials "usually in red, green or black" ${ }^{14}$ and titles "in red or black"" ${ }^{15}$. And he uses both insular and Caroline forms, sometimes with distinctive function. The last fact links him with a small group of "scribes writing English in the first half of the twelfth century and later," for whom "the distinction between insular and Caroline forms of letters for the vernacular and Latin respectively was no longer of very great importance". ${ }^{16}$

The manuscript is not ordered chronologically or otherwise, although smaller groups of texts in various sections do tend to be ordered by the annual succession of their respective saint's days. In section (d) specifically it is items 14-18, including Saint Mary on the eighth of September. ${ }^{17}$

### 2.1.2.2 The Genesis of the Manuscript

According to a Latin inscription quoted by Bruno Assmann, ${ }^{18}$ the manuscript comes from the time of Henry II., who ruled from 1154 to 1189. This corresponds with the opinion of Susan Irvine, who places it in the second half of the twelfth century. ${ }^{19}$ It is undoubtedly a copy - all the texts contained within the manuscript were composed in the eleventh century - more specifically, in the pre-Conquest period. ${ }^{20}$ This manuscript represents a second stage in a long line of development, during which various writers borrowed and copied parts of it, transforming the collection. There are at least 23 such stages (or possibly 36 , if we also

[^3]include all the fragments $)^{21}$ - the linking item being Ælfric's homilies. The manuscript listed as the preceding stage to Manuscript Bodley 343 is BL MS Royal 7 C. xii.

Susane Irvine states that the Nativity of the Blessed Virgin Mary is a post-Ælfrican text by an unknown author. It must have been provided by some collection of texts intended for saints' feast days, of which numerous versions existed. These were not circulated by Ælfric himself. She suggests it could have been provided by BL MS Cotton Vitellius D. xvii, a manuscript which she lists as being preserved only as a fragment. She points out that it is strange that when composing section (d) the compiler did not opt out for an Ælfrician homily about Saint Mary, which he would have had available, seeing that the source containing it (CCCC MS 188) provided him with most of the remaining content of section (d). She hypothesises that, as opposed to the explanatory approach by Ælfric, the simpler narrative form was preferable. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{~A}$ noticeable amount of late twelfth century texts show this preference, indicating perhaps something about the character of their audience (this issue is discussed in more detail in

### 2.1.2.3 below).

Irvine hypothesises that the texts contained in the manuscripts were spread around in the form of booklets and the scribes would copy them as they became available, not according to choice. ${ }^{23}$ She further suggests that some of the contents may have originally been written at Canterbury and Exeter, however the final conclusion is that the manuscript originates from the Worcester area. It was however not compiled at any significant monastic centres, because there the author would have larger and better-known collections available as sources and would not have to use obscure ones. ${ }^{24}$

Based on detailed linguistic study of one of the manuscript's texts, Peter Kitson argues that although many linguistic phenomena of the text suggest as its origin east Herefordshire or south-west Worcestershire more important are its connections to the AB language (described by J. R. R. Tolkien as a standard literary language in the West Midland area in the 13th century ${ }^{25}$ ) and that the manuscript originated in Hereford. ${ }^{26}$

### 2.1.2.3 The Purpose of the Manuscript

[^4]Elaine M. Treharne in her detailed study of five twelfth century manuscripts, Production of manuscripts of religious texts (2000), finds similarities between the spatially and temporarily distant compositions and therefore wonders whether there could not have been an organized effort to produce English texts in a uniform way. She speculates about co-operation between scriptoria by way of lending manuscripts and instructional materials. She observes that all the manuscripts she studies are small, portable, utilitarian and not overly decorated. She assumes therefore that they were created with a practical purpose in mind. ${ }^{27}$ "Only a small number of scribes seem to have been given the responsibility of copying English texts, probably as a marginal activity, and possibly as a philanthropic initiative on the part of the scriptorium", ${ }^{28}$ but at the same time it is important to keep in mind that the texts were written by experienced scribes and were seen as worthwhile to the point of deserving overview and correction by a senior scribe. ${ }^{29}$

Both Elaine M. Treharne and Susan Irvine agree that Bodley 343 was produced to satisfy a demand for religious reading in English, rather than as a supportive text for preaching or archiving purposes. ${ }^{30}$ Irvine specifically disputes the idea of preaching by mentioning that since the manuscript includes a long narrative about the Holy Rood it would be unsuitable. ${ }^{31}$ However, she does not object to preaching having perhaps been a secondary aim, with perhaps only some texts actually used for it. However, the core function remains "instruction", that is, religious reading. The intended reader remains completely unknown. ${ }^{32}$

An alternative way of looking at the text is presented by Elaine Treharne in her essay Categorization, Periodization: The Silence of (the) English in the Twelfth Century (2006). She suggests that the act of writing in English at the time of Norman rule over England represented a self-aware "literary resistance". ${ }^{33}$ According to her, the English monks were rejecting the newly established order which made French the language of the educated and insisted on expressing themselves in their own language. She specifically mentions Worcester among the cities which formed part of the "network" of those who "refused to collaborate

[^5]with the colonizers" ${ }^{34}$ While such vocabulary might be just a little bit too strong, the distaste of the English for the new aristocracy can be supported with evidence from contemporary chronicles.

### 2.2 Language of the Manuscripts

If we choose to speak about the manuscripts in a generalized, ideal form, we might recognize that each of them is written in a "different language". While the older script is in standard Old English and adheres to its grammar (as described in 2.2.1 below), the younger text appears to be applying some rules of its own. We choose to term this "new language" Updated Old English (as described in 2.2.2 below).

### 2.2.1 Old English

The language used in Manuscript Hatton 114 (MS H 114) is Late West Saxon, the Standard Old English. It uses cases to express relationships between the inflected word classes, maintains grammatical concord within noun phrases and between the subject and the verb. Its standard word order is SVO, but SOV and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{dv}}$ VSO are also possible, with SOV being preferred if the object is expressed by a pronoun. ${ }^{35}$ Negative, interrogative and imperative clauses use VSO, preceded by a negative pronoun or an interrogative pronoun. Subordinate clauses put the verb at the very end of the structure. The subject of a clause can be left unexpressed.

Nouns express case (nominative, accusative, genitive, dative and remains of the instrumental), number (singular, plural and remains of the dual) and gender (masculine, feminine and neuter). Based on their gender and, therefore, their declension, nouns can be divided into "stems". A stem is a unit of the root of a word and a characteristic vowel or a consonant. There are four major and several minor declensions, the major are A-stem, O-stem, N -stem, and root-consonant. ${ }^{36}$ Nouns which do not fit into any of these classes belong to the minor declensions. ${ }^{37}$

Each of the cases usually has more than one function. Thus the nominative is the case of the subject, but also of direct address. The dative and the accusative express their respective

[^6]syntactic roles, indirect object and direct object, but both also have an adverbial (typically temporal) usage and are a requirement if the noun is governed by certain verbs or prepositions. The genitive can also be adverbial; it can express possession, partition, measure and is required by some verbs and prepositions. The instrumental used to express means or manner, accompaniment, comparison or cause. ${ }^{38}$

Old English differentiates between weak and strong adjectives, with the former being used if the noun has any other modifiers besides the adjective, such as demonstrative pronouns, possessive pronouns or numerals. If the adjective is in the comparative or superlative degree, it will be weak. On the other hand, strong adjectives appear if the adjective is in the predicative position or the noun has no other modifiers. ${ }^{39}$

Old English verb expresses person (first, second, third), number (singular, plural), tense (present, preterit), mood (indicative, subjunctive, and imperative). Verbs express future by using the present tense. There is no grammaticalized perfect or progressive form. The subjunctive mood distinguishes number and tense but is the same for all persons. ${ }^{40}$ Verbs are negated by " $n e$ " (which can stand on its own or merge with the verb as a prefix, in which case it is often reduced to " $n$-") and nouns by "nan". ${ }^{41}$ Negation can be expressed using one or more negations in a clause.

### 2.2.2 Updated Old English

Updated Old English by its name hints that it shares the majority of its grammatical categories with Old English but is characterised by certain notable changes. Richard Dance characterizes this "language" by the corpus which contains it: this corpus consists of 25 manuscripts of preConquest writings, mostly homilies, which have been "variously copied, recopied, excerpted, recontextualised, rewritten". ${ }^{42}$ Dance's interest in the texts is primarily lexicological.

According to him, the texts have so far been given little attention by scholars because they are copies and therefore not authentic documents of the language of the period. ${ }^{43}$

[^7]Generally, based on our knowledge of Middle English, the changes which are more or less visible in the twelfth century are: merging together of unstressed vowels, especially in wordfinal positions, loss of " $-n$ " in final positions where it previously replaced " $-m$ " and the progressive disappearance of gender and with it of the declensional distinction. ${ }^{44}$ The phonetic changes in word-final positions naturally lead to changes in morphology.
Simplification of the declensional system is visible in the analogical spreading of simple word endings such as " $-s$ " for plural. ${ }^{45}$

The Old English written standard could hide phonetic changes that were in progress towards the end of the Old English period - these changes became evident only in Middle English, but in spoken language had already been taking place by the eleventh century at the latest. Roy Michael Liuzza in his Scribal habit: evidence of Old English Gospels comments that the phonetic changes in unstressed syllables which are visible in manuscripts are to be expected due to the unstressed vowels having merged into schwas. ${ }^{46} \mathrm{He}$ also wonders whether the scribe actually understood the inflectional system and suggests that noun word endings would be recreated more carefully then verb endings due to their unfamiliarity. ${ }^{47}$

There is also the possibility which scholars are only recently beginning to address and explore, namely that the morphological system of Updated Old English could have had its own peculiar features. Raffaela Baechler in Analogy, reanalysis and exaptation in Early Middle English: the emergence of a new inflectional system suggests the model of an entirely new system which uses schwa as a marker of the feminine gender (as opposed to both the masculine and neutral gender) in word-final positions. ${ }^{48}$ She also explains how word endings spread: in the genitive plurals, the weak suffix "-ena" became incorporated into the strong paradigm of all genders because it was more distinctive than its counterparts. Similarly, the masculine singular genitive " $-s$ " had extended to masculine dative plurals and neutral nominative and accusative plurals. Feminine plurals came to be marked by " $-n$ " which spread from weak gender-indistinctive " $-a n$ " to the strong feminine declension. Therefore this

[^8]separation of plural markings ("-s" versus " $-n$ ") clearly distinguishes the feminine and the masculine-neutral dichotomy. Our analysis below will attempt to identify any morphological developments similar to the one described by Raffaela Baechler.

### 2.2.3 Lexical and Syntactic Changes

Now let us consider the text of Nativitas Sanctae Mariae itself. Mark Faulkner in his article Archaism, Belatedness And Modernisation: 'Old’ English in the Twelfth Century investigates lexical changes in the twelfth century manuscripts and draws attention to their conservativism - he does so in contrast to scholars who had been speaking about "modernization" and (in an attempt to make the manuscripts more appealing) had insisted that the manuscripts were not "merely" preserving the past. ${ }^{49}$

The lexical changes in the Updated Old English version of the Nativity are mostly such that the words can be considered absolute synonyms: such as making "drihten" (lord) into "God", "folc" into "men", "gewat" (went) into "eode" (gone), "gecweden" (said, proclaimed) to "inemned" (named, proclaimed).

The lexis remains Old English; it appears that both scribes prefer Germanic words to borrowed ones, with only a few rare exceptions. Faulkner notes the same occurrence in his manuscript and suggests it might have been caused by the anti-Norman sentiment of the scribes. ${ }^{50}$

Sometimes the scribe of B 343 makes certain that the text will be more easily understood, like when he changes "iunga" to "cengel" in the sentence "Đa [and]swarode him se iunga [and] cwceð" (Then the youth answered him and said). He does not trust the context to communicate the identity of the youth clearly enough - although that might have originally been part of the intention, since the angel was at first simply called "sum swyðe wlitig wer" (a very handsome $m a n)$ and his real identity is only revealed when he speaks. One more example of the way in which the scribe of B 343 makes certain the text is clear is when he refuses to shorten the negative: "nystest" thus becomes "ne wistest".

There is a curious instance which hints at the semantic development of Old English words. We can observe that the scribe of B 343 seems to misread the text " da beode, pe wið us

[^9]arisan" (the people who rise against us) and "corrects" it to "pa ðeode, pe mid us ariscen" (the people who rise with us). He loses the sentence's original meaning, because it was indeed referring to Israel's enemies, not allies, but he seems to do so because the expression "wid" has already shifted its meaning towards its current one.

The syntactic changes as a whole are bound to be more radical than the lexical ones: for example "We habbað gerced on bocum" (We have read in books) is replaced by "We leorniced" (We learned). These changes including those where the younger scribe skips over entire sentences or leaves out words appear to be mostly motivated by a desire for clearer syntax and brevity.

### 2.3 Language Typology

While we may be looking at the language with the advantage of the knowledge of its future development and can speak about its change from a synthetic language to an analytic one, for the English speakers of the twelfth century there was no such binary opposition: the language was an open field of possibilities.

In this work we shall rely on the language typology as developed by Vladimír Skalička and Petr Sgall of the Prague School. Based on a functional-structuralist understanding of linguistics, it divides languages into four primary types: 1. the agglutinating type (each morpheme has a single function; there is a strong differentiation between a morpheme and a word, between a word and a sentence; an exemplary language of this type is Turkish); 2. the isolating type (each morpheme has a single function; there is a weak differentiation between a morpheme and a word; an exemplary language of this type is Modern English), 3. the inflectional type (each morpheme has many functions; there is a strong differentiation between a morpheme and a word, between a word and a sentence; an exemplary language of this type is Czech or Classical Latin), and 4. the introflectional type (each morpheme has many functions; there is a weak differentiation between a morpheme and a word; an exemplary language of this type is Arabic). ${ }^{51}$

Old English was an inflectional language which communicated grammatical information using various affixes (word endings in nouns and prefixes in verbs) and the ablaut. It conjugated verbs and declined nouns, adjectives, pronouns and numerals. As opposed to

[^10]agglutinating languages, Old English expressed all relevant grammatical information like case, number and gender with a single morpheme. The suffixes allowed for a relatively free word order (as mentioned above in 2.2.1) because each word's syntactic role in a clause was communicated by its form.

As it began nearing the isolating type, English started restricting its word order, gradually introduced a new word category of articles to express a new grammatical category of definiteness, lost the distinction between weak and strong adjectives and began levelling down all irregularities to establish a new system of suffixation (such as creating plural and possessive case with " $-s$ "). The fact that there is any suffixation being used at all means that some aspects of the flectional type survive. On the other hand, the ablaut, which English verbs use to communicate their grammatical information, is a distinctly introflexive trait.

The language Nativitas Sanctae Mariae is written in is in both versions still distinctly inflectional (and introflexive) but it is the purpose of this work to observe the ways in which it appears to be progressing towards the isolating type.

### 2.4 Previous Works on the Topic

Current scholarship has followed two lines - first is manuscript studies, which investigates relationships between various manuscripts and their histories. The other has been literaryhistorical studies - it focuses on what can be considered "English literature" of this age and its relationship to literatures written in Latin and Norman French. These works usually only approach these manuscripts in a generalizing and a descriptive manner (such as Tyler's Conceptualizing multilingualism in England, c. 800 - c. 1250 or Rewriting Old English in the twelfth century).

Linguistic studies of these manuscripts have been scarce. Most are philological and only describe the language of a specific relic or diachronically investigate relationship between Old English and Middle English (such as Peter Kitson). Special attention has been given to the lack of concord in certain twelfth century texts by Paul Hoffmann in Das grammatische Genus im La3amons Brut and Charles Jones in Grammatical gender in English: 950 to 1250. Lack of concord is indeed occasionally also observable in our text.

Some interest in word endings in twelfth century manuscripts was shown by Richard Morris in his Old English homilies and homiletic treatises of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries (which is part of the same edition as Bruno Assman's Angelsächsischen Homilien und

Heiligenleben). His work was afterwards continued by Wilhelm Stadlmann in Die Sprache der mittelenglischen Predigtsammlung in der Handschrift Lambeth 487. However, both simply described the observed changes - whereas Raffaela Baechler has suggested a way of interpreting the available data.

It can be said that Raffaela Baechler's Analogy, reanalysis and exaptation in Early Middle English: the emergence of a new inflectional system is one of the foundation stones of the present analysis. Her hypotheses are taken for our own in this work and we shall attempt to test them out on our material. Raffaela Baechler's study focuses on The Lambeth Homilies IV and IX-XIII which were produced in north-western Worcestershire at some point toward the end of the twelfth century or beginning of the thirteenth. ${ }^{52}$ She is interested in analogical changes in a paradigm: intra-paradigmatic and inter-paradigmatic. The first type occurs within a paradigm when a single form spreads to new positions. This does not necessarily mean that the grammatical information expressed by the form had been lost, but it had become obscure and the two distinct categories might be on the verge of collapsing into each other. Interparadigmatic analogy, on the other hand, operates between nouns from different inflection classes. ${ }^{53}$ Most commonly, words with the least frequent inflection stems begin conforming to the usage of a more frequent inflection stem, such as the spreading of masculine "-s" which had been mentioned in 2.2.2 above.

However, Baechler observes not only grammatical analogy but also a linguistic change which is called "exaptation" (although there are still some disputes about the term). Exaptation is defined as the refunctionalisation of linguistic material (such as a suffix) which has been retained but which had lost its previous function. This new function must be "unexpected" this means that it is unique to the language and does not follow common cognitive rules of association - as well as "new", that is, the new function must be unrelated to the old function. ${ }^{54}$

Baechler compares the typical Old English paradigm with the ones found in the Lambeth Homilies and observes analogies and exaptations. As already briefly described above in 2.2.2, she concludes that Updated Old English began using a binary system for the expression of difference between feminine on the one side and masculine and neutral nouns on the other. This means that feminine nouns are signalled by a schwa sound which has developed from

[^11]vocalic case endings typical for the strong feminine paradigm. Any vocalic ending is a marker of the feminine gender. Whether this can be considered an exaptation is up for debate and she herself lists arguments for as well as against. ${ }^{55}$ The " $-n$ " word ending has to disappear from the singular feminine paradigm because it is reanalyzed as a marker of feminine plural. Masculine-neutral plurals become distinguished by " $-s$ ". At the same time, the weak plural genitive suffix "-ena" wins over and replaces "- $a$ " because it is more easily recognizable. The system is simplifying into a binary opposition in which possession is expressed by the presence of the ending and the lack of it by its absence. In the singular, the genitive " $-s$ " has expanded onto all masculine and neutral forms as a mark of the genitive.

[^12]
## 3. Material and Method

### 3.1 Material

This work uses the edition of Nativitas Sanctae Mariae by Bruno Assmann in Angelsächsischen Homilien und Heiligenleben. The text remains unchanged from this edition, except for a single error - adding a space on page 2 . Therefore all unusual word forms (such as brohtn on page 13 and ant on page 21) have been preserved, just like irregular usage of capital letters and Roman numerals. Assmann's editorial input which in his book was marked by italics has been put into square brackets. The superscribed bars, which the scribes use to indicate abbreviations, are signified using the Czech symbol for a vocalic length '. Special symbols have been preserved with the exception of the 7 symbol in B 343 which has been replaced by [and], and the special symbol for g , $y o g h$ " 3 ", which has been simply turned into g as it did not serve any obvious distinguishing purpose.

Microform reproductions (microfiche) of the original manuscript B 343 were also studied. Minimal differences were observed, such as presence or lack of spaces in some compound words (wif cynn > wifcynn). Assmann's edition also makes direct speech more easily distinguishable by adding colons not present in the original. However, because the original text was not always clear due to the rather low quality of the reproductions, this work chooses to fully rely on Bruno Assmann's edition.

### 3.2 Method

The Nativity of Saint Mary was studied with a focus on the problem of loss of inflectional word endings in Updated Old English. In order to better observe what changes have occurred in the text it had to be collated. The two texts were printed next to each other for easier comparison already in Bruno Assmann's edition. This was reproduced in this work and a translation into current English was added.

The translation of the Old English text into Current English was mainly done with the use of the digital edition of the Bosworth-Toller Anglo-Saxon Dictionary hosted by the Faculty of Arts of the Charles University in Prague. Secondarily Martin Lehnert's Dictionary which was printed as part of Poetry and Prose of the Anglo-Saxons, and the digital Dictionary of Old English: $A-H$ hosted by the University of Toronto were consulted.

Although there exists an alternative translation, one done by Mary Clayton and available in her book The Apocryphal Gospels of Mary in Anglo-Saxon England, the translation used in this work is original. Mary Clayton's translation was not readily at hand and therefore was not used in the course of this work.

The collation of the two texts was done using a simple colour scheme: light blue indicated those letters or words which remained completely unchanged. Black indicated anything which changed in all positions except for the final one. This could mean phonetic change, lexical change or entire sentences which had been left out or added in. Most importantly, red signalled a change in the word ending - this could mean a phonetic or a morphological one.

The data from the text was afterwards organized into two tables which are to be found in the Appendix of this work: first is the Table of Changes. This table lists all word phrases with red-marked endings, without concern for whether they happen to be verb or noun phrases.
The second, which is the Table of Noun Phrases, shows all noun phrases in the text. Items in both tables follow the order in which they appear in the text.

The tables in the Appendix allowed the data to be calculated and organized into tables in the practical section of this work. The first table in the practical section provides general information about the frequency of the changes in each noun phrase and the two successive tables show the frequency of specific changes. The data has been divided between these two tables due to frequency: there is a large number of changes which occur only once or twice in the text. Those changes which are deemed to be of morphological character are afterwards examined in detail and from their features are drawn the conclusions of this work.

### 3.3 Hypotheses

First and foremost when approaching the text, applying the colour scheme described above (3.2) and afterwards marking down all relevant data in a table, the research questions we were attempting to answer were:

1. What changes in word endings do we observe in the text?
2. How many are there?

In answer to these questions were created the tables in the section 4.1 below. They provide information about the quality and the quantity of observed changes in word endings.

However, since we are foremost interested in those changes in word endings which are relevant for the loss of inflection expected in this period, we have to divide the changes into those which bear no influence on the grammatical information expressed (the word loses its inflectional ending but is still understood as expressing the same grammatical information), i.e. the phonetic, and those which have actively changed their morphological character (the word ending has been specifically chosen by the scribe with a certain intention), i.e. the morphological. The research questions are therefore:
3. How many of the changes are phonetic and how many are morphological?
4. Can all changes be said to be purely phonetic or purely morphological?
5. If not, why are some phonetic and some morphological, more specifically what characteristic features can we observe in one group and in the other?
6. Do the morphological changes serve some newly defined purpose, possibly forming a system of their own?

## 4. Analysis

### 4.1 Results

### 4.1.1 Quantification

### 4.1.1.1 Table 1: Noun Phrases

Every noun phrase, no matter how many words it constitutes, is counted as a single unit. If a noun phrase includes changes in more word endings, all of them are still counted only as one change within one noun phrase in the first table which follows below. This table serves for broad orientation, it informs us how many noun phrases there are in total in the text and how common are the changes we are interested in.

|  | Subject | Prepositional | Object | Complement | Adverbial | All NPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 405 | 282 | 250 | 50 | 22 | 1012 |
| Changed | 37 | 95 | 52 | 24 | 6 | 216 |
| Percentage <br> of change | $9,14 \%$ | $33.69 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $48,00 \%$ | $27,27 \%$ | $21.34 \%$ |

There are 1,058 noun phrases in H 114. (However, included in that count are also 5 cases which cannot really be considered noun phrases because they stand outside of the syntactical structure, mostly because they are forms of address. These were counted in because some change occurred in their word endings.) The manuscript B 343 does not entirely correspond with H 114. In it, 45 noun phrases of the original have been left out but in different places 15 new ones have been added in. Therefore, in the Updated Old English text there are 1,028 noun phrases. Considering this irregularity, only those cases which are shared by both texts have been counted in. Therefore, the table above works only with 1,014 noun phrases in total.

Out of the 1014 noun phrases that are shared between the two texts, 218 undergo some kind of change in the word ending. Therefore only $21,50 \%$ of the noun phrases exhibit change.

There are 405 noun phrases in the role of the subject. Only 37 of them undergo some kind of change in the suffix.

There are 285 prepositional phrases - included in that number are 20 cases in which the preposition does not stand before the complement as is customary in today's English but instead behind it, such as "hire cwceð to" or "cwceð hyre to" (which we both interpret as prepositional phrases 'to her'). These are instances of the so called floating prepositions. Out
of the prepositional phrases, 98 undergo some kind of change in the suffix. In one case, the change occurs in one of the phrases with the floating preposition.

249 phrases stand in the role of an object (both direct and indirect). 14 of these are expressed by personal pronouns with a reflexive function. The suffixes in the objects are changed on 51 occasions, including three cases where the change occurs in one of the reflexive pronouns.

There are 50 subject or object complements. There are 24 cases of change in them.
There are 22 adverbials which are expressed by noun phrases. There are 6 cases of suffix change among them.

From the table it is clear that the changes are the most prominent in prepositional phrases and most limited in the subject phrases. One reason for this may be that the subject phrases are typically in the nominative, therefore they do not change, while the prepositional phrases are those which use cases most often. This may go as far as using several different cases in a single prepositional phrase. Most typical of these is the usage of the prepositional dative which is further determined by additional nouns in the genitive. An example of this is: "on pam unstillu[m] yðum pare sce pises middaneardes", which can be literally translated as "on the unstill waves of the sea of this world", thus using a prepositional dative and two genitives.

Overall, the changes in endings are rather low in the text as whole. The general tendency of the text can be stated to be conservative, although the copy is far from perfectly identical: it is important to stress that we are not counting in lexical, phonetic or graphemic changes which occur in non-final syllables. Lexical changes have been discussed above (2.2.3), while the other two types of change have been generally disregarded in this work. By phonetic change we understand changes such as "leahtre" to "lcehtre". By graphemic change we understand those which do not actually alter their quality but are simply written differently due to different scribal customs. As an example we might consider the difference between " p " as in "mире" and " $\delta$ " as in "тиде" in our text.

### 4.1.1.2.1 Table 2: Common Word Endings

In the second table we focus our attention on those parts of the text which we marked as "changed" above. Here, the noun phrases are no longer treated as units, but divided into individual words which is why the number of total units increased from 218 to 298. The table informs us of the nature of the change, that is, specifically which endings change, how often
and how common that makes them. Zero suffix is expressed by " $\varnothing$ ". N/A stands for Not Applicable.

One of the main criteria for the changes listed in the table below is frequency. The first table in this section focuses only on those changes which occur most commonly, while all changes which occur less than five times in the entire text are grouped together under the heading "Sporadic" (shortened to "Spor." in the table below). These irregular, or less common changes, are written out in the third table below.

The changes in the table are ordered alphabetically.

As shall be addressed below in 4.1.2, the changes can be divided according to their quality into two major groups: the phonetic and the morphological. As the table demonstrates, most of the commonly occurring changes in the text are phonetic.

| Change | Occurrence | Percentage | Type | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a>e$ | 57 | $19.20 \%$ | Phonetic | "his worda" $>$ "his wordee" |
| $a n>e$ | 8 | $2.69 \%$ | Phonetic | "mine heortan" $>$ "mine heortce" |
| $a>e$ | 39 | $13,14 \%$ | Phonetic | "pcera manna" $>$ " Jare monń" |
| $a n>e$ | 26 | $8.75 \%$ | Phonetic | "on godes lufan" $>$ "on godes lufe" |
| $e>e$ | 11 | $3.68 \%$ | Phonetic | "pine gemcenunge" $>$ "pine gemunge" |
| $e>\varnothing$ | 9 | $3.07 \%$ | Phonetic | "sume daege" $>$ "súme deeg_" |
| $u m>e$ | 5 | $1.68 \%$ | Phonetic | "on Israhelum" $>$ "on israelce" |
| $u m>e$ | 35 | $11.78 \%$ | Phonetic | "on westenum" $>$ "on westene" |
| $\varnothing>e$ | 6 | $2.02 \%$ | Morphological | "his folc_" $>$ "his folce"" |
| $\varnothing>r e$ | 16 | $5.39 \%$ | Morphological | "hi_" $>$ "hyre" |
| Spor. | 82 | $27.61 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| All | 297 | $100 \%$ | N/A | N/A |

In the first four lines of the table above we see that the phonetic shift from " $-a$ " to " $-\infty$ " occurs in two variations, one without " $-n$ " at the end and the other with it. In the cases without " $-n$ " we assume it is because the nasal had already disappeared earlier. This is a standard phonetic change described in the literature which discusses the Updated English manuscripts and the language of their period.

As morphological changes are marked those word endings which are characterized by gaining new sounds into or completely transforming their final syllable. Both shall be discussed in detail below.

This version of the table also allows us to count the degree (in percentage) to which each of the major changes forms a part of all the changes in the text.

### 4.1.1.2.2 Table 3: Rare Word Endings

All the less common changes which have for clarity and brevity been listed in the table above as "Sporadic" are listed here. There are no examples provided unlike in the previous table because the single occurrence would be the only example. All changes which occur in the text can be found in the table of changes in the Appendix.

| Changes listed as "Sporadic" | Occurrence | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r a>e s$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $a n>\dot{u}$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $a>e n e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $a m>a$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $a m>e$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $a m>a r e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $s>\varnothing$ | 4 | Morphological |
| $u>e$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $e>a$ | 2 | Phonetic |
| $a n>e s$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $a n>\varnothing$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $a n>u m$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $a>\varnothing$ | 2 | Phonetic |
| $a s>e i$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $e>u m$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $e>e n$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $e>c e s$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $e>a n$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $e l r e>l e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $e>n e$ | 2 | Morphological |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $a$ |  | 1 |


| $e n>\emptyset$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e r>r e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $e s>c$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $e>u$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $e>{ }^{\prime}$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $g e>\varnothing$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $i g>\varnothing$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $m>n$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $m>\varnothing$ | 5 | Phonetic |
| $n a>r e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $n e>e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $n e>m$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $n e>\varnothing$ | 2 | Phonetic |
| $n>m$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $n>\emptyset$ | 2 | Phonetic |
| $\emptyset>\infty$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $\emptyset>d$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $o>e$ | 2 | Phonetic |
| $ø>e s$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $\theta>n$ | 3 | Morphological |
| $\rho>n e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $\emptyset>s$ | 2 | Morphological |
| $r e>e$ | 4 | Phonetic |
| $r e>m$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $r e>\varnothing$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $u>e$ | 4 | Phonetic |
| $u m>a n$ | 1 | Phonetic |
| $u m>e n$ | 3 | Phonetic |
| $a>\dot{u}$ | 1 | Morphological |
| $u m>\varnothing$ | 3 | Phonetic |
| $u m>$ on | 1 | Phonetic |

There are 61 types of change in word endings, out of which 27 are of morphological character. All changes marked as morphological shall be discussed below, with the sole exception of the change $a s>e i$, because it is a change within a proper name (Phariseas $>$ pharisei) which is motivated by the form of the name in Latin.

### 4.1.2 Qualification

### 4.1.2.1 Phonetic Changes

Phonetic changes have already been discussed in the section on Updated Old English in 2.2.2 above. However, let us examine them a little closer here. The phonetic changes are of three types: the first is pure phonetic change such as " $-a$ " and " $-e$ " to "- $a$ " and " $-a$ " to " $-e$ ". The second is a loss, either only a partial one or a complete one. In a partial loss, what disappears is the " $-m$ " or " $-n$ " at the end of a word. This allows the preceding vowel to change ("- $u$ " and " $-a$ " changing to " $-c e$ " or " $-e$ "). In a complete loss, any vowel at the end of a word is lost. Our model of a loss is therefore a development during which the nasal at the end of a word disappears which leads to the change in sound of the preceding vowel; eventually, the preceding vowel disappears as well. There is a variation on this trend, however, which is not evidenced in our table because the word ending is technically not lost: the " $-m$ " or " $-n$ " is preserved but the vowel before it changes, most often in the way mentioned above, " $-a$ " and " $-e$ " to " $-\infty$ "; and " $-a$ " to " $-e$ ". This is a sign that the nasals are very likely to disappear but that the speakers of the language feel the need to preserve the word ending for the time being - because it carries grammatical information which would otherwise be lost.

In phonetic terms, we can describe the change from " $-a$ " and " $-e$ " to " $-\infty$ " as fronting in the case of " $-a$ " and as lowering in the case of " $-e$ ". The change from " $-a$ " to " $-e$ " is unlikely to be fronting and raising, instead it is likely a case of centring, where the schwa sound is expressed in writing by " $-e$ ".

### 4.1.2.2 Morphological Changes

There is a high probability that any occurrence of rise of new word endings (as evidenced in table 2 above) serves a grammatical purpose. In observance with Raffaela Baechler's theory, we shall attempt to interpret any non-phonetic change that we have marked in tables 2 and 3 above as intentional choices on the part of the scribe. As phonetic development of the language led to erosion and disappearance of word endings, those endings which might for
various reasons be considered more distinctive became the preferred variants in order to keep the morphological system functional.

Let us begin from the two morphological changes which appear in Table 2 because they belong among the more common ones, and then continue with the "irregular", that is, the more rare examples.

### 4.1.2.2.1 Change $\quad>$ e

The first example are the six occurrences of the change $\varnothing>e$. The relevant examples are listed in the Table of Changes from the Appendix as follows:

|  | Old English | Updated | Change | Page, paragraph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | nan wer him gelic__ | nan mon him ilice | $\varnothing-e$ | 2,4 |
| 2 | ingcer mildheortnys__ | eower mildheortnysse | $\varnothing-e$ | 7,2 |
| 3 | his folc__ | his folce | $\varnothing-e$ | 9,2 |
| 4 | godes gyfe full_ | godes gife fulle | $\varnothing-e$ | 11,2 |
| 5 | hwit_ godweb_ | hwite godewebbes | $\varnothing-e$ <br> $\varnothing-e s$ | 16,3 |
| 6 | on oðer land_ | on oðer londe | $\varnothing-e$ | 18,4 |

Perhaps the first thing we should note is that although Raffaela Baechler theorized that vocalic endings such as " $-e$ " are at this stage in the language becoming markers of femininity, none of the nouns listed here are feminine, except for mildheortnyss.

1. $\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{æt}]$ on pa tid næs nan wer him gelic_ on Israhelum
on pa tis næs nan mon him ilice on israelæ
so that at that time there was no man like him in Israel

This is a mere stylistic choice. One of the words is an adjective in the position of a complement, the other is an adverbial.
2. ingcer mildheortnyss_, be gyt worhten
eower mildheortnysse, pe ge wrohton
the mercy that the two of you have been shown

Feminine nouns endings in "-nyss" appear in both variants, with and without " $-e$ ", in Old English, but the scribe of B 343 seems to prefer the version with " $-e$ ", presumably because the vocalic ending is a marker of femininity as per Raffaela Baechler's theory.
3. cwæð, ðæt he wolde his folc_ gesecan mid haligre geneosunge
cwæð, $\mathbf{b}$ he wolde his folce _secan haligre neosunge
said, that he would bless his people with this holy visitation

This appears to be a change from accusative into dative because the scribe of B 343 let out the preposition "mid". Instead of the people being the object of the blessing, in the new version the visitation is "blessed to" the people.
4. Ac hyre word wæron godes gyfe full_

Ac hire word weró godes gife fulle
But her words were full of God's grace

The scribe of B 343 is probably attempting to indicate a concord in number. He is using the form of the adjective which usually follows after a demonstrative pronoun and is indicative of either the neutral or the feminine gender (there is a separate form for the masculine).
5. Đa onfeng Maria hwit_godweb_to wefanne
pa onfeng maria hwite godewebbes to wefanne
Then Mary began weaving white cloth

In this instance it is a change from the accusative singular to the accusative plural. It seems to be a stylistic choice and the adjective keeps concord with the noun.
6. he wolde hy_forlæton [and] faran him on oðer land_
he wolde hire forlæten [and] faren hí on oðer londe
he would let her go and ride to a different land

Here it seems that the scribe of B 343 felt that the preposition "on" requires a dative in the noun, even though the adjective remained in the accusative. It is evidence of the weakening grammatical concord in the language.

### 4.1.2.2.2 Change $\boldsymbol{\sigma}>$ re

The other morphological change which is listed in Table 2 above is $\varnothing>r e$. It is a very special change, because unlike in all other examples, all the changes from zero suffix to "-re" occur in a single word. The word is a personal pronoun "hi", which changes to "hire". While the change occurs only 16 times, the word itself appears many more times in both texts, often distinguishing itself only by orthography: "hyre" or "hire".

Here is a small table detailing how both manuscripts treat this personal pronoun in general.

|  | H 114 | B 343 | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| "hyre" | 53 | 2 | 55 |
| "hire" | 20 | 84 | 108 |
| "hi_" | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| All | 89 | 86 |  |

We can see from the table that the scribe of H 114 uses various versions of the pronoun, while the scribe of B 343 prefers to unify the forms into "hire". He seems to have left in two cases of "hyre" purely by a mistake.

The version "hi_" was probably not preserved because it could easily be confused with the form of the third person plural "hi" (although this specific homophony - between feminine accusative and plural nominative - exists in other Germanic languages as well). It is also noteworthy that there were three times when the scribe felt free to skip the word and not copy it at all.

|  | Old English | Updated | Change | Page, paragraph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hi | hire | $\varnothing$-re | 3,4 |
| 2 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 4,2 |
| 3 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 5,3 |
| 4 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 5,3 |
| 5 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 5,4 |
| 6 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 8,1 |
| 7 | $\underline{\text { hi }}$ | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 11,1 |
| 8 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 11,3 |
| 9 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 13,3 |
| 10 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 16,4 |
| 11 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 18,2 |
| 12 | hy | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 18,4 |
| 13 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 20,3 |
| 14 | purh hi | purh hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 21,1 |
| 15 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 21,2 |
| 16 | hi | hire | $\varnothing-r e$ | 21,3 |

1. Đa ongann Anna, his gemæcca_, wepende hi_ to drihtne gebiddan

Đa ongon anna hire imæccan bewepen [and] hire to drihtine biddan
Then Anna, his spouse, began crying, she prayed to God
2. pæt ic hi_ wolde pe gebringan to pinum te[m]ple

も ic hire wolde pe bringæn to pine té[m]ple
I would bring them to you in your temple
3. nu to dæg ic me æteowde pinum gemæccan [and] hi_gefrefrode nu to dæg ic me æteowde pine gemæccan [and] hire frefrode today I showed myself to your spouse and comforted her
4. pa pa heo hi_ wepende [and] geomriende to drihtne gebæd
pa pa heo hire wæpende [and] geomeriende to drihtene bæd
because she was crying and prayed to the Lord, lamenting
5. ðu gemetest hi_ geeacnode
pu imætest hire ieacnode
you will find her pregnant
6. heo hi_ ða fedde preo winter æt hire breostum
heo hire pa fedde preo wintræ æt hire breoste
[she] then fed her for three winters at her breast
7. ne geseah hi_nan man yrre
nan mon ne_seah hire wrað
no man saw her angry
8. swa hwilc man swa hi_ untrum gesohte
swa hwilc mon swa hire untrum isohte
whichever ill man sought her out
9. hwilcu[m] wære we hi_ befæstan sculon to healdenne
hwylce wære we hire bifæsten sceolen to haldene
which man we should entrust with guarding her
10. ongunnon hi_ wurpian [and] hyre eadmidlice hyran
heo ongunnon hire weorðian [and] hire eadmodlice heran
[they] took to worshipping her and humbly obeying her
11. pa gemette he hi_ bearn hæbbende on hire gehrife pa imette he hire bearn habbende on hire rife he noticed that she has a child in her womb
12. pæt he wolde hy_forlæton
b he wolde hire forlæten he would let her go
13. ða sacerdas læddon hi_ mid pa[m] fæmnum to Iosepes hame pa sacerdæs læddon hire mid bam femnem to iosepes hame the priests lead her with the virgins to Joseph's home
14. forðan pe ealles middaneardes hælo purh hi_ becom on pæs woruld forpam ðe alle middaneardes hæle purh hire becom on ðas woruld because through her the salvation of all middle-earth came to this world
15. forðan hi_nu englas eadigað
forpan englæs hire nu eadigæð
because of that she is now celebrated by angels
16. Ac uton we nu hi eadmodlice biddan

Ac uton we hire nu eadmodlice biddan
But let us now humbly beg kindness of her

In most of these examples the feminine personal pronoun stands in the syntactic position of the object. In example 1 and 4 it functions as a reflexive pronoun which is governed (and required) by the verb. In example 14 the pronoun is governed by a preposition.

So what is it that we are observing? It appears that the feminine personal pronoun (which traditionally declines heo, hire, hire, hie) had lost its unique accusative form and levelled it with the forms of the genitive and the dative, giving us the origin of the Modern English
„her". It is also observable that even at the time of the manuscript H 114, the change was already underway which is why there are only 16 occurences of the accusative form in the entire text. It may have been by mistake, but the scribe was already letting the form „her" slip in. Consider the following example from H 144:

## Fæmnan beoð hyre on fultume

The virgins will be of assistance to her

In the example, the form „hyre" had been used in the place of an accusative. The development of this trend between H 144 and B 343 shows the continuous simplification of the declentional paradigms.

### 4.1.2.2.3 Sporadic Changes

|  | Changes listed as "Sporadic" | Occurrence | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ra-es | 1 | Morphological |
| 2 | an-ú | 1 | Morphological |
| 3 | a-ene | 1 | Morphological |
| 4 | am-are | 1 | Morphological |
| 5 | $s-\varnothing$ | 4 | Morphological |
| 6 | an-es | 2 | Morphological |
| 7 | $e$-um | 2 | Morphological |
| 8 | $e-c<n$ | 1 | Morphological |
| 9 | e-ces | 1 | Morphological |
| 10 | $e$-an | 2 | Morphological |
| 11 | elre-le | 1 | Morphological |
| 12 | e-ne | 2 | Morphological |
| 13 | er-rce | 1 | Morphological |
| 14 | $e-u ́$ | 2 | Morphological |
| 15 | na-re | 1 | Morphological |
| 16 | ne-e | 1 | Morphological |
| 17 |  | 1 | Morphological |
| 18 | $\varnothing-d$ | 1 | Morphological |
| 19 | $\varnothing$-es | 2 | Morphological |
| 20 | $\varnothing$-n | 2 | Morphological |


| 21 | $ø-n e$ | 1 | Morphological |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $ø-s$ | 2 | Morphological |
| 23 | $r e-m$ | 1 | Morphological |
| 24 | $r e-\varnothing$ | 1 | Morphological |
| 25 | $a-\dot{u}$ | 1 | Morphological |

1. ne æfter hyre yldrum ne murnde, swa swa cildra gewuna is ne æfter hire ealdre ne rymde, swa swa childes gewunæ wæs nor did she yearn for her parents as is children's custom

According to Dictionary of Old English: A-H. the neutral noun "cild" can use both plurals and in fact begins alternating between the two as early as in Late Old English ${ }^{56}$. The variation is not therefore of much significance but it shows an overarching trend of preferring the ending " $-s$ " to other endings in masculine and neutral plurals.
2. he wolde geeadmedigan, bæt hi gecyrdon to heora sylfra heortan
he wolde eadmedigan, $\ddagger$ heo cyrdon to heoræ silfræ heortú
he would behumble [them], so that they would turn to their own hearts
The plural genitive of the word "heort" is evidenced in Old English in both variants, out of which the scribe of B 343 preferred the version with "-um", possibly because it was more distinctive, although he shortened it. It might be because the weak declension had become obsolete.
3. $\mathbf{p}[æ t]$ he for $\mathbf{X X X}$ daga fæc ne mihte ham to his gemæccan gehweorfan
$\ddagger$ he for ðrittige dagene fæcc ne mihte ham to his mæcce gehweorfan that he could not return home to his spouse before thirty days' time

The word "daeg" has two possible declensions in Old English, one strong and one weak. The scribe of H 144 chose the strong declension, while scribe of B 343 preferred the weak one because it is longer and thus easier to distinguish - this preference was described by Raffaela Baechler.

[^13]4. Ac syle pam clænan fæmnan fultum, bæt heo wunigen mid hig

Ac ic onfo pare clænen femnæn, $\boldsymbol{\hbar}$ heo wunie mid me
But give help to the pure virgin, so that she may live with them

This change was motivated by the syntactic and lexical changes in the sentence and therefore does not provide us with any of the information that interests us. Each of the two scribes is telling the story a little differently.
5. Đa eft pæs priddan dæges drihtnes heahencgel hyre ætywde [And] eft pæs on ðriddæ dage_drihtines engel hire æteowde

Then again on the third day the Lord‘s archangel appeared to her
heo wæs drihtnes modor, ures hælendes
heo wæs drihtines moder, ure_ hælendes
she was the mother of the Lord, our saviour
ic be fram fruman mines gesinscipes gehet
ic pe fró frimpe mine__sinscipes bihæt
I promised you from the beginning of my marriage

Ic eom drihtnes encgel
Ic eom drihtine_ engel
I am the Lord's angel

The first example is anomalous, because the change is inspired by syntactic changes (adding a preposition) which demand a change in the case of the noun. However the disappearance of the genitive " $-s$ " in the following two examples informs us of a growing disregard for grammatical concord. Grammatical information can be expressed by only one member of a noun phrase. The third example appears to be simply a scribal mistake.

## 6. He brohte twyfealde gyfe drihtne hluttre onsegednysse [and] his lichaman clænnysse He brohte twyfealde gyfe drihtene luttre onsægednysse [and] his lichames clænnysse

 He brought a two-fold gift to the Lord, a clean offering and his bodily cleanlinessheo is cwen pæs heofonlican cyninges gecweden
heo is cwen bæs heofenlices kynges
she is proclaimed to be the queen of the heavenly king

The weak declension is disappearing and being taken over by the strong masculine declension which is more varied in forms and more common in the language thus better known by the speakers. Raffaela Baechler describes this as spreading of the vital "-s" ending.
7. heo geornlice [and] eadmodlice purhwunode on hyre gebede
heo geornlice [and] eadmodlice purhwunode on hiræ _bedum
she earnestly and humbly remained at her prayer
eall $\mathrm{b}[æ t]$ folc wundrodon on hire gebære
all $\mathbf{t}$ folc wundræde on hire ibærum
all the people were amazed at her behaviour
The scribe of H 144 uses "prayer" and "behaviour" in the singular while the scribe of B 343 prefers the plural. The word ending "-um" might be preferable after dative preposition because it is more easily distinguished.
8. Pa genam se sacerd ealle pa gyrde
pa _nam be sacerd alle gerdæn
The priest then took all the rods
The scribe of B 343 uses the plural with " $-n$ " because it is more easily recognizable. Raffaela Baechler describes this as the spreading of "- $n$ " plurals in feminine nouns.
9. Ic singode [and] gemunde pe facnes, pær nan næs

Ic syngode mid gemynde pæs facnes, pær nan næs
I sinned and suspected deceit where there was none
Each of the sentences is syntactically different due to the added preposition in B 343; however both use the genitive in the noun. The lack of grammatical concord in H 114 seems to hint that it was not always necessary even at the earlier stage.
10. he drihten inge syleð swa myccle grownysse on ingcran beorðre he eow syllæð swa mycele grownisse on eowre beorðran
he, the Lord, has given to you two in your child so much prosperity
he ða genam pa gyrde
he pa nom pa gyrdan
he took the rods

Weak declension of the noun "beorpor" is not attested anywhere in Standard Old English, so this appears to be an innovation on the part of the scribe of B 343. If we could consider the noun to be feminine (it is generally understood as neutral, but it could vary) we could interpret it as the spreading of a plural feminine marker "- $n$ ", as described by Raffaela Baechler and evidenced in the second example. The second example is closely related to example 8 above.

## 11. Đa clypode se biscop mid mycelre stefne hine Pa clypode pe biscop hine_mycle stefne

Then the bishop called out with a loud voice
While the scribe of H 144 correctly used the adjectival ending "-re" with a feminine noun "stefn", the scribe of B 343 failed to do so. He more than likely considered the noun to be of different gender although it is not clear based on what. There exist, however, some masculine and neutral homonyms in Old English which could have caused the interference.
12. he næfde nænige oðre gymene buton his eowde næfde he nænigne oðre gemæne nymðe his eowde he never minded anything other than his herd
of dam wæstmum he gode pryfealde lac gebrohte
of pam wæstme he gode preofealdne lac _brohte he would bring to God three-fold offering from the produce of the land

The scribe of B 343 interpreted both nouns as masculine and used the masculine accusative ending "-ne". While "lac" in the second example varies in gender and can be interpreted as masculine, "gymene" from the first example should be feminine and the change is therefore innovative.
13. heo hi_ ða fedde preo winter æt hire breostum
heo hire pa fedde preo wintræ æt hire breoste
[she] then fed her for three winters at her breast

The Old English word "winter" fluctuates between a-stem declension and an earlier u-stem declension. The scribe of B 343 seems to prefer the more archaic version of the plural perhaps because he wishes to distinguish between the singular and plural forms which remain the same in the a-stem declension.

```
14. ðær awunode pone dæg [and] da niht on hire gebede pær wunede ðone dæg [and] pa niht on hire _bedú
``` there [she] remained throughout the day and the night in her prayer
se ðe cuðlice gecydde pine halignyssa on eallum Israhela folce pe ðe cyðlice _cydde pine halignesse on alle israele folcú
since he so clearly made his holiness known to all the people of Israel

Similar to example 7 above, the first example seems to be simply a difference between a singular and a plural. Once again we might speculate that the dative preposition inspires the choice of the more distinguishable ending "-um".
15. Him ða to genealæhte para bocena sum

Him ðа to _neahlæhte pare bocere sum
One of the scribes (...) then approached him
A problematic case because the word used by the scribe of H 144 is elsewhere undocumented. It might have been created by confusion with the weak genitive plural of "boc". The scribe of B 343 uses a phonetically altered version of the word which would sound in Old English "bocera".
16. eall Israhela bearn mycelne gefean hæfdon be his hamcyme
all israelæ bearn mycele blisse hæfdon bi his hamcyme
all Israel's children felt much joy about his homecoming

This is a lexical choice; each of the scribes uses a different noun (one masculine and the other feminine), therefore must use a different gender in the declensional ending of the concordant adjective.
17. hire eadignyss_astigeð ofer ealle wifa cynn
hire eadignyssæ oferstihð all_ wifcynn
her blessedness will descend over all woman-kind

Both versions seem to be possible. It might be that the vocalic ending should imply feminine gender. Similar occurrence is in the example 2 in the section 4.1.2.2.1 above.
18. He pa Ioachim gode brohte unwemme_lac

He pa ioachim brohte unwemmed lac
He , Ioachim, then brought to God an unspotted offering

This is a mere stylistic choice, one scribe uses an adjective while the other the past participle.
19. Đa onfeng Maria hwit_ godweb_to wefanne
pa onfeng maria hwite godewebbes to wefanne
Mary then began to weave white cloth
ne nan man ne gehyrde yfel_word of hyre muð̌e gan
ne nan word yfeles of hire muðe ne com
neither did any man ever hear an evil word come from her mouth

These are mere stylistic choices, linked here more by chance than any similarity. In one case the scribe of B 343 decided to use a plural where H 144 had a singular in the accusative. In the other case the scribe of B 343 used an idiomatic expression with the partitive genitive ("a word of evil").
20. Đa ongann Anna, his gemæcca_, wepende hi_ to drihtne gebiddan Đa ongon anna hire imæccan bewepen [and] hire to drihtine biddan Then Anna, his spouse, began crying, she prayed to God
oð \(\mathrm{p}[æ t]\) hi becomon to ælicre yldo_
oठ ðet heo bicomen to ælicre yldon until they reached the age prescribed by law

The first example is a syntactic choice in which each scribe is telling the story differently while the scribe of H 144 titles Anna as „his spouse", in the version from B 343 the spouse becomes the object that Anna is bemoaning. The scribe employs the weak masculine declension to indicate the accusative. The second example is less clear but also appears to be an example of the weak declension.
21. Abiathar, se sacerd, brohte myccle_gyfe pa[m] bisceope
abiathar, be sacerd, brohte mycelne gyfe pam biscope
Abiathar the priest brought many gifts to the bishop

The feminine noun "gyfe" in Old English occasionally varied between genders and it appears that the scribe of B 343 perceived it as masculine, therefore felt the need to add the masculine accusative ending "-ne".
22. godes encgel hyre ætywde [and] hyre brohte heofonlice_ swetnysse godes engel hire æteowde [and] hire brohte heofenlices swetnysse the God‘s angel appeared to her and brought her sweetness from heaven
his rice_ ne bið nan ende
his rices ne bið nan ende
there will be no end to his realm

Similarly to the example 6 above, the masculine genitive ending "-s" is spreading into more positions, as described by Raffaela Baechler, because the speakers are more familiar with it and it communicates the grammatical information much more clearly than the endingless accusative.
23. Đa gegyrede hine Abiathar se biscop mid pare heahsacerda gegyrlan
pa gescrydde hine abiathar pe biscop mid pam heahsacerdæ gyrlan
Then Abiathar the bishop with the high priests put on attire

The Old English word „gyrlan" is evidenced as fluctuating between the masculine and the feminine gender - the masculine gender is preferred by the scribe of B 343 .
24. heo gesette hyre sylfre haligne regol
heo _sette hire sylf_ haligne regol
she set for herself holy rules
As the grammatical concord weakens, the reflexive pronoun no longer requires a feminine word ending with would be concordant with the feminine subject.
25. Marian sæde (...) pa fægran gesyhðe pæs engles [and] ða frofre para worda marian sæde (...) pa fægræ sihðe pæs englæs [and] ðа frofre ðare wordú [he] told Mary (...) of the fair sight of the angel and the comfort of his words

Similar to examples 7 and 14 above, the word ending "-um" seems to be preferred. The grammatical concord in the noun phrase is breaking up and the dative ending "-um" seems to be introduced because of the preceding preposition and without any regard for the case of the demonstrative.

\subsection*{4.2 Discussion}

The language finds itself in an uncertain time - as it stops being written down and the pronunciation is not repeatedly reinforced by referring back to what had been written, there is no standard to adhere to and the language splinters into many differing local usages. The phonetic changes become more rapid and come to alter the language greatly. In the word endings, nasals are disappearing and all vowels are centralizing.

Let us return to our research questions: in the section 4.1.1.1 above, we first answered the most basic questions about the text - what type of changes there are. The answer was that there are phonetic and morphological changes. They were ordered into the tables in 4.1.1.1 and 4.1.1.2 according to their type and frequency.

The following analysis attempted to answer these questions:
- How many of the changes are phonetic and how many are morphological?
- Can all changes be said to be purely phonetic or purely morphological?
- If not, why are some phonetic and some morphological, more specifically what characteristic features can we observe in one group and in the other?

Number of phonetic changes is higher; out of the 61 types of change evidenced in the tables in 4.1.1.1 and 4.1.1.2, there were 27 of morphological character and 34 of phonetic character. Given how closely are the phonological and morphological levels of language linked to each other, it is clear that the changes in the text cannot be said to be purely phonetic nor purely morphological - phonetics unavoidably influence morphology.

The phonetic changes are characteristic by their predictability - they can be described quite accurately and they occur more or less automatically. For this reason they do not deserve any closer attention. They may lead to the breaking of grammatical concord in noun phrases.

In the morphological changes, there are several prevailing trends:
1. Grammatical concord within noun phrases is slowly corroding away and being replaced by a simplified version in which only one member of the noun phrase indicates the relevant grammatical information for the entire phrase. There are at least five examples of this in the morphological changes analyzed above (and no doubt many more among in phonetic changes in which word endings disappear). The one member which becomes the carrier of grammatical information is usually either the demonstrative pronoun, which is about to develop into the article, or the noun. On the other hand, grammatical concord is most often lost in possessive pronouns.
2. Nouns which were subject to varying in gender affiliation in Old English are understood by each of the scribes differently, therefore we have reason to assume preferences have shifted in between the time of the two manuscripts. We must assume that the variations were no longer perfectly equal because then the scribe of B 343 would have no objection to copying the text as it was. In this regard, it is particularly striking that the masculine gender is prevailing in all of the examples above. It appears that its declension is seen as the most regular and therefore the most easily recognizable and preferable. All nouns which have hitherto been fluctuating in their gender are therefore preferred by the scribe as masculine. This leads to the introduction of various masculine word endings (such as accusative "-ne" and genitive "-s") were there previously were not. Whether this is in accordance with Baechler's theory of the spreading of masculine "-s" into the neutral paradigm is debatable. We assume that the nouns change their gender - often even from the feminine to the masculine, while Baechler hypothesizes that the neutral paradigms (never feminine) are adopting masculine word endings.
3. Whenever there is a possibility to choose between two word endings, the one which is more distinguishable (i.e. has fewer homonyms) will be chosen. Therefore there is evidence corresponding with Baechler's theory that the weak word ending "-ena" is spreading into the strong paradigm of certain nouns because it is more distinctive and that there is a preference for feminine nouns to have plurals ending with "- \(n\) ". There are also two examples in which feminine nouns ending in "-nyss" acquire a vocalic ending, which can be understood as minor evidence in support of Baechler's theory that the attachment of schwa ("-e") to the end of words signalizes the feminine gender.
4. There appear to be word endings such as "-um" to which the scribe of the Updated text has an ambiguous relationship. This word ending was never discussed by Baechler in her theory. From our text it seems that the word ending "-um" is preferred as a strong
dative plural ending after a preposition. At the same time, however, this ending is one of those which undergo the strongest phonetic corrosion and disappear throughout the text most often. This means that although the ending is eradicated in its traditional positions, it is newly introduced into the text wherever the scribe of B 343 feels the need to emphasize a dative plural in contrast to a singular.
5. The feminine personal pronoun "heo" had lost its accusative form "hie" and replaced it with the genitive/dative form "hire". The orthography of this form had been fixed to "hire" as opposed to "hyre". This practice is already partially present in H 144 and by B 343 is perfectly uniform.

The language is progressing towards the isolating type through the weakening of the grammatical concord and the growing number of nouns which use the strong masculine declension - this automatically leads to the further peripheralization of other declensional paradigms. A scribal preference for distinguishable word endings is constantly at odds with the continuous phonetic erosion which leaves endings unclear. Choice of endings thus becomes more conscious and motivated by pragmatics. We can observe the beginnings of a new morphological system which re-uses some of the old word endings as indicators of something new which eventually comes to fruition in the manuscripts described by Raffaela Baechler.

\section*{Conclusion}

This work was concerned with the loss of inflection in the so called Updated Old English, specifically in the text Nativitas Sanctae Mariae. Its goal was to investigate how the language manages to balance out its need for grammatical information with the continuing eradication of its inflectional system.

The work opened with an examination of the contents, origin and purpose of the two relevant manuscripts - Hatton 114 and Bodley 343 - and of the "languages" they have been written in, Old English and Updated Old English respectively. The section on Updated Old English summarized the main expected phonological and morphological developments in the language. The following examination of the lexical changes showed a strong conservative tendency in both scribes, which lead them to preserve Old English vocabulary. Changes in syntax point to the effort of the scribe of Updated Old English to make the text as approachable and easily comprehensible as he can. Both of these tendencies - conservativism and tendency to clarity and order - are prevalent throughout the Updated Old English text and have an impact even on the morphological changes which have been at the centre of our inquiry.

Another aspect which must be considered is the question of language typology because in this period there can be observed the roots of the future change of English from an inflectional into an isolating language.

The material from the manuscripts was organized into two tables (in the Appendix) to make summarizing of the data easier. The data was examined in the analytical section of this work and the following conclusions were reached: the change affecting inflectional endings is noticeable but not overwhelming, only about \(20 \%\) of all noun phrases in the text undergo a change in their word endings. Phonetic changes are regular and more common than morphological changes. Morphological changes point to several dominant traits: the grammatical concord is weakening and all grammatical information shifts only onto a single member of a noun phrase. Nouns which in Old English existed in more than one variant in terms of gender show a strong tendency to eventually adopt the masculine gender and its declensional paradigm. When picking word endings from two possible variants, the one which is more clearly distinguishable is always preferred. This includes the word endings "um" after dative prepositions, although it is at the same time the one ending which is most
frequently lost to phonetic change. The scribe is likely only using it when he needs to emphasis the difference between a singular and a plural. The feminine personal pronoun "heo" had lost its accusative form to make its paradigm more regular. Therefore the main tendency is to limit the declensional system - which in Old English was noticeably irregular only to the most familiar and most common forms.

As the inflectional Old English limits its declensions, they come to resemble those residues of inflection which still exist in English today, be it pronominal declension or the genitive "-s". In order for English to become an isolating language it also has to develop syntactical forms which can carry grammatical information (such as the complex verb cases or of-genitives) of which there is yet no evidence in our text.
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\section*{Résumé}

Tato práce pojednává o ztrátě flexe ve starší angličtině na základě textu homilie Nativitas Sanctae Mariae. Jejím účelem bylo pozorovat na základě srovnání dvou kopí́ tohoto textu, z nichž jedna obsahuje změny v pádových koncovkách, jakým způsobem se jazyk vyrovnává s postupnou ztrátou distinktivních ohebných koncovek. Vychází z teorie prezentované Raffaelou Baechlerovou, která ríká, že se v tomto období v jazyce prosazoval nový morfologický systém, který se snažil zachovávat alespoň některé z původních jmenných kategorií pomocí nově volených koncovek. Tyto koncovky byly většinou voleny tak, aby byla u tvarů, které měly morfologické dublety, vždy ze dvou zachována ta výraznější.

V první části této práce jsou představeny rukopisy, v nichž se nám homilie Nativitas Sanctae Mariae dochovala. Prvním je rukopis Hatton 114 z druhé poloviny jedenáctého století a druhý Bodley 343 z druhé poloviny století dvanáctého. Mladší rukopis, Bodley 343, vznikal za značně dramatičtějších okolností než první, kdy po dobytí Anglie Vilémem I. nastalo období úpadku anglického jazyka. Zatímco oficiální role přebíraly latina a normanská francouzština, opisování rukopisů ve staré angličtině bylo provozováno pouze marginálně, za účely soukromého využití nebo archivace - také by možné, že byly znakem odboje vůči nové normanské šlechtě. Rukopis Bodley 343 je součástí korpusu textů zachovaného z tohoto období, který bývá v angličtině označován termínem „Updated Old English". Updated Old English je charakteristická změnami v pádových koncovkách, které vznikají na základě fonetických ztrát a centralizace vokálů na koncích slov. U obou rukopisů je postupně představen jejich obsah, okolnosti jejich vzniku a pravděpodobný důvod jejich vzniku.

Druhá část práce se soustředí na jazyk obou rukopisů. V první řadě podává velmi stručný úvod do gramatiky staré angličtiny. Na to navazuje vysvětlením, v jakých ohledech se od standardní staré angličtiny liší angličtina pozměněná, Updated Old English, kterou užívá písař rukopisu Bodley 343. Následuje stručné uvedení do změn v tomto rukopise. Ty mohou být rozděleny na lexikální a syntaktické, a na fonetické a morfologické (neboli flektivní), jejichž detailní analýza je předmětem této práce. Lexikální a syntaktické změny vycházejí především z pohnutek písaře, který usiloval o to, aby byl nový text co nejsrozumitelnější. Volil proto záměrně slova, která nebudou pocit’ována jako archaická, a zjednodušoval rozvětvenější souvětí písaře staršího rukopisu Hatton 114. Fonetické změny jsou již pro účely této práce zajímavějisí, nebot' jsou úzce svázány se změnami v systému skloňování. Jak se stará angličtina pomalu mění v angličtinu střední, dochází k zániku jejích nazálních deklinačních
koncovek a ke kvalitativní změně ve vokálech, které těmto nazálám předcházejí. Výsledkem je, že se jednotlivé koncovky stávají nerozpoznatelnými. Aby naprosté ztrátě deklinačního systému mluvčí zabránili, snaží se tyto koncovky někdy zachovat, někdy účelně vybírají mezi dubletami výraznější variantu, aby byl význam zřetelněji vyjádřen. Ve středu zájmu této práce proto stojí morfologické změny v textu, které jsou reakcí písaře na rozpadající se hláskový systém a snahou zachovat alespoň systém provizorní.

Teoretická část práce dále pokračuje uvedením do jazykové typologie Pražské školy, protože angličtina mezi starším a středním obdobím přechází z ohebného jazyka v jazyk neohebný, izolační. Ztráta flexe ve jmenných frázích se ve větším měřítku promítá do celkového vývoje jazykového systému.

Posledním tématem teoretické části je souhrn literatury, která se tímto problémem zabývala před touto prací. Čistě gramaticky zaměřené práce na toto téma jsou vzácné, častěji je rukopisům věnována pozornost z hlediska historie nebo literatury - zkoumá se jejich vztah k jiným rukopisům nebo jejich literární hodnota a role v historickém kontextu. Lingvistické práce prozatím obvykle konstatovaly stav jazyka, aniž by ho nějak blíže zkoumaly, nebo ho případě zkoumaly z jiných strukturních hledisek. Zvláštní výjimkou je práce Raffaely Baechlerové, ze které toto práce částečně vychází. Raffaela Baechlerová podává ve své práci vlastní interpretaci dat z jiných rukopisů Updated Old English. Tato práce si klade za cíl rozširirit naše vědomosti zpracováním textu, kterému dosud nebyla žádná práce věnována, a ideálně také podnítit nový zájem v této oblasti.

V následující části jsou stručně shrnuty informace o německé edici rukopisů od Bruna Assmanna, ze které tato práce vychází, a o tom, jakým způsobem byl upraven text homilie. Stejně jako v německé edici byly i v této práci obě verze textu Nativitas Sanctae Mariae postaveny vedle sebe, aby je bylo možno lehčeji porovnat. Ve třetím sloupci k nim byl rovněž připojen překlad do současné angličtiny. Následně byly zpracovány pomocí barveného značení, které slouží k lepší přehlednosti dat: všechny části textu, které zůstávají neměnné, jsou vyznačeny světle modrou barvou. Černě zůstávají označeny všechny změny mezi oběma verzemi, kromě těch, které se vyskytují v koncovkách slov - to zahrnuje vše od změn grafému nebo hlásky až po vynechání celých vět nebo pasáží v textu. Nejdůležitější je červené značení, které se nachází na jakékoli změně v koncovce slova. Takto upravený text byl následně zpracován do tabulek, které se nacházejí v dodatku (Appendix).

Výzkumnými otázkami, na které si práce kladla za cíl odpovědět, byly nejprve: které změny v textu pozorujeme? A kolik přesně jich je? Na tyto otázky odpověděla první část analýzy, během které byl text zpracován. Změny v koncovkách, které provedl písař rukopisu Bodley 343 , byly rozděleny podle druhu jmenných frází, ve kterých se vyskytují, a do dalších tabulek poté především podle formy, kterou mají. (Sekundárně rovněž podle frekvence, do tabulky nejfrekventovanějších a tabulky sporadických.) Na základě charakteru změny, podle toho, zda dochází ke ztrátě nazál a vokálů, nebo k jejich změně, popřípadě připsání písařem, jsou změny rozděleny na fonetické a morfologické. U fonetických předpokládáme, že probíhají automaticky, na základě platných fonetických pravidel - naopak morfologickým je věnována zvýšená pozornost, nebot' u nich předpokládáme, že jsou písařem voleny s nějakým záměrem.

Druhá část analýzy měla za úkol zodpovědět tyto výzkumné otázky: kolik z uvedených změn je fonetických a kolik morfologických? Je možno říci, že jsou všechny změny v textu čistě fonetické nebo čistě morfologické? Jaké charakteristické rysy můžeme vypozorovat u jednoho a u druhého druhu změny, čím se od sebe liší? A konečně: slouží zde morfologické změny nějakému záměru, popřípadě vytvářejí vlastní gramatických systém? V následující analýze byly morfologické změny uvedeny v plném kontextu a analyzovány s ohledem na znejistělý flektivní systém, který hledá způsoby, jak se alespoň částečně zachovat.

Výsledky, kterých bylo touto prací dosaženo, jsou následující: z celkového počtu 61 druhů změn v koncovkách je 27 morfologického a 34 fonologického charakteru. Nelze hovořit o tom, že by všechny změny byly pouze fonetické nebo pouze morfologické, nebot' tyto změny jsou úzce provázány a změna v jedné rovině nutně vyvolává reakci v druhé. Fonetické změny jsou charakteristické svou zákonitostí, naopak změny morfologické charakterizuje intence písaře. Fonetickým změnám nebyla věnována další pozornost. Morfologické změny byly analyzovány v plném kontextu a byly u nich vypozorovány tyto hlavní tendence: na základě postupující fonetické změny se ve jmenných frázích oslabuje gramatická shoda mezi jednotlivými členy. Začíná se prosazovat systém, ve kterém se nositelem gramatické informace stává pouze jediný člen fráze - tím bývá někdy ukazovací zájmeno, ze kterého se do budoucna \(v\) angličtině vyvine určitý člen, jindy podstatné jméno, nebot' jeho koncovky mohou být mnohem výraznější než koncovky adjektivní.

Druhou významnou tendencí, na kterou hojně poukazují příklady z textu, je preference, kterou má písař rukopisu Bodley 343 pro podstatná jména mužného rodu. Ve staré angličtině značné množství podstatných jmen kolísalo v rodě, a užívalo proto víc než jednoho deklinačního
paradigmatu. Pokud by toto nepravidelné kolísání pokračovalo i do dob Updated Old English, museli bychom předpokládat, že by písař rukopis opsal a rody beze změny zachoval pozorujeme ovšem naopak, že každé jméno, které kolísá (často mezi femininem a maskulinem) je převáděno na maskulinum a skloňováno podle maskulinního paradigmatu. Docházíme tedy k závěru, že silné skloňování mužského rodu získávalo v Updated Old English na síle, jak se \(k\) tomuto vzoru připodobňovala stále další a další slova, čímž zároveň klesala frekvence užívání jiných paradigmat. Tím se zároveň mluvčí jako písař rukopisu Bodley 343 utvrzovali v tendenci rozšiřovat toto paradigma i na další slova.

Teorie Raffaely Baechlerové jsou daty z tohoto textu částečně zpochybněny, ale i částečně potvrzeny. Zpochybňuje je fakt, že koncovky ze silného paradigmatu mužského rodu se nešíří do jiných paradigmat - ale maskulinní skloňování se přesto šírí, protože na mužský rod obrací všechna kolísající jména. Na druhou stranu data také mnoho z hypotéz Raffaely Baechlerové potvrzují, i když převážně ojedinělými případy. Potvrzuje se například šǐření koncovky slabé deklinace „-ena", která ovládne genitiv v silném paradigmatu všech rodů, šíření preference pro plurály feminina, které končí na „-n", a preference pro signalizaci ženského rodu pomocí vokalické koncovky.

Zvláštním případem je koncovka „-um". Tato koncovka má tendenci se rozpadat (na nazálu a vokál) a mizet na základě fonetických změn. Patří ke změnám s nejvyšší frekvencí fonetických změn. Přesto se v textu vyskytují případy, kdy se ji písař rukopisu Bodley 343 rozhodne použít a to bez ohledu na gramatickou shodu se zbytkem jmenné fráze. Zřejmě je využívána ke zdůraznění dativního plurálu v kontrastu k singuláru.

Posledním vypozorovaným faktem je změna v paradigmatu osobního zájmena „ona". Na místo jeho akuzativního tvaru „hie" proniká tvar sdílený genitivem a dativem „hire" a to již v rukopise Hatton 114. V rukopise Bodley 343 je již změna kompletní - „hie" se již vůbec nevyskytuje. Celé paradigma bylo zjednodušeno na „heo" a „hire", tedy budoucí „she" a „her".

Praktickou část zakončuje shrnutí získaných výsledků a celá práce je zakončena závěrem, který znovu uvádí veškeré cíle a závěry této práce.

\section*{Appendix}

\section*{Appendix A: Text of Nativitas Sanctae Mariae}


Nu wille we eow secgan be đære gebyrde pære eadigan fæmnan Sct Marian, hu seo geworden wæs [and] acenned.

\begin{abstract}
B 343

Men đа leofeste! Wurðie we nu on andweardnysse pa gebyrdtide pære eadige femne sće marie. Đeo wæs godes kenninge ures drihtnes hælendes cristes, [and] hiræ nome ireht læfdi_ oððe cwen oむðe sæsteorræ.
\end{abstract}

Heo is læfdi_ inemned, forðan be heo cende bone laford heofenæs [and] eorðæn. And heo is cwen inemned, forðan pe heo com of bam æðele cynne [and] of pam kynelyce sæde dauides cynnes. Sæsteorræ sche is icweden, forpan đe peo sæsteorra on niht _cuðep scipliðendú monń, hwider bið east [and] west [and] suð [and] norð.

Swa penne wærð purh pa halig_ femne icyd be rihtæ sioffæt to pam æce life bam ðe ær longe seten on beostrú [and] on deapes scuan [and] on pam unstille yð̌an pare sæ pisses middaneardes. And ha syððan wisten alle halige pone frumen middæneardes [and] ende [and] heofene riches wuldor [and] helle wite.

Deonń magen we nu hwylcen seogú wordú sæcgæn be đare burdtide pære eadigæn femne sće marie, hu heo iboren wæs.

\section*{Translation of H 144}

Dearest men! Let us now at present honour the birth of the noble virgin Saint Mary. She was the birth-giver of our Lord holy Christ. Now is her name interpreted as lady or queen or sea-star.

She is called a lady because she gave birth to the Lord of heaven and earth. And she is called a queen because she came of the noble kin and of the kingly seed of David the king. She is called a seastar because this star at night lets sailors know where east and west is, where south and north.

So it was through the holy virgin Saint Mary that the right travelpath to the eternal life became known to those who long before dwelt in darkness and in the shadow of death and on the unstill waves of the sea of this middleearth (world). And ever since all holy ones know the beginning and end of the middle-earth and glory of the heavenly kingdom and hell's punishment.

We will now tell you about the birth of the noble virgin Saint Mary, how she was born and begotten.

We habbað geræd on bocum,
p[æt] wære sum swiðe æpele wer on Israheliscum folce. Dæs nama wæs loachim. Se wæs of Iudan cynne [and] he wearð geboren on Galileiscre scire on pære byrig, pe is gehalten Nazareth.
Se wæs heorda his sceapa [and] he wæs godfyrht man on bylewitnysse [and] on fremsumnysse, [and] he næefde nænige oðre gymene buton his eowde. Of pa[m] eowde he fedde ealle pa ðе him drihten ondredon [and] of ðam wæstmum he gode pryfealde lac gebrohte [and] ealle his æhta on breo todælde.
\(\nVdash\) Enne dæl he sealde pearfan [and] wydewan [and] steopcildum [and] ælbeodigum mannum [and]
oðerne dæl he sealde pam pe gode ane peowodon. Đone priddan dæl he heold him sylfum [and] his hirede big to lifigenne.

Đa midpam be he pas đing wæs donde pus, pa micclode god his woruldæhta, \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] on pa tid næs nan wer him gelic_ on Israhelum. Đas ping he ongan don, pa pe he wer fiftyne wintra.
[And] midpam be he hæfde twentig wintra, pa onfeng he wif him to gemæccan. Seo wæs gehalten Anna [and] hyre cynn wæs on bære byrig Bethleem.

Seo wæs Achares dohtor of his _genum cynne, \(p[æ t]\) is ponne of ðam æpelan cynne Davides pæs cynincges. Hi đa wæron samod drohtniende ætgædere twentig wintra, swa hi nan bearn ne begeaton.

We leorniæð, pæt were sú æpel_ wer in israel. His nome wæs ioachim, of iudan cynne.

He wæs heordæ his sceapæ. He wæs godfyrht mon on bilewitnysse [and] in fremsumnesse. And næfde he nænigne oðre gemæne \({ }^{57}\) nymðe his eowde. Of pam eowde he fedde alle pa ðe heom drihten ondredæp [and] of pam wæstme he gode preofealdne lac _brohte [and] all_ his æhtæ on đreo todælde.

Enne del he sealde pearfæn [and] widewæn [and] steopcildú [and] ælpeodig_ monń and oðerne del pæm pe gode ane seruedæn.
Priddan dæl he heold him seolfú [and] his hirede bi to lyfigenne.

Forpan đe he pas đing wæs donde pus, pa myclode god his
woruldæhtæ; on ba tis næs nan mon him ilice on israelæ. Đas đing he ongon don, pa he wæs XV wintre.
[And] midpa_ ðe he hæfde XX wintræ, pa nom he wif him to make. Heo wæs ihaten anna.

Heo wæs achares dohter of his agene cynne, b is ðenne of ðam æpelan cynne dauides pæs kynniges. Heo pa wæron samod togedere twentig wintræ, swa heo nan bearn ne bigetæn

We have read in books that there was a very noble man among Israel's people. His name was loachim. He was of Jewish kin and he was born in the land of Galilee in that city that is called Nazareth.

He was the shepherd of his sheep and he was a God-fearing man of simplicity and kindness and he never minded anything other than his herd. Of that herd he fed all those who feared the Lord and he would bring to God three-fold offering from the produce of the land and would divide all his posessions in three.

One part he gave to the needy and widows and orphans and foreigners and the second part he gave those who served the one and only God. The third part he kept for himself and his household for their livelihood.

And when he was doing the things thus, then God multiplied his wordly-propethy, so that at that time there was no man like him in Israel. He began doing those things when that he was fifteen winters old.

And when he was twenty winters old, then he took a woman for a spouse. She was called Anna and her kin was from the city of Bethlehem.

She was Achar's daughter of his own kin that is that of the noble kin of David the king. They afterwards lived together for twenty winters. Even so, they never begot any child.

\footnotetext{
57 edit: added space
}

Đa gelamp hit sume dæge, pæt he eode_ to đam drihtnes temple, [and] he đa loachim gestod betwyx bam mannum, pe to gode onsegednysse brohton, [and] he gearwode his gyfe on godes gesihðe. Him ðа to genealæhte para bocena sum, pæs nama wæs Ruben, [and] cwæð him to:

Nis be alyfed, b[æt] đu stande betwyx bam mannum, be gode onsegednysse bringað, forðan pe godes bletsung ofer đe ne com, pæt he pe ænig bearn asende.

He đa pæs wæs myccle scame prowiende beforan \(\mathrm{ba}[\mathrm{m}]\) folce for pisum wordum [and] he ðа swa scamiende ut of đam temple wepende gewat [and] he nolde_ba eft to his_agenum hame_hweorfan, ac he gewat on westenum to his sceapum [and] đa hyrdas nam mid
him [and] dær wunode fif monba fæce, swa p[æt] むær nænig ærendraca_betweonan_ne ferde him [and] his gemæccan.

Đa ongann Anna, his gemæcca_, wepende hi_ to drihtne gebiddan [and] đus cwæð:

Min drihten Israhela god, pu đe eart strang [and] mihtig ofer ealle gesceafta, [and] đu me noldest næfre bearn ofer eorðan syllan [and] đu minne wer me æt gename, [and] ic nat, hwæper his lif is ofer eorðan; [and] gif he forðgewiten is, ponne ne gegearwie ic him byrgene. Đa heo pas word gecweden hæfde, pa eode heo eft on hire cauertune [and] hire eadmodlice to drihtne gebæd.

Pa ilamp hit súme dæg_, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) heo eoden to bam drihtnes temple, [and] he pa ioachim _stod betwyx pam monń, pe to gode heoræ lac onsægednesse brohten, [and] he gearwode his gifu on godes isihðe. Him đัa to _neahlæhte pare bocere sum, his nome wæs ruben, [and] cwæð to him:

Nis đe ilyfed, ち đu stonde betwux pam đe gode onsegednysse bringæð, forban đe godes bletsung ofer be ne com, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) he pe ænig bearn sende.

He ðа pær wæs mycle scame prowiende beforan pam folce for đisse wordum. He pa swa scamiende ut of đam temple wepende eode [and] he ba nolde æft hweorfan to his_agene hame, ac he gewat on westene to his sceapú [and] pa hyrdæs nom mid him [and] bær wunode fif monðæ fæcc_, swa pær nan_ ærendrace ne ferde_betweonan hí [and] his mæccan.

Đa ongon anna hire imæccan bewepen [and] hire to drihtine biddan [and] pus cwæð:

Min drihten israele god, pu pe eart strong [and] mihtig ofer alle isceaftæ, [and] bu me naldæst næfre bearn ofer eorðan syllæn [and] pu minne wer æt me genome, [and] ic nat, hwæder his lif is ofer eorðan; [and] gif he forð̈iwiten is, ponń gearwode ic him byrigenne. Đa heo đas word icwæden hæfde, pa eode heo eft on hire cauertun_ [and] hire eadmodlice to driht_ bead.

Then it happened one day that he went to the Lord's temple and he, loachim, stood there between those men who brought offering to God and he prepared his offering in God's sight. One of the scribes whose name was Ruben then approached him and said to him:

It is not allowed that you should stand between the men who bring offerings to God because God's blessing never came over you, in that he has never sent you a child.

Thus he suffered much shame before the people because of those words and then, thus shamed, he went out of the temple crying and then he didn't want to return again to his own home, but he departed to the wilderness to his sheep and took with him the shepherds and lived there for five months' time, so that no messenger travelled between him and his spouse.

Then Anna, his spouse, began crying, she prayed to God and spoke thus:

My Lord Israeli God, you who are strong and mighty over all creatures, and you never wanted to give me a child on earth and you took my husband away from me and I do not know where his life is on earth; and if he has gone then I have not prepared him a burial. Then when she had said that word she went again to her hall and humbly prayed to God.
[And] æfterðam be heo hire gebed gefylled_hæfde, pa ahof heo hire eagan up to drihtne. Da geseah heo spearwan nest on anum lawertreowe. Heo đa wependre stefne clypode to drihtne [and] cw[æð]:

Drihten, pu ælmihtiga god, pu sealdest eallum gesceaftum byrðor [and] hi on pan gefeoð [and] ic pe nu panc secge, pæt pu me ane ute atyndest fram pinre gyfe pære fremsumnysse. Hwæt, pu min drihten canst [and] wast mine heortan. Hwæt, ic pe fram fruman mines gesinscipes gehet; gyf bu me sealdest sunu ođðe dohtor, pæt ic hi_ wolde pe gebringan to pinum te[m]ple.

Đa heo pas word gecweden hæfde, hwæt, ba hyre ætywde drihtnes encgel [and] đus cw[æð]: Ne đearft đu pe ondrædan, Anna, forðan pe pin browung is on godes gebeahte. P [æt] of đe acenned bið, pæt bið on wundra eallu[m] folcum ođ \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}]\) woruldes ende.

Đa midpa[m] be he pas word gecweden hæfde, pa wæs he fram hyre eagum ahafen. Da wæs heo swyðe forht geworden for đæs engles gesihðe. Heo hire ba gewat into hyre clyfan [and] đær awunode bone dæg [and] ða niht on hire gebede. Đa pæs on mergen ba gelapode heo hyre pinene hire to [and] cwæð: Hwæt, pu me gesawe on wydewanhade beswicene [and] on mycelre nearonysse gesette [and] noldest me to frofre cuman?

Đa [and]swarode heo hire ungepwærlice [and] hire cwæð to: Đeah pe god pinne wer æt pe gename [and] đinne innoð beluce, hwæt sceal ic pæs don? Đa pa heo ane pas word gehyrde, pa ongan heo biterlice wepan.

And æfterpan đe heo hæfde hire bone ifylled, pa ahof heo hire eagan up to drihtne. [And] pa iseah heo an sparewæn nest on anu_lawertrewe. Heo pa mid wependre stefne clypode to drihtne [and] cwæđ:

Drihten, pu almihtiga god, đu pe sealdest alle isceftæ byrbor [and] heo on pon gefoð, ic pe nu bang sæcge, pet pu me anæ ute atyndest from pine gyfæ pare fremsumnysse. Hwæt, pu min drihten const [and] wast mine heortæ. Hwæt, ic pe fró frimpe mine__sinscipes bihæt, gif đu me sealdest sunu oððe dohter, b ic hire wolde pe bringæn to pine té[m]ple.

Đa heo pas word icwædon hæfde, pa æteowde hire godes engel [and] cwæb: Nylt bu đe ondredan, anna, forban pin đrowung is on godes pohte. \(\boldsymbol{b}\) of be acenned biđ, ち bið on wundræ allú monń oð đet woruldæ ende.

Pa midpæm ðe he pas word icwedon hæfde, ba wæs he fram hire eagan ahofen. Pa wæs heo swyðe forht iworden for pæs englæs isihðe. [And] heo ba eode into hire cleofæ [and] pær wunede đone dæg [and] pa niht on hire _bedú. Da wæs morgen iworden; pa lađede heo hire đinene to hire [and] to hire cwæð: Hwæt, đu me isawe on mine wydewanhade biswicene [and] on mycelre nearunysse isette [and] noldost me toforen cumen?

Da answarde heo hire unðwærlice [and] hire to cwæd: Deah god pinne wær æt pe nome [and] pin_ innoð biluce, hwæt sceal ic pe ðæs don. Đa pe heo anæ pas word ihyrde, pa ongon heo wepæn biterlice.

And then after this, when her prayer had been fulfilled, she lifted her eyes up to the Lord. Then she saw a sparrow's nest on a laurel tree. She then called to the Lord with weeping voice and said:

Lord, you almighty God, you give all creatures children and they then rejoice over the, and I now say my thanks to you, that you shut out me alone from your gifts of kindness. Why, you, my Lord, know and understand my heart. Why, I promised you from the beginning of my marriage, that if you give me a son or a daughter I would bring them to you in your temple.

When she spoke that word, behold, the Lord's angel appeared before her and spoke thus: You do not need to fear, Anna, because your suffering is in God's consideration. She who will be born of you, she is to be wondered at by all the peoples until the world's end.

Then when he had said that word he rose from her sight. Then she became very frightened because of the sight of the angel. She went into her room and there remained throughout the day and the night in her prayer. Then on that morning she called her servant to herself and said: Why, you saw me betrayed in wodowhood and put in great distress and you did not want to come and comfort me?

Then she answered to her unkindly and told her: If the God took your husband away from you and locked your womb, what should I do with that? Once she heard that word she began to cry bitterly.

Hwæt, pa on bære ylcan tide ætywde_hi[m] sum swyðe wlitig wer on bam westene, pær pær he wæs mid his hyrdum, [and] him cwæð to: For hwan nelt đu ham gehweorfan to pinu[m] gemæccan?

Đa cwæð he loachim: Twentig wintra ic wunode mid hyre [and] me god nan bearn of hyre ne sealde. Ac ic swyð̌e geæswicod eode ut of đam drihtnes temple; forðan ic nylle eft ham gehweorfan, ac ic wylle her wunian pa hwile, pe drihten wile, [and] ða godes gyfe for drihtnes naman dælan, swa ic ær dyde. Đa [and]swarode him se iunga [and] cwæð:

Ic eom drihtnes encgel [and] nu to dæg ic me æteowde pinum gemæccan [and] hi_gefrefrode, pa pa heo hi_ wepende [and] geomriende to drihtne gebæd. [And] wite pu, pæt heo is of đinum sæde geeacnod, swa swa pu nystest, pa pa pu hi ana forlæte, [and] heo be cenneð dohtor [and] seo bið on godes temple fulfremed, [and] se halga gast restep on hyre, [and] hire eadignyss_astigeđ ofer ealle wifa cynn, [and] hire ne bið gelic ænig para pe ær wæs ođðe æfter.

Cyr nu to pinu[m] gemæccan [and] đu gemetest hi_geeacnode, [and] đu pæs gode panc sege, forđan be hyre sæd bið gebletsod [and] heo bið modor pære ecan bletsunge geseted.

Hwæt, pa on đare ylcan nihte him æteowde sum swiðe wlitig wer on ðam westene, pær pær he wæs mid his heordú, [and] him to cwæð!: For hwá nelt bu ham
_hweorfan to pinú imæccan?

Da cwæð ioachi: Twentig wintræ ic wunod' mid hire [and] me god nan bearn of hire ne sealde. Ac ic swiöe geæswicod eode ut of pá godes temple; forban ic nylle æft ham hweorfan, ac ic wylle her wuniæn pa hwile, ðe drihten wyle, [and] ba godes gife for drihtenes nome dælan, swa ic ær dyde. Da andswarde hí pe ængel [and] đus cwæð:

Ic eom drihtine_ engel [and] nu to dæg ic me æteowde pine gemæccan [and] hire frefrode, pa pa heo hire wæpende [and] geomeriende to drihtene bæd. [And] wite pu nu, b heo of đine sæde ieacnod is, swa swa pu na hit ne wistest. Đa pe đu ane forlæte, heo pe cenneð dohter [and] heo bið on godes temple fulfremed, [and] pe halga gast ræstæp on hire, [and] hire eadignyssæ oferstihð all_ wifcynn, [and] hire ne bið ilic ænig pare pe ær wæs oððe æfter cymð.

Cyr nu to đine imæccan [and] pu imætest hire ieacnode, [and] bas đonce gode, forpan đe hire sæd bið ibletsod [and] heo bið moder pare æcæn bletsunge iset.

Behold, at that same time a very handsome man appeared to him in the wilderness, there where he was with his herds and said to him: Why do you not want to return home to your spouse?

Then said he, loachim: Twenty winters I lived with her and God did not give me any child from her. But I went out of the God's temple very offended; because of that I do not want to return home again, but I want to remain here for the while that Lord wills and divide the God's gifts in the Lord's name, as I did before. Then the youth answered him and said:

I am the Lord's angel and today I showed myself to your spouse and comforted her, because she was crying and prayed to the Lord, lamenting. And know that she is pregnant with your seed, so that you did not know when you left her alone and she will give birth to a daughter and she will be brougt up to perfection in God's temple and the holy ghost will rest on her and her blessedness will descend over all woman-kind and to her will not be similar any that there before her was or after her will be.

Return now to your spouse and you will find her pregnant and give thanks to God for that, because her child is blessed and she was chosen the mother of eternal blessing.

Đa wurpode hine Ioachim［and］ him cwæp to：Gyf hit pus gewurðan scule，swa pu cwyst， site mid me on minum huse［and］ gebletsa pinne beow．

Đa cwæす se encgel to him：Hwi wylt pu la cweđ̃an，b［æt］đu sy min beowa，ac pu eart min efenbeowa，forðan be wit syndon anes godes peow．［And］ic pe secge， \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{æt}]\) min mete ne min drenc ne mæg beon fram mannum gesewen．Ac swa hwæt swa pu me to gyfe tihhie，bring pæt gode to onsægednysse．

He pa loachim gode brohte unwemme＿lac［and］to đam engle cwæð：Næs ic na gedyrstig，bæt ic gode sacerdlice onsægednysse brohte，pær pu me ne hete．Đa cwæð se encgel：Na ic ðe ne hete gode sacerdlice onsægednysse bringan，forðam gyf ic godes willan to pan on pe ne ongeate．Đa gewat se encgel samod mid đam stence pære onsægednysse into heofonum．

He ða loachim wearð to bam forht，pat he feoll on his ansyn ［and］læg swilce he dead wære fram pære sixtan tide pæs dæges， o才 \(\mathrm{b}[æ t]\) æfen wæs．Him pa to genealæhton his hyrdas［and］hi hine pa gesawon licgan［and］ nyston pone intingan on him，ac ahofen hine up，［and］hi wendon， pæt he wolde hine sylfne acwellan．

Đa ahof he his heafod up［and］he him asæde＿pa his gesihðe，be he geseah．Đa wurdon hi sona afyrhte ［and］eac wundrodon，oð \(\mathrm{p}[æ t\) ］he heom eall asæd hæfde；［and］hi đа ealle hine trymedon［and］lærdon， b［æt］he gehyrsu［m］wære pæs engles wordum［and］b［æt］he hrape gehwyrfde ham to his gemæccan．

Pa weorðede hine ioachi［and］ him to cwæð：Gif hit pus iwyrðan scyle，swa đu cwæst，site mid me on mine huse［and］＿bletse pinne ðeow．

Da cwæð pe engel to hí：Hwi wilt đu la cwæðon，ち đu beo min ðeowæ，ac pu eart min efenðeowæ，forban wit beoð anes godes peow．［And］ic pe secge，pet min mæte and min drync ne mæg beon from monń isægen．Ac swa hwæt swa đu me to gife tihtest， bring pæt gode to unsægednesse．

He pa ioachim brohte unwemmed lac［and］to pam engle cwæð：Næs ic na geđrystig，\(\ddagger\) ic gode sacerdlice onsægednesse brohte， gif pu me ne hæte．Pa cw［æð］be engel： Na ic be ne hate gode sacerdlice onsægednesse bringan， forban đe ic godes willæn to pam ne ongeate．Da ferde pe engel samod mid pam stence đære onsægednysse into heofenú．

He pa ioachi＿wearð to pam afyrht， \(\mathbf{b}\) he feol on eorban［and］ læg swylce he dead were fram pare sixtan tide pæs dæges，oð đet hit euen wæs．Him pa to nealæhton his heordæs［and］heo hine pa isegæn liogan［and］nysten penne intingæn on him，ac＿hofen him up，［and］heo cwæden， b he wolde hine sylfne acwællæn．

Đa ahof he his heafod up［and］ sæde heom his sihðe，pe he iseah． Đa wyrdon heo sonæ afyrhte ［and］eac wundredon，oð ðet he hit heom all isæd hæfde；［and］ heo alle pa hine tremedon［and］ lærdon， \(\boldsymbol{b}\) he ihyrsum wære pæs englæs wordú［and］b he rape hwirfde ham to his imæccæn．

Then loachim worshipped him and said to him：If it should happen thus as you say，sit with me in my house and bless your servant．

Then said the angel to him：Why would you say that that you are my servant，when you are my even－servant，because the two of us are servants of one God．And I say to you that neither my food， nor my drink may be seen by men． But whatever you considered as a gift to me，bring that as an offering to God．

He，loachim，then brought to God an unspotted offering and to the angel said：I would not be so bold as to bring to God priestly offering，had you not commanded me．Then the angel said：Neither would I command you to bring to God priestly offering，had I not in you perceived that such is God＇s will．Then the angel went together with the scent of the offering into heaven．

He，loachim，then became so frightened that he fell on his face and lay as if he was dead from the sixth hour of that day until it was evening．His shepherds approached him then and they saw him lying there and did not know of any cause but they lifted him up and assumed that he had wanted to kill himself．

Then he lifted his head up and he told them of the sight that he saw． Then they were immediately frightened and also amazed，until he told them everything；and then they all encouraged and instructed him that he should listen to the angel＇s words and that he should quickly return home to his spouse．

He đa Ioachi[m] preodode [and] smeade on his mode, hwæt he embe pæt dyde. Pa wearð he færinga on slæpe gehwyrfed. Him pa eft ætywde se ylca godes encgel on slæpe [and] him to cwæず:

Ic eom godes engel [and] fram drihtne ic eom pe to hyrde geset. Ac gewit nu ham orsorh to đinu[m] gemæccan [and] ingcer mildheortnyss_, be gyt worhten, is beforan godes gesihðe [and] ingcer nama wæs gesædd beforan godes gesihðe on his prymsetle, [and] he drihten ingc syleđ swa myccle grownysse on ingcran beorðre, swa he næfre nænigan halgan ær ne sealde, ne nu eft ne syllað.

He pa loachim of pam slæpe aras
[and] his swefen sæde his
hyrdu[m]. Hi đa ealle hine bædon [and] halsedon, p[æt] he
gehyrsumode pæs engles wordum [and] ricene ham gecyrde. Đa wæs he ær gegan for his unrotnysse on bam westene to đam feor, \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}]\) he for XXX daga fæc ne mihte ham to his gemæccan gehweorfan. Heo pa Anna wæs æt hyre gebede, ba ætywde hyre drihtnes encgel [and] hyre gecigde pone hamsið hyre gemæccan.
[And] heo đa mid hyre hyrede him ongean ferde mid mycclum gefean; swylce eac hyre mægðe [and] eall Israhela bearn mycelne gefean hæfdon be his hamcyme [and] be đam hlisan hire geeacnunge.

He pa ioachi đreodode on his mode, hwæt he embe b dyde. Pa wearð he feringæ on slæpe
gehwirfed. Him ba æteowde be ilcæ_engel_eft on slepe [and] hí to cw[æ]d:

Ic eom godes engel [and] fró drihtne ic am pe to heorde iset. Ac fare nu ham orsorh to đine _mæccan [and] eower mildheortnysse, pe ge wrohton, [and] eower nomæ wæs iræded beforæn drihtnes isihðe on his ðreomsettle, [and] he eow syllæð swa mycele grownisse on eowre beorðran, swa he næfre nænne halge ær ne sealde, ne nu næfre æft ne syllæð.

He pe ioachi_ of pam slepe aras [and] his swefen sæde his heordú. Heo pa alle hine bedæn [and] halsedon, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) he ihyrsumode pas englæs wordum [and] ræcene ham cyrde. Da wæs he ær igan for his unrotnysse on bam westene swa feor, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) he for đrittige dagene fæcc ne mihte ham to his mæcce gehweorfan. Heo pa anna wæs æt hire bede, pa æteowde hire drihtnes engel [and] hire cydde đone hamsið hire imacen.
[And] heo pa mid hire hinene him ongean eode mid mycle gefean; swilce eac hire magas [and] all israelæ bearn mycele blisse hæfdon bi his hamcyme [and] be pam lisan hira geeacnunge.

He, loachim, then thought and pondered in his mind what he should do about that deed. Then he suddently fell asleep. The same God's angel appeared to him again in sleep and said to him:

I am God's angel and I am sent from the Lord to guard you. But return now without fear to your spouse at home and the mercy that the two of you have been shown is before God's sight and the names of the two of you were uttered before God's sight on his throne and he, the Lord, has given to you two in your child so much prosperity, as he never gave any pious before, nor ever shall again.

He, loachim, then arose from that sleep and told his shepherds about his dream. They all begged him and implored that he should obey the angel's words and quickly returns home. He had gone so deep into the wilderness because of his unhappiness, that he could not return home to his spouse before thirty days' time. She, Anna, was then at her prayer, when the Lord's angel appeared to her and anounced to her her husband's journey home.

And she then with her household went to meet him with great joy; so also her kin and all Israel's children felt much joy about his homecoming and about the news of her conception.

Hwæt, pa æfterpan wæron gefylde nigan monað hire geeacnunge, ba cende Anna hyre dohtor on bissum dæge [and] hyre naman gecigde Maria, [and] heo hi_ đa fedde preo winter æt hire breostum. loachim ba [and] Anna læddon bæt cild mid heom to pære halgan ceastre [and] đa lac brohton mid heom to đam
drihtnes temple, pe Iudea gewuna wæs.
[And] hi đa sealdon p[æt] cild on gemanan pære fæmnena, be dæges [and] nihtes on godes lofe wunodon. Heo ba up eode mid pam ođrum fæmnum on pa fiftyne stæpas on pam temple, swa heo on bæc ne beseah, ne æfter hyre yldrum ne murnde, swa swa cildra gewuna is.

Ac heo wæs on gange [and] on worde [and] on eallum gebærum gelic wynsuman men, pe hæfde XXX wintra, [and] heo geornlice [and] eadmodlice purhwunode on hyre gebede, oð \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}]\) ba biscopas [and] eall \(b[æ t]\) folc wundrodon on hire gebære.

Đa cænde anna hire dohtor [and] hire nome nemnode maria, [and] heo hire ba fedde preo wintræ æt hire breoste. Ioachi_ [and] anna ba læddon \(\ddagger\) child mid heom to pare halgan ceastre [and] b lac brohten mid heom into pam drihtines temple, pe iudea iwune wæs.
[And] heo pa sealden b child on gemæne pære femenæ, be dæges [and] nihtes on godes lofe wunoden. Heo up eode mid pam ođre femnú on pa XV stæpes on pa temple, swa heo ne on beac ne beseah, ne heo na ne tealtrade, ne æfter hire ealdre ne rymde, swa swa childes gewunæ wæs.

Ac heo wæs on geange [and] on wordæ [and] on allú ibære ilic wunsumen menn, be hæfde prittig wintræ, [and] heo geornlice [and] eadmodlice purhwunode on hiræ _bedum, oð đet pa biscopæs [and] all \(\hbar\) folc wundræde on hire ibærum.

Behold, then when afterwards nine months of her pregnancy were fulfilled, then Anna gave birth to her daughter on this day and named her Maria and then fed her for three winters at her breast. Then Ioachim and Anna took the child with them to the holy citadel and then brought with them offering to the Lord's temple, as was the custom in Judea.

And then they put the child in company of those virgins who spend days and nights praising God. As she then went with the other virgins up the fifteen steps to the temple, she did not look back, nor did she yearn for her parents as is children's custom.

But she was in walking and in words and in all behaviour like agreeable men who are thirty winters old, and she earnestly and humbly remained at her prayers until the bishops and all the people were amazed at her behaviour.

Heo Anna pa, S[an]c[t]a Marian modor, wæs gefylled mid halige gaste [and] witegode pa beforan pam folce [and] đus cwæp:

Drihten ælmihtig god wæs gemyndig his worda, pe he sylfa cwæð, ðæt he wolde his folc_ gesecan mid haligre geneosunge [and] đa peode, pe wið us arisan, he wolde geeadmedigan, bæt hi gecyrdon to heora sylfra heortan [and] heora earan ontynon to urum benum, [and] ða bysmernyssa ura feondum fram us acerron.

On đam mundbyrdum ecre blisse tuddor forgeafon on Israhelum, swa me min drihten forgeaf, pæt ic mot gode gecweme lac bringan, ær me mine fynd ascufon fram pære halgan onsegednysse for minre unwæstmberendnysse. Drihten min acerde hi[m] fram me [and] me forgeaf ecne gefean.

Heo anna ba wæs ifylled mid pam
halgan gaste [and] heo witegode pa biforen pam folce [and] pus cw[æð]:

Driht_ almihtig god wæs imundig his wordæ, be he sylf_ cwæð, b he wolde his folce _secan haligre neosunge [and] pa đeode, pe mid us arisæn, he wolde eadmedigan, ち heo cyrdon to heoræ silfræ heortú [and] heoræ earan ontyndon to ure benú, [and] pa bismernesse ure feódú from us acyrdon.

On bam unbeoðrum æcere blisse, đe par igefæn is on israele, swa me mic drihten _geaf, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) ic mot gode icwæme lac bringan, ær me mine feond ascufon from pare halgan onsægednyssæ for mine unberendnysse. Drihten min acerde heom from me [and] me _geaf ecce blisse.

Then she, Anna, mother of Saint Mary, was filled with Holy Ghost and prophesised there before the people and spoke thus:

Lord almighty God was mindful of his words, which he himself had said, that he would bless his people with this holy visitation and the people who rise against us he would behumble, so that they would turn to their own hearts and open their ears to our prayer and then turn away from us the smearing of our enemies.

In the protection of an eternaly blessed offspring bestowed upon Israel, my Lord granted me that I may bring to God a pleasant offering, while earlier my enemies drove me from the holy offering for my unfertility. My Lord turned them away from me and shall grant me eternal blessing.

Đa heo pas word gecweden
hæfde, pa cyrdon hi ham mid pam
[and] p[æt] cild forleton æt đam
halgan temple mid oðrum fæmnum. Heo đa weox [and] wearð fulfremed on godra mægna heanyssu[m], [and] heo đa sone godum towcræftum onfeng, swyðor ponne ænig para pe heora bearn wæron wifa [and] fæmnena.
[And] heo gesette hyre sylfre haligne regol, swa bæt heo wolde beon fram pære ærestan tide pæs dæges on hyre halgum gebedum wuniende oð \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] đa briddan tid_ [and] fram pære priddan tide ođ đa nigopan tid_ ymbe hyre webbgeweorc.
[And] eft fram pære nigoð゙an tide heo purhwunode standende on hyre gebedum, oð \(\mathrm{p}[æ t]\) godes encgel hyre ætywde [and] hyre brohte heofonlice_swetnysse [and] heo onbirigde bære of his handa.
[And] heo syð̈pan wæs betere [and] swyðre on godes lufan [and] on gastlicra mægna heannyssu[m], [and] heo yldran fæmnan lærde to godes willan [and] heo wæs getyddre [and] snotere on pære godes æ, ponne ænig pære pe hyre beforan wæs.

Đa heo bas word icwædon hæfde, pa cyrdon heo ham mid pam [and] b child forlæten æt pá temple mid pam ođre femnen. [And] heo pa weox [and] wearð fulfremed on godræ mægene heanyssæ, [and] heo ba sonæ godú towcræftú onfeng, [and] swiðor pone ænig pare pe heore bearn wæren wifæ [and] femnena.

And heo _sette hire sylf_ haligne regol, swa \(\mathbf{b}\) heo wolde beon fram pare æreste tyde pæs dæges on hire halig__beden wuniende oð đet pa đridde tyde, on ba nigođæn tide emben hire webweorc.
[And] æft fram pare nigoðan tyde heo purhwunode standende on hire ibedú, oð đet godes engel hire æteowde [and] hire brohte heofenlices swetnysse [and] heo baræburigde of his handa.
[And] heo syððan wæs betere [and] swiđre on godes lufæn [and] on gastlicræ mægenæ heanyssæ, [and] heo yldræn femnæn lærde to godes willæn [and] heo wæs tyddre [and] snotere on pare godes lage, pone ænig pære pe hire biforen wæs.

After she has spoken that word, they returned home with that and left the child at the holy temple with the other virgins. She grew up there and was brought to perfection in good virtues of humbleness and she then soon took up good skill in weaving, better than any there whose children \({ }^{58}\) were women and virgins.

And she set for herself holy rules, so that she would be from the first time of the day alone at her holy prayer until the third hour and from the third hour until the ninth hour about her web-work.

And again from the ninth hour she dwelt standing in her prayer until the God's angel appeared to her and brought her sweetness from heaven and she took it from his hands.

And she afterwards was better and grew strong in God's love and in the spiritual virtue of humbleness and she taught older virgins about God's will and she was more learned and wise about God's law than any before her ever was.

\footnotetext{
58 unclear passage, this translation is only approximate
}

Heo wæs eadmodre [and] on godes lufan glæddre [and] on hyre gepohtum clænre [and] on đam davidiscu[m] sangum wrætlicre [and] wisra, ponne heora ænig ær wære. Heo wæs polemod [and] gestæð̈pig on hire gebæran [and] ne geseah hi_nan man yrre, ne tælan, ne wyrigean, ne nan man ne gehyrde yfel_word of hyre muðe gan.

Ac hyre word wæron godes gyfe full_ [and] heo wæs on hyre heortan smeagende ponne wisdo[m] godes boca. [And] ðа fæmnan, be mid hyre wæron, heo getrymde, pæt hi on heora gebedum purhwunedon [and] on godes lofa [and] pæt heora nan stefne up ne ahofe_on idlum leahtre, ne hy on heora tungan teonan ne cwæden, ne nan yfel word of heora mupe ut ne eode.

And of heom aras ærest se gewuna, bæt se man, se ðe operne mid lufangegrete, bæt he him godcunde bletsunge ongean sette. And dæghwamlice heo onfeng mete of đæs engles hande [and] mid pa[m] gereordod wæs, [and] đone mete, pe heo æt pa[m] bisceope onfeng æt đam temple, heo gedælde pearfendum mannum. [And] swa hwilc man swa hi_ untrum gesohte, eft he eode hal fram hire.

Heo wæs eadmodre [and] on godes lufe glædre [and] on pohte clænre [and] on pam dauidisce sange wræstlicre, pone ænig wære. [And] heo wæs đolemod
[and] _steaðig on hire ibærum [and] nan mon ne_seah hire wrađ, ne tælan, ne warigen, ne nan word yfeles of hire muð̃ ne com.

Ac hire word weró godes gife fulle [and] he_ wæs on hire heortæn smeagende pone wisdom on godes bocæ. [And] ðа femnen, pe mid hire weron, heo tymede, \(\bar{b}\) heo on heoræ bedum purhwynedon [and] on godes lofe [and] nan heoræ stefne on ydele læhtre up ahufe, ne heo on heoræ tungæn teonan ne cwædon, ne nan yfel word of heoræ muðe ut ne eode.
[And] of hire aras ærest pe
_wunæ, ち ðe mon, ðe oðerne
_grete mid leofe worden, \(\boldsymbol{6}\) he him godcunde bletsunge ongean sette.
[And] dæghwamlice heo underfeng mete of pas englæs handa [and] mid pan heo ireordod wæs, [and] pone mete, be heo æt pam biscope underfeng on bam temple, pone heo dælde ðearfendú monń. And swa hwilc mon swa hire untrum isohte, æft he eode hal from hire.

She was humbler and more cheered by God's love and in her thoughts cleaner and more amazed by David's songs and wiser than any before her were. She was patient and steady in her bearings and no man saw her angry, nor blaming, nor cursing, neither did any man ever hear an evil word come from her mouth.

But her words were full of God's grace and in her heart she contemplated the wisdom of God's books. And the virgins, who were with her, she improved so that they stayed at their prayer and in worship of God, and so that none of them ever rose her voice in idle blame, nor did their tongues speak any harm, nor did an evil word ever come out of their mouths.

And from them first arose the custom that the man, who greets another with love, gives him a Godly blessing. And daily she took food from the angel's hands and was fed with that, and the food, which was then given to her by the bishop at the temple she divided between needy men. And whichever ill man sought her out, he often went back healthy from her.

Đa gelamp hit, \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}]\) Abiathar, se sacerd, brohte myccle_gyfe pa[m] bisceope [and] bæd hine, pæt he gesealde Marian his sunu to gemæccan. Đa styrde Maria him [and] cwæð anrædlice: Ne gewurð pæt næfre swa, b[æt] ic wer grete ođðe wer me.

Đa [and]wyrdan pa biscopas hyre
[and] hyre mægðe on pam
te[m]ple [and] cwædan: god wæs on bearnum begangan [and] on eaforan weorbad, swa b[æt] gewunelic wæs on godes folce Israhelum. Đa [and]wyrde Maria [and] hi[m] cwæð to: Næs nan rihtwis man, ne nan halig ær Abel, [and] hine arleaslice his brođor ofsloh.

He brohte twyfealde gyfe drihtne hluttre onsegednysse [and] his lichaman clænnysse. Swylce_eac Helias wæs on pissere worulde, ac he wearð alæded mid lichaman [and] mid sawle to heofonu[m], forðan pe he his clænnysse geheold.

Da ilamp hit, b abiathar, be sacerd, brohte mycelne gyfe pam biscope [and] bæd hine, pet he sealde maria_ his sune to imæccæn. Đa steorde mariæ him [and] cwæð andredlice: Ne _wurðæp b næfre swa, b ic wer grete oððe wer me.

Đa answerden hire_ba biscopæs [and] hire magas alle, be on pam temple werð, [and] cwædon: God wæs on bearnú begangen [and] in eaforen weorðap, swa đet iwunelic wæs on godes folce israele. Da answyrde maria [and] heom cwæす to: Næs nan rihtwis mon, ne halig ær abel, [and] hine arleaslice unwis ofsloh.

He brohte twyfealde gyfe drihtene luttre onsægednysse [and] his lichames clænnysse. Eac swylce helias wæs of pissere weoruldæ ilæd on lichame, forpan đe he his clænnysse heold.

Then it happened that Abiathar the priest brought many gifts to the bishop and begged him that he could have his son marry Mary. Then Maria corrected him and said resolutely: It will never be so, that I should know a man or a man me.

Then the bishops answered her and her kin in the temple and said: God was worshipped by children and honoured by offsprings, as was the custom among God's people of Israel. Then answered Maria and said to them: There was no righteous man, nor a pious one before Abel, and he was wickedly killed by his brother.

He brought a two-fold gift to the Lord, a clean offering and his bodily cleanliness. Such was also Elias in this world, but he was taken away with body and with soul to heaven because he had upheld his cleanliness.

Đa wæs heo, swylce heo wære XIV wintra. Đa gede[m]don pa
Phariseas, pæt heo syðððan wunian sceolde on bam godes temple. Hy đa gepeahtodon, pæt hi Israhela folc gelađodon to đam godes temple by priddan dæge. Đa ba hy pider gesamnod wæron, pa astah se heahbiscop Isachar up on pone ytemestan stæpe, clypode pa hluddre stefne [and] đus cwæð:

Gehyrað̃ nu, Israhela bearn, mine word. Hwæt, ge witon, p[æt] Salomon pis tempel getimbrode [and] her wæron syð̈pan inne cyninga bearn [and] witegena [and] heahsacerda, oð \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] hi becomon to ælicre yldo_. [And] hi gelimplice heora yldran wæron gehyrsume to ælicum gyftum [and] heora bearn eft gode lac brohton swyðe gecweme to pa[m] godes temple [and] on heora yldrena endebyrdnysse gode gecwemdon.

Nu is ponn[e] gemeted, pæt Maria
hæfð niwe endebyrdnysse ongunnon gode mid to gecwemanne, cweð, pæt heo wile hire mægð̌had bringan. Nu ponne uton secan pa andsware to gode ure axunge, \(\mathrm{b}[æ \mathrm{t}]\) god us gecyठe, hwilcu[m] wære we hi_ befæstan sculon to healdenne. Đa gelicode pæt word pære gesamnunge [and] hy đa asenden hlota ofer ba XII cyn Israhela. Đa gefeoll pæt hlot ofer Iudan cyn, Iacobes sunu.

Wæs heo, swylc_heo wære
feowertene wintræ. Pa _demden pharisei, b heo syððan wuniæn sceolde on pam godes temple.

Đæt pridde dæg, pa heo ba đider isamnod wæron, pa astah pe heahbiscop isacar up on pone ytemeste stæpe [and] clypode pa luddre stefne [and] pus cw[æð]:

Ihyræð nu, israele bearn, min_ word. Ge witon, \(\hbar\) salomon pis temple timbrode [and] her wæron syððan inne kyningæ bearn [and] witegenæ [and] heahsacerdæ, oð đet heo bicomen to ælicre yldon. [And] heo ilimplice heora yldran wæron eawfestæ [and] heoræ bearn æft gode icweme lac brohtn to pam godes temple [and] heora yldrene endebyrdnysse gode icwæmdon.

Nu is đonne imetod, b maria hæfð niwe endebyrdnysse ongunnen gode mid to cwemene, sægð, b heo wyle gode hire mægð̆had bringan. Uton secan ba andsware æt gode sylfum ure axunge, \(\hbar\) god us cybe, hwylce wære we hire bifæsten sceolen to haldene. Pa licode pet word alle pare samnunge and ba senden lot_ofer pa tweolf cynn israele bearnú. Da feol blot ofer iudan cynn, iacobes sune.

Then she was fourteen winters old. Then judged the Pharisees that she should afterwards dwell in the God's temple. They then decided that they will invite the Israeli people to God's temple on the third day. Then when they were gathered there, the archbishop Isachar ascended up on the highest step, called with a loud voice and spoke thus:

Listen now, Israel's children, my words. Why, you know, that this temple was built by Salomon and that here were the children of the king and of wise-men and of high priests until they reached the age prescribed by law. And they were obedient to their parents as to lawful marriages and their children often brought very pleasing offering to the God's temple and in order according to their parent's rank.

Now it happened that Mary has taken up a new occupation with which she wishes to please God, said that she wants to offer her maidenhood. Now let us seek from God an answer to our question, God will let us know, which man we should entrust with guarding her. The word deligted the gathering and they then cast a lot over the twelve tribes of Israel. The lot fell on the Judah kin, sons of Jacob.

Đa bebead se sacerd， \(\mathrm{p}[æ t] \mathrm{b}[æ t]\) iudan cynn come eall pæs on mergen to đam halgan temple， ælc pæra pe wif næfde，［and］ hæfde him gyrda on handa．Đa
wæs ponon geworden， \(\mathrm{p}[æ t]\) loseph wæs gehaten sum gewintrod man，eode pyder mid iungum mannu［m］［and］his gyrde bær．

Pa genam se sacerd ealle ba gyrde ［and］bær into pam S［an］c［t］a \(\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{an}] \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{t}]\) orum［and］bebead，pæt hi comon bæs on mergen［and］ name ælc his gyrde，［and］đonne sceolde culfre fleogan of bære gyrde foreweardre up o才 pone heofon．

Donne sceolde se wer beon hyrde pære fæmnan．Đa wæs geworden on mergen pæs æfteran dæges， pæt hi comon ealle on pa tid pære onsægednysse，［and］he ða inn eode se biscop into bam S［an］c［t］a \(\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{an}] \mathrm{c}[\mathrm{t}]\) orum，wæs pæt pæra haligra halignys ealra，［and］he ða genam ba gyrde［and］sealde heora æghwilcu［m］his gyrd on sundran．

Da wæs pæra manna preo pusenda，ac đa of nan para gyrda seo culfre ne eode．Đa gegyrede hine Abiathar se biscop mid pare heahsacerda gegyrlan［and］eode into đam S［an］c［t］a S［an］c［t］orum ［and］onbærnde pa drihtne sende． Đa ætywde him drihtnes encgel ［and］him cwæð to：

Seo seleste gyrd is gyt unseald， seo pe pu for naht ne telest．Nim pa［and］syle，ponne cymð pær tacen of hyre，pe pu pær setest． Wæs pæt losepes gyrd．

Da bead pe sacerd，あ iudan cynn come pæs on mærgen to pam godes temple，ælc pære đe wif næfde，［and］hæfde heoræ ælc gyrdæ on hondæ．Pa wæs đonen iworden，b ioseph wæs ihaten sum iwintred mon［and］eode pider mid gungú monń［and］his gerde pider bær．

Pa＿nam pe sacerd alle gerdæn ［and］bær đa into sćá scóŕr［and］ bead， b heo comen on mærgen ［and］nome ælc his gyrd＇，［and］ ponne sceolde an culfre fleon of ðare gyrde foreweardre up into heofeń．

Penne scold＇pe wer beon hyrde pare femnæn．Đa wæs iworden on mærgen pæs æftren dæges，pa comen heo alle on pa tid pare onsægednysse，［and］pa in eode pe biscop abiathar into sćá scóŕ， wæs pæt pare haligræ halignis ealræ，and he ba nom pa gyrdan ［and］sealde anre gehwylcú heom on syndron．

Wæs ðare monń đreo pusendæ， ［and］pa of nan pare gyrde peo culfre ut ne eode．Pa gescrydde hine abiathar pe biscop mid bam heahsacerdæ gyrlan［and］in eode on ba sćá scóŕr［and］onbærnde pa onsægednysse［and］his bene to drihtine sende．Da æteow him drihtines engel［and］him to cwæす：

Đeo sæleste gerde is git æfter，pe đu for noht ne tellest．Nym pa ［and］syle，ponne cymð b tacn of hire，ち đu per secgst．Wæs b iosepes gyrd．

Then the priest comanded that that the Judah people should all come on that morning to the holy temple，all those that did not have wifes and should have their rods in their hands．Afterwards it happened that Joseph was the name of a seasoned man，who went there with younger men and carried his rod．

The priest then took all the rods and bore them into the Sancta Sanctorum and commanded that they come there on the morrow and they each should take their rod and then a dove should fly up from the rod forward up to the heaven．

Then should the man become the guardian of the woman．That happened in the morning of the next day that they all came at the time of the offering and the bishop then went into the Sancta Sanctorum，where there was the holy of holies，and he took the rods and gave every one of them his rod separately．

There were three thousand of these men，but then no dove came from any of the rods．Then Abiathar the bishop with the high priests put on attire and went into the Sancta Sanctorum and sent to the Lord burned offering．Then the Lord＇s angel appeared to him and said to him：

The best rod has yet not been given，the one that you took for naught．Take it and give it，then the sign which you have set will come out of it．It was Joseph＇s rod．

Đa næs he na gesoht, forðan be he wæs eald [and] ytemest stod. Đa clypode se biscop mid mycelre stefne_hine [and] cydde him \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ], [and] he đa sone onfeng pæra gyrda of ðæs bisceopes handum. Hwæt, pær of sona fleah culfre swyð̌e hwit [and] geo geond fleah pa heannysse pæs te[m]ples [and] heo đa gewat into heofonum.

Đa wæs pæt folc fægniende [and] swyðe bliðe [and] cwædon to losepe: Eadig eart đu on pinre ylde, nu be god swa gewurðode, b[æt] đu scealt beon hyrde pære clænan fæmnan. Eac swylce pa biscopas cwædon: Onfoh hyre nu, forðan pe pu eart ana gecoren on pinum cynne hyre to hyrde fram gode.

He ða loseph cwæð mid bifiendre stefne: Nis min gemet swilcum cilde to onfonne, forđan pe ic hæbbe fela bearna [and] đa synd ealle yldran ponne heo.

Pa næs heo na isoht, forban đe ioseph wæs eald [and] on utemeste stod. Pa clypode pe biscop hine_mycle stefne [and] hine nemnode [and] him his gyrdæ sealde. [And] ba on sæmningæ fleah pær culfre ut swiðe hwit [and] fleah geond pa hwæmmes pæs temples [and] ba gewat on đone heofeń.

Pa wæs ðet folc swiðe gefeonde [and] cwædon to iosepe: Eadig eart pu on pine ylde, nu de god swa gewurðode, \(\begin{aligned} & \text { đu scealt beon }\end{aligned}\) hyrde pare clænen fæmnen. Eac swylce pa biscopæs cwædon:
Onfoh hire nu, ioseph, forban đe pu eart anæ icoren on pine cynne hire to hyrde from gode.

He pa ioseph cwæð bifigendre stefne: Nis min gemet swylcú cilde to onfonne, forban đe ic habbe fela bearnæ [and] pa beoð alle yldræ poń heo.

He was not sought after because he was old and stood the furthest. Then the bishop called out with a loud voice and told him that and he immediately took his rod from the bishop's hands. Behold, a very white dove immediately flew out of it and flew through the height of the temple and then went into heaven.

Then the people rejoiced and were very glad and said to Joseph: Blessed are you in your age, now that God exalted you so that you shall be the guardian of the clean virgin. Also the bishops were saying: Take her now because you are the one chosen by God out of your kin to guard her.

Then Joseph said with a trembling voice: It is not appropriate for me to take this child, because I have many children and they are all older than her.

Đa cwæð se biscop to hi[m]: Gemune pu, hu hit gelamp be Dathan, hu he forwearð [and] manega eac pa đe godes willan, ac ic hy gehealde, o才 \(\mathrm{p}[æ t]\) ic ongyte godes willan on hyre [and] god ponne gecyped, hwilc iungra manna on minum cynne hyre wurðe bið.

Ac syle bam clænan fæmnan fultum, bæt heo wunigen mid hig o才 \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{r}]\) ælice yldo. Đa cwæð se biscop: Fæmnan beoð hyre on fultume oð pæne dæg eowra gemænunga, [and] ne mæg hig nan oðer onfon.

Đa onfeng loseph hi mid ođrum fæmnum, pe hyre wæron on fultume geseald to losepes hame. Đa wæron pus gehatene, ærest Becca, Sephira, Susanna, Abugea [and] Sehel. [And] se biscop sealde heom eallum godweb to wefanne of seolce [and] of mislicum hiwum wahrift to pam te[m]ple. Đa onfeng Maria hwit_godweb_to wefanne [and] ða oðre mislices hiwes. Đa soræcon \({ }^{59}\) hi heom betwynan on gleo [and] đus cwædon:

Pu eart ure gingast, pe miht wefan pæt hwite godeweb, [and] đu miht beon ure cwen. Đa pa hi pas word spræcon on gleo, ðа ætywde heom drihtnes encgel [and] cwæð: Ne sceal eower word beon on idelnyssa, ac hit sceal beon_mid soðe gecyped, forðan pe scolde beon middaneardes cwen. \(Đ a\) wæron hi ealle swiðe forhte for ðæs engles gesihðe [and] his worde [and] ongunnon hi_ wurbian [and] hyre eadmidlice hyran.

Da cwæð pe biscop to him:
Gemun_pu, hu _lamp dapan; he forwearð [and] monige eac mid him, pa pe godes willæn forhogedon. Pa cw[æð] he ioseph: Ne forhoge ic na godes willæn on hire, [and] god ponne cyðap,
hwylc geongræ monnæ on mine cynne hire wurðe bið.

Ac ic onfo bare clænen femnæn, \(\ddagger\) heo wunie mid me, oð ðet heo hæbbe ælycre ylde. Đa cwæð pe biscop: Fæmnen beoð mid hyre on fultume oð pæne dæg incre geamúgæ, [and] ne mæg hire nan oðer wer underfon.

Da onfeng ioseph mariam mid ođre fif fæmnum, pe hire wæron on fultume iseald to iosepes hame. Pa wæron ihatene, æræst bus: becca, saphira, Susanna, Abugea [and] Sehel. [And] be biscop sealde heom alle godeweb to wæfenne of seolce [and] of mislice hiwú wahrift to pam temple. Da onfeng maria hwite godewebbes to wefanne [and] pa oðre mislices hiwæs. Pa specan heo heom betweonen on gleaw [and] đus cwædon:

Pu eart ure gingast, pu miht wefan b hwite godeweb [and] pu mihd beon ure cwæn. Đa pas word spæcon, ðа æteowde heom drihtines engel [and] cwæð: Ne sceal eower word beon on ydelnysse, ac hit sceal mit sođe beon icyðed, forban pe witegan gearæ sædon, b heo sceolde beon middaneardes cwen. Da weron heo alle swiðe afyrhte for bæs engles isihðe [and] his worde [and] heo ongunnon hire weorðian [and] hire eadmodlice heran.

The bishop then said to him:
Remember how it happened with Dathan, how he perished and many similar with him by God's will. But I will guard her until I will know God's will with her, until God will make it known, which young man of my kin will be worthy of her.

But give help to the pure virgin, so that she may live with them until she reaches lawful age. Then the bishop said: The virgins will be of assistance to her until the day of your marriage, and no other may take her.

Then Joseph took her with the other virgins that were given to her for assistance to Joseph's home. They were named thus, first Becca, Sephira, Susanna, Abugea and Sehel. And the bishop gave them all precious cloth to weave a curtain for the temple from silk and of miscellaneous hues. Mary then began to weave white cloth and the others the miscellaneous hues. Then they spoke in jest among themselves \({ }^{60}\) and spoke thus:

As you are the youngest of us, you may weave the white cloth, and you may be our queen. When they spoke that word in jest, the Lord's angel appeared to them and said: Your words shall not be spoken in idleness, but they shall be with truth proclaimed, because she shall be the queen of the middleearth. Then were they all very afraid of the sight of the angel and his words and took to worshipping her and humbly obeying her.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{60}\) unclear passage, this translation is only approximate
}

Pa gelamp hit sume dæge, pæt heo stod be sumere wyllan. Pa ætywde pær drihtnes encgel [and] cwæす hyre to:

Eadigpu eart Maria, forðan on pinum mode pu gearwodest drihtnes eardunghus, [and] leoht cymð of heofonum on ðe [and] pæt lihteð ofer ealne middaneard. Đa eft pæs priddan dæges drihtnes heahencgel hyre ætywde mid unasecgendlicre beorhtnysse [and] heo đa wæs swyðe afyrht [and] abreged for đæs engles gesihðe.

Đa cwæð se encgel to hyre: Ne pearftu đe ondrædan, Maria. Drihtnes gifu is mid pe. Pu scealt acennan cyning, se ah anweald heofonas [and] eorðan, [and] his rice_ne bið nan ende.

Pa ilamp hit sume dæge, \(\mathbf{b}\) heo stod be súmere welle. Pa æteowd' hire pær drihtnes engel [and] hire to cwæð:

Eadig eart đu maria, forban đe on đine mode pu gearcost drihtines eardunghus, [and] liht cymeð of heofene on ðe [and] đæt lihtæð ofer alne middaneard. [And] eft pæs on đriddæ dage_drihtines engel hire æteowde mid sæcgendlicre beorhnysse [and] he pa wæs swiðe afyrhted [and] abræged for pas englæs isihðe.

Pa cwæð he to hire: Ne ðearft pu ðe ondredæn, maria. Drihtenes gife is mid pe. Pu sceald kennen cyning, pe ah anweald heofenas [and] eorðan, [and] his rices ne bið nan ende.

Then it happened one day that she stood by some well. Then the Lord's angel appeared and said to her:

Blessed you are, Mary, because you prepared in your heart Lord's habitation and light will come from heaven on you and will shine over all middle-earth. Then again on the third day the Lord's archangel appeared to her with unspeakable brightness and she was very frightened and startled by the sight of the angel.

Then the angel said to her: You need not fear, Mary. The Lord's grace is with you. You shall beget a king who shall rule heavens and earth and there will be no end to his realm.

On pa tid, pe bis gelamp, wæs
losep on pam lande, pe
Cafarneum hatte, ymbe his cræft. He wæs smið [and] mænigteawa wyrhta.

Đa pa he panon gecyrde to his agenum hame, pa gemette he hi_ bearn hæbbende on hire gehrife. Đa wæs he sona swyð̃e forht [and] sorhfull on his mode [and] đus cw[æð]: Drihten, drihten min, onfoh minum gaste. Me is deað selre, ponne lif.

Đa cwædon ba fæmnan him to, be mid hyre wæron, pæt hi geare wiston, pæt hyre nan wer ne onhran, ac heo wære orwelges mægðัhades [and] unwemme. [And] we witon, pæt heo wæs dæges [and] nihtes on halgum gebedum wuniende [and] godes encgel wið hyre spræc [and] heo dæghwamlice of ðæs engles handum mete pigde. Hu mæg pæt gewurðan, pæt pæt syswa, forðan pe we witon, pæt hit man ne dyde, ac godes encgel.

Đa cwæð he loseph: Nis pæt na swa, nat ic, peah heo beo beswicen purh pæs engles hiw. [And] he đa weop biterlice [and] đus cwæð: Mid hwylcre byldu mæg ic æfre gan to godes temple oððe hu mæg ic geseon pa ansyne para sacerda for sceame. Đa pa he pus cwæð, pa pohte he digollice, pæt he wolde hy_forlæton [and] faran him on oðer land_.

On pa tid, pe đis ilamp, wæs ioseph on pæ lande, pe
chapharnaum hatte, ymbe his creft. He wæs smið [and] monigteawe wurhtæ.

Pa he panon _cyrde to his agene hame, pa imette he hire bearn habbende on hire rife. Đa wæs he sonæ swiðe afurht [and] sorhful [and] bus cw[æð]: Drihten, drihten min, onfoh min_gast_Me is deap sælre, pone lif.

Da sædon him ba_femnen, be mid hire wæron, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) heo geare wistan, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) hire nan wer ne onran, ac heo wære andwealdes mægð̆hades [and] unwemmed. [And] we witon, \(\boldsymbol{b}\) heo wæs dæges [and] nihtes on halgú gebedum [and] godes engel wið hire spæc [and] heo dæghwamlice of pæs engles handæ mete đigde. Hu mæg \(\ddagger\) iwurdan, \(\ddagger\) Ђ swa beo, forban pe we witon, b hit mon de dyde, ac godes engel.

Da cwæð ioseph: Nis na pet na swa, nat ic, bæh heo beo biswicen purh pæs engles hiw. [And] he ba weop bitterlice [and] pus cwæð: Mid hwilcere bealde mæg ic efre gan into godes temple oððe hu mæg ic iseon ba onsyne pare sacerdæ for sceame. Pa he pus cwæð, pa đohte he digollice, \(\ddagger\) he wolde hire forlæten [and] faren hí on oðer londe.

At that time, as this happened, Joseph was in the land that was called Capernaum, about his craft. He was a smith and a skillful workman.

Then when he afterwards returned to his own home he noticed that she has a child in her womb. He was immediately very frightened and sorrowful in his manner and spoke thus: Lord, my Lord, recieve my ghost. Death is better for me than life.

Then the virgin, who with her were, said to him that they know well that no man touched her, but she was rightfully a virgin \({ }^{61}\) and untouched. And we know that she dwelled days and nights at holy prayers and the angel of God spoke with her and she daily took food from the angel's hands. How may it happen, that it is so, because we know, that it was not done by a man, but by the God's angel.

Then he, Joseph, said: That is not so, I do not know that she would be seduced through that angel's appearance. And then he wept bitterly and spoke thus: How can I ever again go to the God's temple with confidence or how can I look in the face of the priests without shame. While he spoke thus, he secretly thought that he would let her go and ride to a different land.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{61}\) unclear passage, this translation is only approximate
}

Đa on pære ilcan nihte, be he pis pohte, pa ætywde him godes encgel on slæpe [and] cw[æð] to him: loseph, ne pearft pu ðе ondrædan; pu eart Davides cynnes, onfoh pinne gemæccan; hyre geeacnung is of đam halgan gaste, [and] heo cenneđ sunu [and] ðæs nama is hælend, forða[m] pe he gedeð hal his folc fram heora synnu[m].

He pa loseph aras of đam slæpe swyðe gefeonde [and] swyđe bliðe [and] gode pancode [and] Marian sæde [and] bam fæmnum, be mid hyre wæron, pa fægran gesyhðe pæs engles [and] đа frofre para worda [and] đus cwæp: Ic singode [and] gemunde pe facnes, pær nan næs.

Pa on pare ylcan nihte, pe he pis đohte, æteowde him godes engel on slæpe [and] hí to cwæđ: loseph, ne ðearft pu ðе ondrædon; pu eard dauides cynnes, onfoh pine imæccan; hire _eacnung is of pam halga_ gaste, [and] heo acennð sunu [and] his nome is hælend, forpá đe he dæð hal his folc fram heora synnú.

He pa ioseph aras of bam slæpe swiðe gefeonde [and] gode
ðancode [and] marian sæde [and] pam femnen, pe mid hire wæron, pa fægræ sihðe pæs englæs [and] ðа frofre ðare wordú [and] pus cw[æð]: Ic syngode mid gemynde pæs facnes, pær nan næs.

Then on that same night that he thought this, the God's angel appeared to him in his sleep and told him: Joseph, you need not fear, you are of David's kin, take your spouse, her pregnancy is of the holy ghost and she will give birth to a son and his name is the saviour because he then shall deliver all his people from their sins.

He, Joseph, then arose from his sleep very joyful and very happy and thanked God and told Mary and the virgins who were with her of the fair sight of the angel and the comfort of his words and spoke thus: I sinned and suspected deceit where there was none.

Đa wearð æfter pisu［m］mycel
hlysa on pam folce，pæt Maria
wæs geeacnod．Đa sume dæge namon hine pa begnas pæs temples［and］læddon hine to đam biscope［and］to bam heahsacerdum［and］cwædon to him：

To hwan forhæle đu us pine gemænunge swa clænre fæmnan， pe godes englas hy feddan swa swa culfran on pam te［m］ple， ［and］heo næfre nolde were æthrinan，ac heo wæs on godes æ seo getydeste fæmne，［and］gyf đu nedinga hyre on ne sohtest，ponne wære heo clæne．He đa losep hine ladode［and］cwæð，pæt he hyre næfre ne æthrine．Hwæt，pa biscopas［and］đa heahsacerdas on unarimdu［m］godcundre geryna be đære ealdan æ acunnodan soðlice，pæt hio wæren butu clæne fram eallum pam synnum， pe pæt folc hi oncuðe．

Hi đa ealle eadmodlice bædon hyre mildse［and］heora wohnyssa forgyfennyssa，pæs pe hi pæs unrihtes hy oncuđan，［and］hi ［and］eall pæt folc［and］da sacerdas læddon hi＿mid pa［m］ fæmnum to losepes hame mid micclum gefean［and］mid mycelre blisse clypodon［and］đus cwædon：Sy drihtnes nama gebletsod on worulda woruld，se ðe cữlice gecydde pine halignyssa on eallum Israhela folce．

Đa wearð æfter đissum mycel lysa on pam folce，ち maria ieacnod wæs．Da sume dæge nomæn hine pa đegnæs pæs temples［and］ læddon hine to bam biscope［and］ to pam heahsacerdon［and］ cwædon to him：

To hwan forhæle pu us pine gemungæ swa clæne femne，be godes engles fædden swa swa culfræn on pá temple，［and］heo nolde næfre wære arinæm，ac heo wæs on godes æ pe ityddeste fæmne，［and］gif bu hire nydes on ne sohtest，pone wære heo clæne． He pa ioseph hine ladode［and］ cw［æð］，ち he hire næfre ne arine． Pa biscopas pa［and］pa heahsacerdæs unarimodú godcundre gerynæ be paræ aldan æ acunnodæn soðlice，ち heo weron batwa clæne from alle pá synnú，pe đet folc heom oncuðe．

Heo pa alle eadmodlice bæden hire bletsunge［and］heoræ wohnysse forgifenysse，pæs đe heo yfele wilnunge bi heom hæfdon，［and］al b folc［and］pa sacerdæs læddon hire mid pam femnem to iosepes hame mid mycele gefean［and］mid mycele blisse clypodon［and］pus cwædon：Beo drihtines nome iblætson on worulda woruld，pe ðе cyð̈lice＿cydde pine halignesse on alle israele folcú．

After this there was a lot of rumoring among the people that Mary was pregnant．Then one day the servants of the temple took her and led her to the bishop and to the high priests and said to him：

Why did you conceal from us your union in marriage with so clean a virgin，the God＇s angels fed her like a dove in the temple，and she never wanted to touch a man，but she was in God＇s law the most learned female and if you had not forced yourself on her then she would be still clean．Then he， Joseph，defended himself and said that he never touched her．Why， the bishops and the high priests know themselves about the numberless God－related mysteries which the old testament proved true，they were both clean of all the sins that the people accused them of．

Then they all humbly begged her mercy and forgiveness for their wrongdoings，that they had accusede her unrightfully and they and all the people and the priests lead her with the virgins to Joseph＇s home with much joy and with great bliss calling and saying this：Be Lord＇s name blessed in the world forever，since he so clearly made his holiness known to all the people of Israel．

Wæs seo halige fæmne, swa we ær cwædon, of lesses wyrtruman [and] of Davides cynne, [and] heo wæs drihtnes modor, ures hælendes, [and] heo is hordfæt pæs halgan gastes [and] heo is cwen pæs heofonlican cyninges gecweden [and] heo is engla hiht [and] ealra manna frofor [and] fultum, forðan be ealles middaneardes hælo burh hi_ becom on pæs woruld.
[And] forðan hi_nu englas eadigað [and] ealle peoda [and] ealle cneorissa, gehwylc mancynnes geleafulra. [And] mid pisra bletsunga [and] herenyssa heo becom beforan pæt prymsetl pæs heofonlican deman [and] beforan gesyhðe ealra haligra, pær heo nu dæghwamlice pingað for eall pis mennisce cynn.

Ac uton we nu hi_ eadmodlice
biddan, pæt heo us si milde
mundbora [and] bliðe pingere to đam heofonlican mægn-brymme. Nu we geare witan, pæt heo mæg
æt hire pa[m] deoran sunu biddan, swa hwæt swa heo wile, [and] beon đingere to urum drihtne, bæt he us forgyfe gesibsum lif [and] ece eadignysse a butan ende. God us to đam gefultumige! Am[en].

Wæs peo halig_femne, swa we ær sædon, of iesses wyrttrumæ [and] of dauides cynne, [and] heo wæs drihtines moder, ure_ hælendes, ant heo wæs hortfæt pæs halgan gastes [and] heo is cwen pæs heofenlices kynges [and] heo is englea hiht [and] allre monne, forbam đe alle middaneardes hæle purh hire becom on đas woruld.
[And] forpan englæs hire_nu eadigæð [and] alle peode [and] alle cneornyssæ, hwylc moncynnes ileaffulræ. [And] mid pisse blætsunge [and] herunge heo bicó biforen gesihte alræ halgenæ, bær heo nu to dæghwamlice pingæp for alle pis mennisce cynn.

Ac uton we hire_nu eadmodlice biddan, \(\boldsymbol{\hbar}\) heo us beo milde mundbore [and] blipe pingestre to pá heofenlice mægenđrymme. Nu we geare witon, t heo mæg æt hire pan deore sunæ biddæ, swa hwæt swa heo wyle, [and] beon pingere to ure drihtene, b he us _gife _sibsum lif [and] ece eadignyssæ a buton ende. God us to pam fylste! Am[en].

The holy virgin was, as we said before, of lesses' root and of David's kin and she was the mother of the Lord, our saviour, and she is the treasure-vessel of the holy ghost and she is proclaimed to be the queen of the heavenly king and she is the joy of angels and all men's comfort and assistance, because through her the salvation of all middle-earth came to this world.

And because of that she is now celebrated by angels and all people and all tribes, each and every believer among mankind. And with this blessing and praise she came before the throne of the heavenly judge and in front of the sight of all the saints, there she now daily thinks on all this mankind.

But let us now humbly beg kindness of her, so that she may be our kind protector and pleasant intercessor to the mighty glory of heaven. Now we readily know, that she may ask her dear son for anything she wants and convince our Lord that he should grant us a peaceful life and eternal blessing without end. God help us to that! Amen.
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\hline on Israhelum & on israelæ & \(u m>c\) & 2, 4 \\
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\hline Twentig wintra & Twentig wintræ & \(a>c\) & 5,2 \\
\hline for drihtnes naman & drihtenes nome & \(a n>e\) & 5,2 \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & drihtine_engel & \(s>\varnothing\) & 5, 3 \\
\hline pinum gemæccan & pine & \(u m>e\) & 5,3 \\
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\hline on minum huse & on mine huse & \(u m>e\) & 6, 1 \\
\hline min beowa & min ðeowæ & \(a>c\) & 6, 2 \\
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\hline unwemme_lac & Unwemmed lac & \(\emptyset>d\) & 6, 3 \\
\hline forðam & forpan & \(m>n\) & 6,3 \\
\hline He ðа Ioachim & ioachi_ & \(m>\emptyset\) & 6, 4 \\
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\hline Ioachim [and] Anna & loachi_ [and] anna & \(m>\varnothing\) & 8, 1 \\
\hline Iudea gewuna & iudea iwune & \(a>e\) & 8, 1 \\
\hline on gemanan pære fæmnena & on gemæne pære femenæ & \[
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a n>e \\
a>c e
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\] & 8, 2 \\
\hline mid pam oðrum fæmnum & mid pam ođre femnú & \(u m>e\) & 8, 2 \\
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a>a e
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u m>e
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\hline Drihten ælmihtig god & Driht_ almihtig god & \(e n>\emptyset\) & 9, 2 \\
\hline his worda & his wordæ & \(a>d\) & 9, 2 \\
\hline he sylfa & he sylf_ & \(a>\emptyset\) & 9, 2 \\
\hline his folc_ & his folce & \(\emptyset>e\) & 9, 2 \\
\hline to heora sylfra heortan & to heoræ silfræ heortú & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>a \\
& a>e \\
& a n>\dot{u}
\end{aligned}
\] & 9, 2 \\
\hline heora earan & heoræ earan & \(a>c\) & 9, 2 \\
\hline to urum benum & to ure benú & \(u m>e\) & 9,2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ðа bysmernyssa ura feondum & pa bismernesse ure feódú & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 9, 2 \\
\hline on Israhelum & on israele & \(u m>e\) & 9, 3 \\
\hline fram pære halgan onsegednysse & from pare halgan onsægednyssæ & \(e>x\) & 9, 3 \\
\hline for minre unwæstmberendnysse & for mine unberendnysse & \(r e>e\) & 9, 3 \\
\hline mid odrum fæmnum & pam ođre femnen & \[
\begin{gathered}
u m>e \\
u m> \\
e n
\end{gathered}
\] & 10, 1 \\
\hline on godra mægna heanyssu[m] & on godræ mægene heanyssæ & \[
\begin{gathered}
a>e \\
a>e \\
u m>e
\end{gathered}
\] & 10, 1 \\
\hline para & pare & \(a>e\) & \\
\hline pe heora bearn & pe heore bearn & \(a>e\) & 10, 1 \\
\hline wifa [and] fæmnena & wifæ [and] femnena & \(a>c\) & 10, 1 \\
\hline hyre sylfre & hire sylf_ & \(r e>\emptyset\) & 10, 2 \\
\hline fram bære ærestan tide & fram pare æreste tyde & \(a n>e\) & 10, 2 \\
\hline on hyre halgum gebedum & on hire halig__beden & \[
\begin{gathered}
u m>\varnothing \\
u m> \\
e n \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & 10, 2 \\
\hline O才 p [æt] đa priddan tid_ & oð đet pa đridde tyde & \(a n>e\) & 10, 2 \\
\hline heofonlice_swetnysse & heofenlices swetnysse & \(\emptyset>s\) & 10, 3 \\
\hline on godes lufan on gastlicra mægna heannyssu[m], & on godes lufæn [and] on gastlicræ mægenæ heanyssæ & \[
\begin{gathered}
a>\alpha \\
a>\alpha \\
u m>\alpha
\end{gathered}
\] & 10, 4 \\
\hline on godes lufan & on godes lufe & \(a n>e\) & 11, 1 \\
\hline on đam davidiscu[m] sangum & on pam dauidisce sange & \[
\begin{aligned}
& u m>e \\
& u m>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 11, 1 \\
\hline on hire gebæran & on hire ibærum & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { an }> \\
\text { um }
\end{gathered}
\] & 11, 1 \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & hire & \(\varnothing>r e\) & 11, 1 \\
\hline yfel word & word_yfeles & \(\emptyset>e s\) & 11, 1 \\
\hline godes gyfe full_ & godes gife fulle & \(\emptyset>e\) & 11,2 \\
\hline ponne wisdo[m] godes boca & pone wisdom on godes bocæ & \(a>c\) & 11, 2 \\
\hline on heora gebedum & on heoræ bedum & \(a>c\) & 11,2 \\
\hline on godes lofa & on godes lofe & \(a>e\) & 11, 2 \\
\hline on idlum leahtre & on ydele læhtre & \(u m>e\) & 11,2 \\
\hline on heora tungan & on heoræ tungæn & \(a>c\) & 11, 2 \\
\hline of heora mupe ut & of heoræ muðe ut & \(a>c\) & 11,2 \\
\hline se gewuna & be _wunæ & \(a>c\) & 11, 3 \\
\hline mid lufan & mid leofe & \(a n>e\) & 11,3 \\
\hline ðæs engles hande & pas englæs handa & \(e>a\) & 11, 3 \\
\hline hi_ & hire & \(\emptyset>r e\) & 11, 3 \\
\hline myccle_gyfe & mycelne & \(\emptyset>n e\) & 12, 1 \\
\hline Marian & maria_ & \(n>\varnothing\) & 12, 1 \\
\hline his sunu to & his sune to & \(u>e\) & 12, 1 \\
\hline Maria & mariæ & \(a>c\) & 12, 1 \\
\hline on godes folce Israhelum & folce israele & \(u m>e\) & 12, 2 \\
\hline hluttre onsegednysse [and] his lichaman clænnysse & lichames clænnysse & \(a n>e s\) & 12, 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline on pissere worulde & of pissere weoruldæ & \(e>c\) & 12, 3 \\
\hline mid lichaman & on lichame & \(a n>e\) & 12, 3 \\
\hline swylce & swylc_ & \(e>\emptyset\) & 13, 1 \\
\hline XIV wintra & feowertene wintræ & \(a>e\) & 13, 1 \\
\hline Phariseas & pharisei & \(a s>e i\) & 13, 1 \\
\hline on pone ytemestan stæpe & on pone ytemeste stæpe & \(a n>e\) & 13, 1 \\
\hline Israhela bearn & israele bearn & \(a>e\) & 13, 2 \\
\hline inne cyninga bearn [and] witegena [and] heahsacerda & inne kyningæ bearn [and] witegenæ [and] heahsacerdæ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>c \\
& a>c \\
& a>c e
\end{aligned}
\] & 13, 2 \\
\hline to ælicre yldo_ & to ælicre yldon & \(\emptyset>n\) & 13, 2 \\
\hline heora bearn & heoræ bearn & \(a>c\) & 13, 2 \\
\hline on heora yldrena endebyrdnysse & heora yldrene & \(a>e\) & 13, 2 \\
\hline hi_ & hire & \(ø>r e\) & 13, 3 \\
\hline hlota & lot_ & \(a>\varnothing\) & 13, 3 \\
\hline ofer pa XII cyn Israhela & ofer pa tweolf cynn israele bearnú & \(a>e\) & 13, 3 \\
\hline Iacobes sunu & iacobes sune & \(u>e\) & 13, 3 \\
\hline ælc pæra pe & ælc pære ðe & \(a>e\) & 14, 1 \\
\hline gyrda & gyrdæ & \(a>c\) & 14, 1 \\
\hline on handa & on hondæ & \(a>c\) & 14, 1 \\
\hline ealle pa gyrde & alle gerdæn & \(e>e n\) & 14, 2 \\
\hline pæt pæra haligra halignys ealra & pæt pare haligræ halignis ealræ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e \\
& a>c
\end{aligned}
\] & 14, 3 \\
\hline pa gyrde & pa gyrdan & \(e>a n\) & 14, 3 \\
\hline pæra manna & ðare monń & \(a>e\) & 14, 4 \\
\hline of nan bara gyrda & of nan pare gyrde & \[
\begin{aligned}
r a & >r e \\
a & >e
\end{aligned}
\] & 14, 4 \\
\hline Abiathar se biscop mid pare heahsacerda & mid pam heahsacerdæ & \[
\begin{gathered}
r e>m \\
a>c e
\end{gathered}
\] & 14, 4 \\
\hline into đam S[an]c[t]a S[an]c[t]orum & on ba sćá scóŕ & \(a m>a\) & 14, 4 \\
\hline mid mycelre stefne hine & hine mycle & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { elre }> \\
\text { le }
\end{gathered}
\] & 15,1 \\
\hline pæra gyrda & his gyrdæ & \(a>c\) & 15, 1 \\
\hline on pinre ylde & pine ylde & \(r e>e\) & 15, 2 \\
\hline ana gecoren & anæ icoren & \(a>e\) & 15,2 \\
\hline on pinum cynne & on pine cynne & \(a>c\) & 15, 2 \\
\hline fela bearna & fela bearnæ & \(a>c\) & 15,3 \\
\hline ealle yldran ponne heo & alle yldræ poń heo & \(a n>a\) & 15, 3 \\
\hline Gemune & Gemun_ & \(e>\varnothing\) & 16, 1 \\
\hline He [and] manega eac & he [and] monige eac & \(a>e\) & 16, 1 \\
\hline iungra manna on minum cynne & geongræ monnæ on mine cynne & \[
\begin{gathered}
a>e \\
a>e \\
u m>e
\end{gathered}
\] & 16, 1 \\
\hline pam clænan & pare clænen & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { am }> \\
\text { are }
\end{gathered}
\] & 16, 2 \\
\hline oठ \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] ælice yldo & Oð đet heo hæbbe ælycre ylde & \(o>e\) & 16, 2 \\
\hline mid odrum fæmnum & mid odre fif fæmnum & \(u m>e\) & 16, 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline heom eallum & alle & \(u m>e\) & 16, 3 \\
\hline of seolce [and] of mislicum hiwum & of seolce [and] of mislice hiwú & \(u m>e\) & 16, 3 \\
\hline hwit_godweb_ & hwite godewebbes & \[
\begin{gathered}
\varnothing>e \\
\varnothing>e s
\end{gathered}
\] & 16, 3 \\
\hline on idelnyssa & on ydelnysse & \(a>e\) & 16, 4 \\
\hline hi_ & hire & \(\varnothing>r e\) & 16, 4 \\
\hline be sumere wyllan & be súmere welle & \(a n>e\) & 17, 1 \\
\hline on pinum mode & on đine mode & \(u m>e\) & 17, 2 \\
\hline of heofonum & of heofene & \(u m>e\) & 17, 2 \\
\hline pæs priddan dæges & on đriddæ dage_ & \[
\begin{gathered}
a n>\propto \\
s>\emptyset
\end{gathered}
\] & 17, 2 \\
\hline Drihtnes gifu & Drihtenes gife & \(u>e\) & 17, 3 \\
\hline his rice_ & his rices & \(\emptyset>s\) & 17, 3 \\
\hline on pam lande & on pæ lande & \(a m>c\) & 18, 1 \\
\hline smið [and] mænigteawa wyrhta & smið [and] monigteawe wurhtæ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 18, 1 \\
\hline to his agenum hame & to his agene hame & \(u m>e\) & 18, 2 \\
\hline hi_ & hire & \(ø>r e\) & 18, 2 \\
\hline minum gaste & min_gast_ & \[
\begin{gathered}
u m>\emptyset \\
e>\emptyset
\end{gathered}
\] & 18, 2 \\
\hline of ðæs engles handum & of pæs engles handæ & \(u m>a\) & 18, 3 \\
\hline Mid hwylcre byldu & Mid hwilcere bealde & \(u>e\) & 18, 4 \\
\hline pa ansyne para sacerda & pa onsyne pare sacerdæ & \[
\begin{gathered}
a>e \\
a>e
\end{gathered}
\] & 18, 4 \\
\hline hy_ & hire & \(\varnothing>r e\) & 18, 4 \\
\hline on oðer land_ & on oðer londe & \(\emptyset>e\) & 18, 4 \\
\hline of đam halgan gaste & of pam halga_gaste & \(n>\varnothing\) & 19, 1 \\
\hline ðæs nama & his nome & \(a>e\) & 19, 1 \\
\hline ```
gode [and] Marian sæde [and] pam
fæmnum, (...) pa fægran gesyhðe pæs
    engles [and] đа frofre para worda
``` & gode [and] marian sæde [and] bam femnen fægræ sihðe pæs englæs [and] ðа frofre đare wordú & \[
\begin{gathered}
u m> \\
e n \\
a n>e \\
a>e \\
a>\dot{u}
\end{gathered}
\] & 19, 2 \\
\hline pe facnes & pæs facnes & \(e>c e s\) & 19, 2 \\
\hline to đam biscope [and] to bam heahsacerdum & to pam heahsacerdon & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { um }> \\
\text { on }
\end{gathered}
\] & 20, 1 \\
\hline pine gemænunge & pine gemungæ & \(e>d\) & 20, 2 \\
\hline clænre fæmnan & clæne femne & \[
\begin{aligned}
& r e>e \\
& a n>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 20, 2 \\
\hline on unarimdu[m] godcundre geryna & unarimodú godcundre gerynæ & \(a>c\) & 20, 2 \\
\hline fram eallum pam synnum & from alle pá synnú & \(u m>e\) & 20, 2 \\
\hline hyre mildse [and] heora wohnyssa forgyfennyssa & hire bletsunge [and] heoræ wohnysse forgifenysse & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 20, 3 \\
\hline hi_ & hire & \(ø>r e\) & 20, 3 \\
\hline mid micclum gefean & mid mycele gefean & \(u m>e\) & 20, 3 \\
\hline drihtnes nama & drihtines nome & \(a>e\) & 20, 3 \\
\hline pine halignyssa & pine halignesse & \(a>e\) & 20, 3 \\
\hline on eallum Israhela folce & on alle israele folcú & \(u m>e\) & 20, 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& e>\dot{u}
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline halige fæmne & halig_femne & \(e>\varnothing\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline of Iesses wyrtruman [and] of Davides cynne & of iesses wyrttrumæ [and] of dauides cynne & \(a n>a\) & 21,1 \\
\hline ures hælendes & ure_hælendes & \(s>\varnothing\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline pæs heofonlican cyninges & pæs heofenlices kynges & \(a n>e s\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline engla hiht ealra manna frofor [and] fultum & englea hiht [and] allre monne & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 21, 1 \\
\hline forðan & forbam & \(n>m\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline hælo & hæle & \(o>e\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline purh hi_ & purh hire & \(\emptyset>r e\) & 21, 1 \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & hire & \(ø>r e\) & 21, 2 \\
\hline ealle peoda [and] ealle cneorissa, gehwylc mancynnes geleafulra & alle peode [and] alle cneornyssæ, hwylc moncynnes ileaffulræ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & 21, 2 \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & hire & \(\emptyset>r e\) & 21, 3 \\
\hline milde mundbora [and] bliðe pingere & milde mundbore [and] blipe pingestre & \(a>e\) & 21, 3 \\
\hline to đam heofonlican mæogn-prymme & to pá heofenlice mægenđrymme & \(a n>e\) & 21, 3 \\
\hline deoran sunu biddan & deore sunæ biddæ & \[
\begin{gathered}
a n>e \\
u>c \\
a n>c e
\end{gathered}
\] & 21, 3 \\
\hline to urum drihtne & to ure drihtene & \(u m>e\) & 21, 3 \\
\hline lif [and] ece eadignysse & lif [and] ece eadignyssæ & \(e>x\) & 21, 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix C: Table of Noun Phrases}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Form & Change & Function & Updated & Change \(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}\) & Note \\
\hline Men ơa leofestan & an > e & - & + & y & Not an NP \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline on andweardnysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline pa gebyrdtide pære \\
eadigan fæmnan \\
S[an]c[t]a Marian
\end{tabular} & an >e & O & + & y & \\
\hline Seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline cennystre ures drihtnes hælendes Cristes & & O & + & & \\
\hline hyre nama & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline hlæfdige ođð̃e cwen ođð̃e sæsteorra & \(a>a e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hææfdige & ge \(>\mathrm{x}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline bone hlaford heofonas [and] eorðan & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline cwen & & C & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline of đam æðelan cynne [and] of đam cynelican sæde Davides cynnes & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Sæsteorra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline se steorra & & S & + & & \\
\hline on niht & & P & + & & \\
\hline scypliðendum mannu[m] & & O & + & & \\
\hline east [and] west & & S & + & & \\
\hline suð [and] norð & & S & + & & \\
\hline ponne & & O & + & & \\
\hline burh đa halgan fæmnan Sca Marian & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline se rihte sioffæt & & O & + & & \\
\hline to đam ecan life & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline bam & & O & + & & \\
\hline on beostrum and on deapes scuan And on pam unstillu[m] yðum pære sæ pises middaneardes & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{an}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline ealle halige & & S & + & & \\
\hline pone fruman middaneardes [and] ende [and] heofona rices wuldor [and] helle wite & & O & + & & \\
\hline we & & S & ++ & & \\
\hline Be đære gebyrde pære eadigan fæmnan Sct Marian & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline We & & S & + & & \\
\hline on bocum & & P & & & \\
\hline sum swiđe æpele wer & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline on Israheliscum folce & & P & & & \\
\hline Dæs nama & & S & + & & \\
\hline Ioachim & & C & + & & \\
\hline Se & & S & & & \\
\hline of Iudan cynne & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & & & \\
\hline on Galileiscre scire & & P & & & \\
\hline on pære byrig & & P & & & \\
\hline be & & S & & & \\
\hline Nazareth & & C & & & \\
\hline Se & & S & + & & \\
\hline heorda his sceapa & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline godfyrht man on bylewitnysse [and] on fremsumnysse & & C & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline næfde nænige odre & \(\mathrm{e}>\) ne & O & + & y & \\
\hline his eowde & & O & + & & \\
\hline Of pa[m] eowde & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline ealle & & O & + & & \\
\hline him & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline drihten & & O & + & & \\
\hline of đam wæstmum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline bryfealde lac & \(\mathrm{e}>\) ne & O & + & y & \\
\hline ealle his æhta & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline \(\not \ldots\) nne dæ| & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline bearfan [and] wydewan [and] steopcildum [and] ælbeodigum mannum & \(u m>x\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline oðerne dæ| & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & & & \\
\hline gode ane & & O & + & & \\
\hline Đone priddan dæl & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline him sylfum [and] his hirede & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas đing & & O & + & & \\
\hline god & & S & + & & \\
\hline his woruldæhta & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline on pa tid & & P & + & & \\
\hline nan wer him gelic_ & \(x>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline on Israhelum & um \(>\) ae & P & + & y & \\
\hline Đas ping & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline fiftyne wintra & \(a>e\) & C & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline twentig wintra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline wif & & O & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline Seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline Anna & & C & + & & \\
\hline hyre cynn & & S & & & \\
\hline on bære byrig Bethleem & & P & & & \\
\hline Seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline Achares dohtor of his _genum cynne & \(u m>e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline of đam æpelan cynne Davides pæs cynincges & & P & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline twentig wintra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline nan bearn & & O & + & & \\
\hline hit & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline sume dæge & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x}\) & A & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline to đam drihtnes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline he đa loachim & & S & + & & \\
\hline betwyx pam mannum & & P & + & & \\
\hline to gode & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline onsegednysse & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline his gyfe & & O & + & & \\
\hline on godes gesihðe & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Him ða to & & P-rev & \(+\) & & \\
\hline para bocena sum & na \(>\) re & S & + & y & \\
\hline bæs nama & \(a>e\) & C & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline Ruben & & S & + & & \\
\hline him to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline be & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ðu & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline betwyx pam mannum & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline pe & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline onsegednysse & & O & + & & \\
\hline pe godes bletsung & & S & + & & \\
\hline ofer đe & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pe & & O & + & & \\
\hline ænig bearn & & O & + & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline myccle scame & & O & + & & \\
\hline beforan pa[m] folce & & P & + & & \\
\hline for bisum wordum & um \(>\) se & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline ut of đam temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline to his agenum hame & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline on westenum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline to his sceapum & & P & + & & \\
\hline 才a hyrdas & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid him & & P & + & & \\
\hline fif monba fæce & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& e>x
\end{aligned}
\] & A & + & y & \\
\hline nænig ærendraca & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ig }>x \\
& a>x
\end{aligned}
\] & S & + & y & \\
\hline betweonan (...) him & & P & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [and] his gemæccan & & & & & \\
\hline Anna, his gemæcca_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{n}\) & S & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline to drihtne & & P & + & & \\
\hline Min drihten Israhela god & \(a>e\) & - & + & y & Not an NP \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline strang [and] mihtig & & C & + & & \\
\hline ofer ealle gesceafta & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline bearn & & O & + & & \\
\hline ofer eorðan & & P & + & & \\
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline minne wer & & O & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline his lif & & S & + & & \\
\hline ofer eorðan & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline byrgene & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hire cauertune & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline hire & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline to drihtne & ne \(>\mathrm{x}\) & P & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire gebed & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire eagan & & O & + & & \\
\hline up to drihtne & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline spearwan nest & & O & + & & \\
\hline on anum lawertreowe & \(\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{x}\) & P & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline wependre stefne & & A & + & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline eallum gesceaftum & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { um }>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline byrđor & & O & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline be & & O & + & & \\
\hline panc & & O & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me ane & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline fram binre gyfe pære fremsumnysse & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{re}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline pu min drihten & & S & + & & \\
\hline mine heortan & an > ae & O & + & y & \\
\hline ic & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline pe & & O & + & & \\
\hline fram fruman mines gesinscipes & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { an }>\text { the } \\
& \text { an }>s
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline sunu ođ̃すe dohtor & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & O & + & & \\
\hline pe & & O & + & & \\
\hline to pinum te[m]ple & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline đu & & S & + & & \\
\hline be & & O-reflex & + & & \\
\hline pin prowung & & S & + & & \\
\hline on godes gebeahte & & P & + & & \\
\hline of đe & & P & + & & \\
\hline on wundra eallu[m] folcum & & P & + & & \\
\hline oð \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] woruldes ende & es \(>\) ae & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram hyre eagum & um \(>\) an & P & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline for đæs engles gesihðe & & P & + & & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire & & O-ref & & & \\
\hline into hyre clyfan & an \(>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline pone dæg [and] da niht & & A & + & & \\
\hline on hire gebede & e > ú & P & + & y & \\
\hline bæs on mergen & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre pinene & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline on wydewanhade [and] on mycelre nearonysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline me to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Hire (...) to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline pe god & & S & + & & \\
\hline binne wer & & O & + & & \\
\hline ðinne innoð & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline bæs & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on bære ylcan tide & & P & + & & \\
\hline hi[m] & & O & + & & \\
\hline sum swyð̃e wlitig wer & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pam westene & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid his hyrdum & & P & + & & \\
\hline \(\operatorname{Him}(\ldots)\) to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline đu & & S & + & & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline to pinu[m] gemæccan & & P & + & & \\
\hline he loachim & & S & + & & \\
\hline Twentig wintra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & A & + & y & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline god & & S & + & & \\
\hline bearn & & O & + & & \\
\hline of hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline ut of dam drihtnes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline pa hwile & & O & + & & \\
\hline drihten & & S & + & & \\
\hline đa godes gyfe & & O & + & & \\
\hline for drihtnes naman & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline se iunga & & S & + & & \\
\hline Ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & nes > ine & C & + & y & \\
\hline to dæg & & A & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline binum gemæ.can & um \(>\mathrm{e}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O-ref & + & y & \\
\hline to drihtne & & P & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline of đinum sæde & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline pu & & S & ++ & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & O & & & \\
\hline ana & \(a>e\) & A & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline dohtor & & O & + & & \\
\hline seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on godes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline se halga gast & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline hire eadignyss_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{ae}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline ofer ealle wifa cynn & & P & & & \\
\hline hire & & O & + & & \\
\hline ænig para pe ær wæs ođðe æfter & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline to pinu[m] gemæeccan & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline đu & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline ðu & & S & & & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline pæs (...) banc & & O & + & & \\
\hline hyre sæd & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline modor bære ecan bletsunge & & C & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline loachim & & S & + & & \\
\hline him (...) to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline hit & & S & + & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid me & & P & + & & \\
\hline on minum huse & um > e & P & + & y & \\
\hline binne peow & & O & + & & \\
\hline se encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline to him & & P & + & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline min beowa & \(a>a e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline min efenbeowa & \(a>a e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline wit & & S & + & & \\
\hline anes godes peow & & C & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline pe & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline min mete ne min drenc & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram mannum & & P & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline to gyfe & & P & + & & \\
\hline gode to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline Pæt (...) onsægednysse & & O & + & & \\
\hline He (...) l loachim & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode & & O & & & \\
\hline unwemme_lac & \(x>d\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline to đam engle & & P & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline sacerdlice onsægednysse & & O & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline se encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline sacerdlice onsægednysse & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline godes willan & & O & + & & \\
\hline to pan & & P & + & & \\
\hline on be & & P & & & \\
\hline se encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid đam stence bære onsægednysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline into heofonum & & P & + & & \\
\hline He (...)loachim & \(\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{x}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline to pam forht & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline on his ansyn & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram bære sixtan tide pæs dæges & & P & + & & \\
\hline ơ p[æt] æfen & & P & ++ & & \\
\hline Him (...) to & & P & + & & \\
\hline his hyrdas & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline pone intingan & & O & + & & \\
\hline on him & & P & + & & \\
\hline hine & ne \(>\mathrm{m}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline hine sylfne & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline his heafod & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline he & & S & & & \\
\hline him & & O-ref & \(+\) & & \\
\hline his gesihðe & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline heom eall & & O & ++ & & \\
\hline hi (...) ealle & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pæs engles wordum & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline to his gemæccan. & & P & + & & \\
\hline He đа Ioachi[m] & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on his mode & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline he & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline pæt dyde & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on slæpe & & P & + & & \\
\hline Him & & O & + & & \\
\hline seylca godes encgel & \(a>a e\) & S & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline on slæpe & & P & + & & \\
\hline him to & & P-rev & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Ic & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline godes engel & & C & \(+\) & & \\
\hline fram drihtne & & P & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline pe to & & P-rev & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ham & & A & \(+\) & & \\
\hline to đinu[m] gemæccan & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline ingcer mildheortnyss_ & \(x>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline gyt & & S & + & & \\
\hline beforan godes gesihðe & & P & & & \\
\hline ingcer nama & & S & + & & \\
\hline beforan godes gesihðe & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on his prymsetle & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline he & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ingc & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline swa myccle grownysse & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on ingcran beorðre & e \(>\) an & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline nænigan halgan & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & O & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline He pa loachim & \(\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{x}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline of pam slæpe & & P & + & & \\
\hline his swefen & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline his hyrdu[m] & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Hi ealle & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hine & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pæs engles wordum & & O & + & & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline for his unrotnysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline on pam westene & & P & + & & \\
\hline to đam feor & & P & & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline for XXX daga & \(a>\) ene & P & + & y & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline to his gemæccan & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline æt hyre gebede & & P & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline pone hamsið hyre gemæccan & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid mycclum gefean & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline hyre mægðe [and] eall Israhela bearn & \(a>a e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline mycelne gefean & ne \(>\mathrm{e}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline Be his hamcyme [and] be đam hlisan hire geeacnunge & & P & + & & \\
\hline nigan monað hire geeacnunge & & S & & & \\
\hline Anna & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre dohtor & & O & + & & \\
\hline on pissum dæge & & P & & & \\
\hline hyre naman & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline Maria & & C & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline preo winter & er \(>\) rae & A & + & y & \\
\hline æt hire breostum & um \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline loachim [and] Anna & \(\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{x}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline bæt cild & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid heom & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline to pære halgan ceastre & & P & + & & \\
\hline lac & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid heom & & P & + & & \\
\hline to đam drihtnes temple & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Iudea gewuna & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline p[æt] cild & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
on gemanan pære \\
fæmnena
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { an }>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline dæges [and] nihtes & & A & + & & \\
\hline on godes lofe & & P & + & & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid bam oðrum fæmnum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on pa fiftyne stæpas on bam temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on bæc & & A & + & & \\
\hline æfter hyre yldrum & \(u m>e\) & P & ++ & y & \\
\hline Cildra gewuna & \(\mathrm{a}>\) es & S & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on gange [and] on worde [and] on eallum gebærum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline wynsuman men & & S & + & & \\
\hline XXX wintra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hyre gebede & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{um}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline ơ pa biscopas [and] eall b[æt] folc & & P & + & & \\
\hline on hire gebære & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{um}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Heo Anna & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid halige gaste & & P & + & & \\
\hline beforan pam folce & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Drihten ælmihtig god & en \(>x\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline his worda & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline he sylfa & \(a>x\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline his folc_ & \(x>e\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline mid haligre geneosunge & & P & + & & \\
\hline 才а peode & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline mid us & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline to heora sy/fra heortan & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>a e \\
& a>a e \\
& a n>u ́
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline heora earan & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline to urum benum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline đa bysmernyssa ura feondum & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
\] & O & \(+\) & y & \\
\hline fram us & & P & + & & \\
\hline On ðam mundbyrdum ecre blisse & & P & + & & \\
\hline tuddor & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on Israhelum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline min drihten & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline lac & & O & + & & \\
\hline ær me & & P & + & & \\
\hline mine fynd & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram bære halgan onsegednysse & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & Y & \\
\hline for minre unwæstmberendnysse & \(\mathrm{re}>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & Y & \\
\hline Drihten min & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi[m] & & O-ref? & + & & \\
\hline fram me & & P & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline ecne gefean & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline ham & & A & + & & \\
\hline mid pam & & P & + & & \\
\hline b[æt] cild & & O & + & & \\
\hline æt đam halgan temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline mid odrum fæmnum. & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{e} \\
\mathrm{um}>\mathrm{en} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & P & + & Y & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline godra mægna heanyssu[m] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & Y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline godum towcræftum & & O & + & & \\
\hline pe heora bearn & \(a>e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline wifa [and] fæmnena. & \(a>a e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre sylfre & \(\mathrm{re}>\mathrm{x}\) & O-ref. & + & y & \\
\hline haligne regol & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram pære ærestan tide pæs dæges & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & Y & \\
\hline on hyre halgum gebedum & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { um }>x \\
& \text { um }>\mathrm{en} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & Y & \\
\hline ơ \(\mathrm{p}[æ \mathrm{t}\) ] đa priddan tid_ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{an}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline fram pære priddan tide & & P & + & & \\
\hline ơ đa nigopan tid & & P & + & & \\
\hline ymbe hyre webbgeweorc. & & P & + & & \\
\hline fram pære nigoð̃an tide & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hyre æebedum & & P & + & & \\
\hline godes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline heofonlice_swetnysse & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{s}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline bære & & O & + & & \\
\hline of his handa. & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline betere & & C & + & & \\
\hline on godes lufan on gastlicra mægna heannyssu[m], & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae} \\
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline yldran fæmnan & & O & + & & \\
\hline to godes willan & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pære godes æ & & P & + & & \\
\hline ænig & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hyre beforan & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on godes lufan & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on hyre gepohtum & & P & + & & \\
\hline on đam davidiscu[m] sangum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline ænig & & S & + & & \\
\hline heora (...) ær & & P-rev & & & \\
\hline Heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hire gebæran & an \(>\) um & P & + & y & \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline nan man & & S & + & & \\
\hline nan man & & S & & & \\
\hline yfel_word & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{es}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline of hyre muðe & & P & + & & \\
\hline hyre word & & S & + & & \\
\hline godes gyfe full_ & \(x>e\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on hyre heortan & & P & + & & \\
\hline ponne wisdo[m] godes boca & \(a>a e\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline đа fæmnan & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline on heora gebedum & \(a>a e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on godes lofa & \(a>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline nan & & S & + & & \\
\hline on idlum leahtre & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline hy & & S & + & & \\
\hline on heora tungan & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline teonan & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline nan yfel word & & S & + & & \\
\hline of heora mupe ut & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline of heom & & P & + & & \\
\hline se gewuna & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline se man & & S & + & & \\
\hline đe operne & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid lufan & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline godcunde bletsunge & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline mete & & O & + & & \\
\hline of đæs engles hande & \(e>a\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline mid pa[m] & & P & + & & \\
\hline đone mete & & O & ++ & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline æt pa[m] bisceope & & P & + & & \\
\hline æt ðam temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline pearfendum mannum & & O & + & & \\
\hline man & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram hire & & P & + & & \\
\hline hit & & S & + & & \\
\hline Abiathar, se sacerd & & S & + & & \\
\hline myccle_gyfe & \(x>n \mathrm{ne}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline pa[m] bisceope & & O & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline Marian & \(\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{x}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline his sunu to & \(\mathrm{u}>\mathrm{e}\) & P-rev & + & y & \\
\hline Maria & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline wer & & O & + & & \\
\hline wer & & S & + & & \\
\hline me & & O & + & & \\
\hline ba biscopas & & S & + & & \\
\hline Hyre [and] hyre mægðe & & O & + & & \\
\hline on pam te[m]ple & & P & + & & \\
\hline god & & S & + & & \\
\hline on bearnum & & P & + & & \\
\hline swa b[æt] gewunelic & & S & & & \\
\hline on godes folce Israhelum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Maria & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi[m] cwæð to & & P & + & & \\
\hline nan rihtwis man & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline nan halig & & S & + & & \\
\hline ær Abel & & P & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline his brođor & & S & & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline twyfealde gyfe & & O & + & & \\
\hline hluttre onsegednysse [and] his lichaman clænnysse & an \(>\) es & O & + & y & \\
\hline Helias & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pissere worulde & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & & & \\
\hline mid lichaman & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline to heofonu[m], & & P & & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline his clænnysse & & O & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline XIV wintra & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline pa Phariseas & as \(>\) ei & S & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pam godes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline Hy & & S & & & \\
\hline hi & & S & & & \\
\hline Israhela folc & & O & & & \\
\hline to đam godes temple & & P & & & \\
\hline py priddan dæge & & A & + & & \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hy }}\) & & S & + & & \\
\hline se heahbiscop Isachar & & S & + & & \\
\hline up on pone ytemestan stæpe & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Israhela bearn & \(a>e\) & - & + & y & Not an NP \\
\hline mine word & & O & + & & \\
\hline ge & & S & + & & \\
\hline Salomon & & S & + & & \\
\hline pis temple & & O & + & & \\
\hline inne cyninga bearn [and] witegena [and] heahsacerda & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>a e \\
& a>a e \\
& a>a e
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline to ælicre yldo_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{n}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline to ælicum gyftum & & P & & & \\
\hline heora bearn & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline lac & & O & + & & \\
\hline to pa[m] godes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline on heora yldrena endebyrdnysse & \(a>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline gode & & O & + & & \\
\hline ponn[e] & & S & + & & \\
\hline Maria & & S & + & & \\
\hline gode mid & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hire mægðhad & & O & ++ & & \\
\hline to gode & & S & + & & \\
\hline ure axunge & & O & + & & \\
\hline god & & S & + & & \\
\hline us & & O & + & & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline pæt word bære gesamnunge & & S & + & & \\
\hline hy & & S & & & \\
\hline hlota & \(a>x\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline ofer pa XII cyn Israhela & \(a>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline pæt hlot & & S & + & & \\
\hline ofer Iudan cyn & & P & + & & \\
\hline lacobes sunu & \(u>e\) & - & + & y & Not an NP \\
\hline se sacerd & & S & + & & \\
\hline iudan cynn & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on mergen & & P & + & & \\
\hline to đam halgan temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline ælc pæra pe & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline wif & & O & + & & \\
\hline him & & O-ref & & & \\
\hline gyrda & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline on handa & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & & \\
\hline loseph & & C & + & & \\
\hline sum gewintrod man & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline mid iungum mannu[m] & & P & + & & \\
\hline his gyrde & & S & + & & \\
\hline se sacerd & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ealle pa gyrde & e \(>\) aen & O & + & y & \\
\hline into pam S[an]c[t]a S[an]c[t]orum & & P & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on mergen & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline ælc his gyrde & & O & + & & \\
\hline culfre & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline of pære gyrde & & P & \(+\) & & \\
\hline foreweardre up oð pone heofon. & & P & + & & \\
\hline se wer & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline pære fæmnan & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline on mergen pæs æfteran dæges & & P & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline on ba tid bære onsægednysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline He (...) se biscop & & S & + & & \\
\hline into pam S[an]c[t]a S[an]c[t]orum, & & P & + & & \\
\hline bæt bæra haligra halignys ealra & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>a e \\
& a>a e
\end{aligned}
\] & S & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pa gyrde & \(\mathrm{e}>\) an & O & + & y & \\
\hline æghwilcu[m] & & O & + & & \\
\hline his gyrd & & O & & & \\
\hline on sundran & & P & + & & \\
\hline bæra manna & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline preo pusenda & & C & + & & \\
\hline of nan pare gyrde & \(a>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline peo culfre & & S & + & & \\
\hline Abiathar se biscop mid pare heahsacerda & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{re}>\mathrm{m} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & S & + & y & \\
\hline into đam S[an]c[t]a S[an]c[t]orum & \(\mathrm{am}>\mathrm{a}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline onbærnde & & O & + & & \\
\hline pa drihtne & & O & ++ & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline him cwæo to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline Seo seleste gyrd & & S & + & & \\
\hline seo & & O & & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline pa & & O & + & & \\
\hline pær tacen of hyre & & S & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline losepes gyrd & & S & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline eald & & C & + & & \\
\hline se biscop & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid mycelre stefne_hine & elre \(>\) le & P & + & y & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline bæra gyrda & \(a>a e\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline of đæs bisceopes handum & & P & + & & \\
\hline Culfre swyðe hwit & & S & + & & \\
\hline geond pa heannysse pæs te[m]ples & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & & & \\
\hline into heofonum & & P & + & & \\
\hline bæt folc & & S & + & & \\
\hline to losepe & & P & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline Eadig & & C & + & & \\
\hline on pinre ylde & \(\mathrm{re}>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline pe god & & O & + & & \\
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline Hyrde pære clænan fæmnan & & O & + & & \\
\hline pa biscopas & & S & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline ana gecoren & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline on pinum cynne & um \(>\) e & P & + & y & \\
\hline fram gode & & P & + & & \\
\hline He đa loseph & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid bifiendre stefne & & P & & & \\
\hline min & & O & + & & \\
\hline swilcum cilde & & O & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline fela bearna & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline ealle & & S & + & & \\
\hline yldran ponne heo & an \(>\) ae & C & + & y & \\
\hline se biscop & & S & + & & \\
\hline to hi[m] & & P & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline hit & & S & & & \\
\hline be Dathan & & P & + & & \\
\hline He [and] manega eac & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline pa đe godes willan & & P & ++ & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline godes willan & & O & + & & \\
\hline on hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline god & & S & + & & \\
\hline iungra manna on minum cynne & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae} \\
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
\] & S & + & y & \\
\hline pam clænan fæmnan & am \(>\) are & O & ++ & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid hig & & P & + & & \\
\hline Oठ p [æt] ælice yldo & \(0>e\) & P & ++ & y & \\
\hline se biscop & & S & + & & \\
\hline Fæmnan & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline on fultume & & P & + & & \\
\hline oð pæne dæg eowra gemænunga & & P & + & & \\
\hline hig & & O & + & & \\
\hline nan ođer & & S & + & & \\
\hline loseph & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid od̃rum fæmmum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline on fultume & & P & + & & \\
\hline to losepes hame & & P & + & & \\
\hline Becca, Sephira, Susanna, Abugea [and] Sehel & & C & + & & \\
\hline se biscop & & S & + & & \\
\hline heom eallum & \(u m>e\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline godweb & & O & + & & \\
\hline of seolce [and] of mislicum hiwum & um > e & P & + & y & \\
\hline to pam te[m]ple & & P & + & & \\
\hline Maria & & S & + & & \\
\hline hwit godweb & & O & \(+\) & & \\
\hline đа oðrre & & S & + & & \\
\hline mislices hiwes & & O & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline Heom betwynan & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline on gleo & & P & + & & \\
\hline Pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline ure gingast & & C & + & & \\
\hline pæt hwite godeweb & & O & ++ & & \\
\hline đu & & S & + & & \\
\hline ure cwen & & C & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & & & \\
\hline pas word & & O & + & & \\
\hline on gleo & & P & + & & \\
\hline heom & & O & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline eower word & & S & + & & \\
\hline on idelnyssa & \(a>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline hit & & S & + & & \\
\hline mid sođe & & P & + & & \\
\hline pe & & S & ++ & & \\
\hline middaneardes cwen & & C & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline for ðæs engles gesihðe & & P & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & ++ & y & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline hit & & S & + & & \\
\hline sume dæge & & A & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline be sumere wyllan & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline drihtnes encgel & & S & ++ & & \\
\hline hyre to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline Eadig & & C & + & & \\
\hline bu & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pinum mode & um > e & P & + & y & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes eardunghus & & O & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline leoht & & S & + & & \\
\hline of heofonum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on ठe & & P & + & & \\
\hline ofer ealne middaneard & & P & + & & \\
\hline pæs priddan dæges & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { an }>\text { ae } \\
& \mathrm{S}>\mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
\] & A & + & y & \\
\hline drihtnes heahencgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline mid unasecgendlicre beorhtnysse & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline for đæs engles gesihðe. & & P & + & & \\
\hline se encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline to hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline ঠe & & S & + & & \\
\hline Drihtnes gifu & \(u>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline mid pe & & P & + & & \\
\hline du & & S & + & & \\
\hline cyning & & O & + & & \\
\hline heofonas [and] eorð̃an, & & O & + & & \\
\hline his rice_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{s}\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline nan ende. & & O & + & & \\
\hline On pa tid & & P & + & & \\
\hline bis & & S & + & & \\
\hline losep & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pam lande & \(\mathrm{am}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline Cafarneum & & C & + & & \\
\hline ymbe his cræft & & P & + & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline smið [and] mænigteawa wyrhta & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>e \\
& a>a e
\end{aligned}
\] & C & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline to his agenum hame & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O-ref & + & y & \\
\hline bearn hæbbende & & O & + & & \\
\hline on hire gehrife. & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline on his mode & & P & & & \\
\hline minum gaste & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline Me & & O & + & & \\
\hline deað & & S & + & & \\
\hline lif & & S & + & & \\
\hline ba fæmnan & & S & + & & \\
\hline him to & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline mid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hyre & & O & + & & \\
\hline nan wer & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline mægð̋hades [and] unwemme & & C & + & & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline dæges [and] nihtes & & A & + & & \\
\hline on halgum gebedum & & P & + & & \\
\hline godes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline wid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline of đæs engles handum & um \(>\) ae & P & + & y & \\
\hline mete & & O & + & & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline hit & & O & + & & \\
\hline man & & S & + & & \\
\hline godes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline he loseph & & S & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline purh pæs engles hiw & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline Mid hwylcre byldu & \(u>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline to godes temple & & P & + & & \\
\hline ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline pa ansyne para sacerda & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline for sceame & & P & + & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline He & & S & + & & \\
\hline hy_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline him & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline on oðer land_. & \(x>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on pære ilcan nihte & & P & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline him & & O & + & & \\
\hline godes encgel & & S & + & & \\
\hline on slæpe & & P & + & & \\
\hline to him & & \(\mathrm{P}(-\mathrm{rev})\) & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline pu & & S & + & & \\
\hline Davides cynnes & & C & + & & \\
\hline binne gemæccan & & O & + & & \\
\hline hyre geeacnung & & S & + & & \\
\hline of đam halgan gaste & \(\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{x}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline sunu & & O & + & & \\
\hline ðæs nama & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline hælend & & C & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline his folc & & O & + & & \\
\hline fram heora synnu[m] & & P & + & & \\
\hline He pa loseph & & S & + & & \\
\hline of đam slæpe & & P & + & & \\
\hline gode pancode [and] Marian sæde [and] pam fæmnum, (...) pa fægran gesyhðe pæs engles [and] đa frofre para worda & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{en} \\
& \mathrm{an}>\mathrm{ae} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline mid hyre & & P & + & & \\
\hline Ic & & S & + & & \\
\hline be facnes & e \(>\) aes & O & + & y & \\
\hline æfter pisu[m] & & P & + & & \\
\hline mycel hlysa & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pam folce & & P & + & & \\
\hline Maria & & S & + & & \\
\hline sume dæge & & A & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline pa pegnas pæs temples & & S & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline to đam biscope [and] to pam heahsacerdum & um \(>\) on & P & + & y & \\
\hline to him & & P & + & & \\
\hline đu & & S & + & & \\
\hline us & & O & + & & \\
\hline pine gemænunge & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline clænre fæmnan & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{re}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \text { an }>\mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
\] & S & + & y & \\
\hline pe godes englas & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline hy & & O & & & \\
\hline culfran & & S & + & & \\
\hline on pam te[m]ple & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline on godes & & P & + & & \\
\hline seo & & S & & & \\
\hline ðu & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre on & & P-rev & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline He đа losep & & S & + & & \\
\hline hine & & O & + & & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline pa biscopas [and] đa heahsacerdas & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline on unarimdu[m] godcundre geryna & \(\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{ae}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline be đære ealdan æ & & P & + & & \\
\hline hio & & S & + & & \\
\hline fram eallum bam synnum & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline bæt folc & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi & & O-ref & + & & \\
\hline Hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline hyre mildse [and] heora wohnyssa forgyfennyssa & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a>a e \\
& a>e \\
& a>e
\end{aligned}
\] & O & + & y & \\
\hline hi & & S & + & & \\
\hline bæs unrihtes & & O & + & & \\
\hline hy & & O & + & & \\
\hline hi [and] eall pæt folc [and] đa sacerdas & & S & + & & \\
\hline hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline to losepes hame & & P & + & & \\
\hline mid micclum gefean [and] mid mycelre blisse & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline drihtnes nama & \(a>e\) & S & + & y & \\
\hline on worulda woruld & & P & + & & \\
\hline se & & S & + & & \\
\hline pine halignyssa & \(a>e\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline on eallum Israhela folce & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{um}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{e}>\mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline seo & & S & + & & \\
\hline halige fæmne & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{x}\) & C & + & y & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline of lesses wyrtruman [and] of Davides cynne & an > ae & P & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline drihtnes modor & & C & + & & \\
\hline ures hælendes & \(\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{X}\) & - & + & & Not an NP \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline hordfæt pæs halgan gastes & & C & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline cwen pæs heofonlican cyninges & an > es & C & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline engla hiht ealra manna frofor [and] fultum & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{ra}>\mathrm{re} \\
& \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{e}
\end{aligned}
\] & C & + & y & \\
\hline ealles middaneardes hælo & & S & + & & \\
\hline purh hi_ & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline on pæs woruld & & P & + & & \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline Englas ealle peoda [and] ealle cneorissa, gehwylc & & S & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline mancynnes geleafulra & & & & & \\
\hline mid bisra bletsunga [and] herenyssa & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline beforan pæt prymset| pæs heofonlican deman [and] beforan gesyhðe ealra haligra & & P & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline for eall pis mennisce cynn & & P & + & & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline \(\underline{\text { hi }}\) & \(\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{re}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline us & & O & + & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
milde mundbora [and] \\
bliđe pingere
\end{tabular} & \(a>e\) & C & + & Y & \\
\hline to đam heofonlican mægn-brymme & an \(>\mathrm{e}\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline we & & S & + & & \\
\hline heo & & S & + & & \\
\hline æt hire & & P & + & & \\
\hline pa[m] deoran sunu & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{an}>\mathrm{e} \\
& \mathrm{u}>\mathrm{ae}
\end{aligned}
\] & P & + & y & \\
\hline heo & & S & \(+\) & & \\
\hline to urum drihtne & \(u m>e\) & P & + & y & \\
\hline he & & S & + & & \\
\hline us & & O & + & & \\
\hline [and] ece eadignysse & \(\mathrm{e}>\mathrm{ae}\) & O & + & y & \\
\hline a butan ende & & P & + & & \\
\hline God & & S & + & & \\
\hline us & & O & + & & \\
\hline to đam & & P & + & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```
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