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Summary

Title I: Aurora kinase and FGFR3 inhibition results in significant apoptosis in molecular subgroups of multiple myeloma

In our pre-clinical study we examined the role of Aurora kinase and FGFR3 inhibition in MM using a small molecule inhibitor A1014907 which induced aneuploidy in MM cell lines at low nanomolar doses. However, A1014907 induced more pronounced and dose dependent apoptosis in cell lines with t(4;14) translocation. Translocation t(4;14) is observed in about 15% of patients with MM leading to constitutive activation of FGFR3 in two-thirds of these patients. Further investigation of the mechanism of action of A1014907 revealed potent FGFR3 pathway inhibition only in the sensitive cell lines. Thus, our results show that aurora kinase inhibition causes cell cycle arrest and aneuploidy with minimal apoptosis whereas inhibiting both aurora kinase and FGFR3 activity induced potent apoptosis in MM cells. This study evaluates the role of simultaneous inhibition of Aurora Kinases and FGFR3 pathway which are both important deregulated pathways in MM patients; inducing potent apoptosis.

Title II: Natural history of multiple myeloma with de novo del(17p)

Our clinical study involved comparing the outcomes of 310 newly diagnosed MM patients with del(17p) detected by FISH to patients with high-risk translocations (HRT) (n = 79) and standard-risk (SR) cytogenetics (n = 541). The median progression-free survival (PFS) following initial therapy for the three groups was 21.1, 22, and 30.1 months, respectively (P = 0.437- del(17p) vs. HRT); the median overall survival (OS) was 47.3, 79.1, and 109.8 months, respectively, (P = 0.007- del(17p) vs. HRT). PFS and OS for patients with relative loss of 17p (n = 21) were comparable to other patients with del(17p). The PFS was similar between the del(17p) and HRT groups when stratified for age, ISS stage or treatment. The OS of del(17p) and HRT groups were similar in presence of advanced age, ISS III stage or if patients did not receive a proteasome-inhibitor containing induction. ISS III stage, high LDH and HRT, but not the percentage of cells with del(17p) predicted shorter OS in patients with del(17p).
This study identified factors predictive of OS in patients with de-novo MM and also found no difference in outcomes for patients with relative loss of del17p.

**Title III: Impact of acquired del(17p) in multiple myeloma**

We next studied the impact of acquiring del17p after the diagnosis of MM as the role of late acquisition of this deletion is not well described. The disease characteristics at diagnosis predicting for acquired del(17p) and its overall impact on patient survival is also not well studied.

We compared 76 patients with MM who were del(17p) negative at diagnosis, and acquired it later, and compared them to 152 control MM patients who did not acquire del(17p) at a comparable timepoint. Patients acquired del(17p) at a median of 35.6 months (range, 4.6-116.1) from diagnosis of MM, after a median of 2 (range, 1-10) lines of treatment. Patients with acquired del(17p) when compared to controls, had shorter median progression free survival (PFS) [23.0 vs. 30.1 months; P=0.032] and overall survival (OS) [68.2 vs. 106.1 months; P<0.001] from diagnosis.

The median PFS and OS after the detection of del(17p) were 5.4 months and 18.1 months respectively. High lactate dehydrogenase level [OR-3.69 (95% CI, 1.11-12.24)], and presence of t(4;14) [OR-2.66 (95% CI, 1.09-6.48)] or any high risk translocation [OR-2.23 (95% CI, 1.00-4.95)] at diagnosis predicted for acquisition of del(17p). High PC proliferative rate predicted shorter OS from detection of del(17p) [hazard ratio - 2.28 (95% CI, 1.31-3.96) (P=0.004).

Our study shows that acquisition of del(17p) is an important molecular event associated with reduction in OS in MM. Certain baseline factors may predict acquisition of del(17p). This needs validation in prospective datasets.
Background

Multiple Myeloma (MM)

MM is a monoclonal plasma cell (PC) proliferation disorder characterised by accumulation of terminally differentiated mature B cells which presents with disease-related features including anaemia, kidney insufficiency, bone lesions and hypercalcemia[1].

It is the 14th most common malignancy and the second most common hematological malignancy that accounts for 1 to 2% of all cancers in the United States and has an annual incidence of approximately 4-5 per 100,000 in the developed world and the mortality of 4.1/100,000/year [2, 3]. It is more common in the population over 60 years of age with about 10% population under 50 and 2% under 40 years at diagnosis. The median age at diagnosis is 69, and the median age at death is 75. The estimated new cases in the United States were 30,770 in 2018 with approximately 12,700 deaths secondary to the disease accounting for 2.1 percent of all cancer-related mortality. Encouragingly the death rates were falling by 0.5% each year between 2006-2015 with 5-year relative survival percent at 53 in 2015 compared to 26.3% in 1975[2]. The prevalence of MM IN US was estimated at 124,733 in 2015[2].

Treatment options have significantly improved for patients with MM. Newer drug combinations have improved overall survival (OS) for these patients. It is still an incurable disease with likely disease relapse for most patients. Better treatment options need to be explored to minimize toxicity, improve tolerability and ideally normalize lifespan of the patients to non-affected population. This would need better understanding of the disease biology and treatment alternatives.
Chromosomal abnormalities

Broadly, molecular cytogenetic aberrations are classified as primary and secondary chromosomal abnormalities. This classification considers presence of primary chromosomal abnormalities in MGUS disease stage. Usually, primary chromosomal abnormalities are either trisomies or translocations. Trisomies usually involve odd number chromosomes including 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15 forming an aneuploid / hyperdiploid karyotype. Primary chromosomal translocations involve locus for heavy chain immunoglobulin IGH On chromosome 14 along with associated chromosome partners which most frequently are located on chromosome 4, 6,11,16 and 20[6]. Other less frequent Primary chromosomal abnormalities involve IgH translocations involve unusual partner chromosomes and simultaneous trisomies with IgH translocations [6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FISH abnormality</th>
<th>Approximate frequency (%)</th>
<th>Chromosome affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trisomy(No IgH abnormality)</strong></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Odd number chromosomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IgH translocations without trisomies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(11;14)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CCND1(Cyclin D1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(4;14)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FGFR3 and MMSET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;16)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C-MAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;20)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>MAFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other IgH translocations with uncommon partner chromosomes</td>
<td>&lt;1 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IgH translocations with trisomies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(11;14)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>CCND1(Cyclin D1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(4;14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>FGFR3 and MMSET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(14;16)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C-MAF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Important high risk cytogenetic aberrations

T(4;14)(9p16;q32)

t(4:14) is the second most common IGH translocation seen in 10 to 15% of MM patients and about 25% of MM cell lines representing breakpoints on chromosome 4 within the proximity of FGFR3 and MMSET exon 5[9,10]. It is also noted to be less frequent in MGUS versus SMM and MM with a higher incidence in the patient less than 66 years of age[11].

T(4;14) is undetectable using conventional cytogenetics (g banding) all spectral karyotyping due to the telomeric location of translocation and is detected by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction(RT-PCR) or iFISH[12]. Interestingly, 30% of patients with t(4:14) lack FGFR3 expression. However, this patient population maintains a poor prognosis highlighting that likely dysregulation of both the genes is implicated in the poor overall survival[10, 13].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>% t(4;14), (N= total patients)</th>
<th>PFS t(4;14) - months</th>
<th>PFS all patients-months</th>
<th>OS t(4;14)-months</th>
<th>OS all patients-months</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al.[14]</td>
<td>15 (120)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>HDT and ASCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertz et al.[15]</td>
<td>26(153)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>HDT and ASCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al.[16]</td>
<td>6(40)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>Bortezomib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Miguel et al.[17]</td>
<td>4(682) +/- t(14;16)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>Not reached (Low-risk group only)</td>
<td>Not reached (low-risk group only)</td>
<td>Bortezomib/ Melphalan/ Prednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reece et al.[18]</td>
<td>28 (102)</td>
<td>8.0 (at progression)</td>
<td>7.1 (at progression)</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>Len/Dex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aver-Loiseau et al.[19]</td>
<td>14 (184)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Len/Dex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan H et al.[20]</td>
<td>75 (75)</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HDT/ Chemo alone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Highlights some important studies evaluating outcomes of patients with t(4;14). Adapted from Kalff et al.[21]
Patients respond poorly to treatment as noted in above treatment with t(4;14). No specific targeted inhibitors have been successfully trialled in this patient cohort. Our study aimed at identifying the role of pFGFR3 inhibitor showed significant apoptosis in MM cell lines with t(4;14) and activated FGFR3 pathway.

**Del17p/Monosomy 17**

Loss of functional tumor suppressor gene p53 is an unfavorable high-risk genetic aberration in MM identified as deletion (del17p13.1/del17p) on FISH or monosomy 17 on karyotype [22]. These are considered subsequent events given increasing incidence with disease progression/relapse [23]. Mutations in the chromosomal region are rare (3%); however, increase with disease progression [24, 25]. Almost certainly, these mutations are associated with del17p portending an adverse OS [25-27]. Del17p13.1 is frequent and have been reported in about 11% of patients with newly diagnosed Multiple Myeloma [12, 28, 29]. MM patients with del17p have a poor prognostic outcome despite the use of newer therapeutic combinations [30].

P53(17p13.1) regulates major pathways of cellular homeostasis in stressed or damaged cells[31]. Its functional loss exists in more than 50 % of human cancer [31-34]. Functional loss of p53 in MM is associated with a poor disease outcome and frequent uncommon MM presentations including EMD and PCL [35-37]. Although some treatment response has been reported with Bortezomib based regimens[24, 25], patients with Del17p usually achieve unsatisfactory survival benefits to novel agent treatment and/or Stem cell transplantation (SCT), when compared to the MM patients without Del17p[30, 38-40].
Objectives

I) Identification of molecular target for aurora kinases and t(4;14) translocation with fgfr3 specificity in MM

- Simultaneous Aurora kinase and pFGFR3 inhibition by A1014907 produces potent cytotoxicity in t(4;14) MM cell lines
- The target specificity for pFGFR3 with Aurora kinase inhibition is further seen in CLL cell lines with activated pFGFR3 pathway opening possible targets in other malignancies as single agent or in combination

II) Study of natural history of 17p loss in MM:

IIa) De-novo

- Del17p at diagnosis is associated with poorer overall survival(OS) compared to high-risk translocation(HRT) and standard risk(SR) cytogenetics group
- High LDH, ISS III and HRT predict for shorter OS in patients with del17p patients

IIb) Acquired

- Acquisition of del(17p) by FISH is associated with reduced OS in patients with multiple myeloma
- High LDH and high-risk translocations at diagnosis predicted acquisition of del(17p) and high plasma cell proliferative rate predicted reduced overall survival from detection of del(17p).
Objective I - Methods and results

Cytotoxicity and anti-proliferative effects of A1014907 (Tables 3 & 4)

We first assessed the cytotoxic and anti-proliferative effects of A1014907 on MM cells. For this, we treated a panel of MM cell lines with various doses of A1014907 for 72 hrs and examined the cytotoxicity induced by the drug. We observed that cell lines OPM2, KMS11, KMS18, KMS28BM, H929, KAS6, LP1, OPM1 and KMS34 were significantly more sensitive to A1014907 treatment when compared to the other cell lines examined including MM1S, MM1R, U266, Dox40, RPMI8226, KP6, ANBL6 and ALMC1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t(4;14) translocation</th>
<th>IC 50</th>
<th>Non t(4;14) Translocation</th>
<th>IC 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kas6</td>
<td>10nM</td>
<td>MM1S</td>
<td>&gt;500nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 11</td>
<td>10-50nM</td>
<td>MM1R</td>
<td>&gt;500nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 18</td>
<td>500nM</td>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>&gt; 1000nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 28</td>
<td>500nM</td>
<td>RPMI</td>
<td>&gt; 1000nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H929</td>
<td>10nM</td>
<td>U266</td>
<td>&gt; 1000nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM2</td>
<td>&gt;500nM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3: Cytotoxic effects of A1014907 in MM cell lines*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t(4;14) translocation</th>
<th>IC 50</th>
<th>Non t(4;14) Translocation</th>
<th>IC 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kas6</td>
<td>10nM</td>
<td>MM1S</td>
<td>&gt; 500nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 11</td>
<td>10nM</td>
<td>MM1R</td>
<td>10 - 500nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 18</td>
<td>10 - 50nM</td>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>100nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS 28</td>
<td>10 - 50nM</td>
<td>RPMI</td>
<td>&gt; 500nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H929</td>
<td>10nM</td>
<td>U266</td>
<td>50nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM2</td>
<td>500nM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Anti-proliferative effects of A1014907 in MM cell lines

**A1014907 induces dose and time dependent apoptosis in t(4;14) compared to non-t(4;14) - (Annexin/Pi staining) (Fig 1-2)**

Given that A1014907 induced more potent cell death in cells with t(4;14) translocation than in cells lacking this translocation, we next performed assays to confirm if the cell death occurred through induction of apoptosis. We used representative cell lines from each group with KMS11[t(4;14)] and MM1S[non t(4;14)] cell lines and treated them with indicated concentrations of A1014907 for various time points. We observed that A1014907 induced potent apoptotic cell death in KMS11. However, MM1S cells showed resistance to A1014907 treatment with minimal increase in apoptosis.
Fig 1: A101 causes dose and time dependent increased apoptosis in KMS 11 (Annexin/Pi)
Fig 2: A101 causes limited dose and time dependent apoptosis in MM1S.
**A1014907 inhibits Aurora Kinases A and B and proteins involved in cell cycle machinery (Fig 3) and specifically pFGFR3 in t(4;14) cell lines(Fig 4a & b)**

We next examined the mechanism of action of A1014907. We treated KMS18 and MM1S cells with indicated concentrations of A1014907 and examined the expression levels of aurora kinases and proteins involved in cell cycle progression. Down regulation of phospho Aurora A and phospho Histone H3, a substrate of Aurora B and a biomarker of mitosis in all the cell lines was noted (Fig 3). Surprisingly, we also observed that A1014907 caused down regulation of total Aurora A in both cell lines.

Our results so far suggest that A1014907 inhibits proliferation in all MM cell lines through the down regulation of Auroras A and B. However, A1014907 induced significant cell death only in MM cells with t(4;14) translocation which is associated with increased FGFR3 expression[41]. We therefore hypothesized that A1014907, in addition to being an aurora kinase inhibitor was also able to inhibit FGFR3 causing increased apoptosis in cells with t(4;14) translocation. We performed a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) array using KMS11 cells left untreated(fig 4a) or treated(fig 4b) with indicated dose of A1014907. The results showed down regulation of pFGFR3 by A1014907 (fig 18.b).

![Figure 4a: KMS11 48hrs control](image1)

![Figure 4b: KMS11 48hrs 100nM A1014907](image2)

Positive control; negative control
**Fig 3:** A1014907 causes decrease in cell cycle specific Aurora kinase A and B in MM1S and KMS18 along with reduction in cell cycle proteins.
Objective IIa) - Methods and results

We reviewed the Dysproteinaemia database at Mayo Clinic, Rochester and electronic medical records, to identify patients with MM who underwent FISH testing between 2004 and August 2016 and demonstrated del (17p) at diagnosis or within 6 months of the diagnosis of MM. De novo del(17p) was defined as del(17p13.1), which includes the p53 gene region, and/or monosomy for chromosome 17. Relative loss of 17p was defined as del(17p) in presence of trisomy or tetrasomy involving chromosome 17.

Three hundred and ten (310) patients satisfied the inclusion criteria. For each patient with del(17p), we identified two patients with MM matched for age and time period of diagnosis, which did not have del(17p) by FISH within 6 months from diagnosis and satisfied the other inclusion criteria. We subdivided the control group (n = 620) into a high-risk translocation (HRT) group [with t(4;14), t(14;16) or t(14;20)] (n = 79) and a standard-risk (SR) group (n=541) for comparing the outcomes. The data cut-off date was 31 January 2018.

Impact on OS in presence of del17p (Fig 5)

To examine the impact of FISH abnormalities, we categorised the entire patient cohort (cases and controls) into the following groups: cases were divided into del(17p) alone (n = 135), del(17p) with hyperdiploidy (n = 100), del(17p) with high risk translocations(HRT) (irrespective of presence of hyperdiploidy) (n = 75), and controls were divided into HRT (irrespective of presence of hyperdiploidy) (n = 79) and standard risk(SR) patients (n = 541).

The median PFS in these five groups were 22.4 months (95% CI, 17.8–27.0), 27.3 months (95% CI, 19.6–34.5), 14.7 months (95% CI, 9.8–17.9), 22.0 months (95% CI, 16.7–26.8), and 30.1 months (95% CI, 27.5–31.5), respectively, (P < 0.001)(Fig 5a). The median OS in the above five groups were 51.4 months (95% CI, 42.1–62.8), 60.3 months (95% CI, 47.8–89.6), 29.5 months (95% CI, 20.0–38.1), 79.1 months (95% CI, 60.5, not reached and 109.8 months (95% CI, 99.9-125.6), respectively (P < 0.001) (fig 5b).
Simultaneous hyperdiploidy improved PFS (P = 0.007) and trended toward longer OS (P = 0.272) in del(17p) patients. Coexistent HRT worsened the OS (P = 0.004).

Fig 5: a) PFS b) OS of MM patients subdivided into subgroup identified by unique cytogenetically defined outcomes
Predictors of PFS and OS (Table 5)

A univariate analysis with several disease associated patient characteristics was performed. This revealed higher ISS stage, elevated LDH and HRT with a p-value <0.1 and hence were further analysed using multivariable Cox proportional hazards model using stepwise backward elimination. The results of our final model are shown in table 5.

Table 5: Effect of baseline characteristics on survival measures in patients with de novo del(17p) (n=310)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Progression-free survival (PFS)</th>
<th>Overall survival (OS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value for univariable analysis</td>
<td>HR (95% CI for multivariable analysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS III vs I/II stage (93 vs. 154)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.92 (1.34-2.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated vs normal LDH (49 vs. 157)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.71 (1.04-2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk translocation vs. no high-risk translocation (75 vs. 235)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.44 (0.97-2.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR-Hazard ratio, HRD- Hyperdiploidy, LDH-Lactate dehydrogenase, NI- Not included in analysis, PC- plasma cell, and PI- proteasome inhibitor. The final multivariable model included 174 patients for PFS and 191 patients for OS for whom the parameters were available.
Objective IIb) - Methods and results

Methods and results

We reviewed the Dysproteinaemia database at Mayo Clinic, MN and identified 80 MM patients who acquired del(17p), defined as first FISH test negative for del(17p) with detection of del(17p) on a follow-up FISH test. 76 patients had relapsed or refractory MM and two had del17p reported prior stem cell transplant (SCT) after achieving some response to prior therapy line. 2 patients, other patients had incidental del17p after induction therapy prior SCT work up. These four patients were excluded to maintain homogeneity of analysed group and data on 76 patients was used in our study. FISH tests were performed for all patients between 2004 and August 2016.

We identified 152 patients without del17p as control cohort, using two controls for each of our test cohort subject. The controls were diagnosed at overlapping period with the test cohort patient, without a notable del17p.

Lower hemoglobin (median- 10.8 g/dL vs. 11.3 g/dL; P=0.035), higher prevalence of t(4;14) among cases (15.8% vs. 6.6%; P=0.033) and increased percentage of high lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) [13.7% (7/51) vs. 4.1% (5/121); P=0.043] were noted in acquired del17p patients compared to controls.

OS of acquired del17p patients

The OS of acquired cohort was 68.2 months (95% CI, 50.8-74.8), the control group 106.1 months (95% CI, 101.6-119.4) respectively (P<0.001) and 47.3 months (95% CI, 42.7-55.9) with no significant difference between the acquired vs de novo group (p=0.06) (Fig 6a). OS landmarked from time of detection of del17p in acquired del17p when compared to control patients was 18.1 months (95% CI, 11.9-25) and 56.2 months (95% CI, 44.4-79.7) respectively (P<0.001)(Fig 6b).
**Fig 6a:** OS from diagnosis in the 3 groups of de novo, acquired del17p and control cohort

**Fig 6b:** OS landmarked from detection of del17p in acquired cohort compared to control cohort

---

*P<0.001; **P=0.063

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>De novo del(17p)</th>
<th>Acquired del(17p)</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>310 272 200 147 88 59 35 30 23 8 3</td>
<td>152 151 147 140 128 115 91 83 63 44 28</td>
<td>76 75 66 58 48 40 30 25 21 13 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquired del(17p)</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76 47 28 22 14 11 5 3 3 2 1</td>
<td>152 137 107 89 62 38 34 17 9 1 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.001
Predictors of acquisition of del17p

Predictors at diagnosis of acquisition of del(17p) were identified by comparing patient characteristics in the acquired group to controls and calculating odds ratio (OR). We report a high LDH at baseline \([OR - 3.69 (95\%\ CI, 1.11-12.24)]\), presence of t(4;14) \([OR - 2.66 (95\%\ CI, 1.09-6.48)]\) and presence of an HRT \([OR - 2.23 (95\%\ CI, 1.00-4.95)]\) to predict for acquiring del17p in MM patients.
Discussion

Increasing evidence supports a significant impact of cytogenetic abnormalities affecting the treatment response and survival outcomes for MM patients. These abnormalities are classified as primary abnormalities which are common in MGUS stage and secondary abnormalities that increase in frequency with more active disease. The disease has 50% of patients with IgH translocations (non-hyperdiploid group), and the non-hyperdiploid abnormalities unique to the sub-clonal population of MMPC makes the disease biology complex and a clinical challenge for treatment.

We studied the role of A1014907 in MM cell lines and patient cells to identify its role in Aurora kinase inhibition. The cell cycle inhibition secondary to Aurora kinase inhibition was validated by our experiments however, we observed a dramatic difference in the sensitivity of t(4;14) containing MM cell lines when compared with the non-t(4;14) cell lines. This is unlikely to be explained merely by aurora kinase inhibition. Chang H et al. previously demonstrated 75% FGFR3 expression in t(4;14) MM patients[42].

We hypothesized a role of simultaneous inhibition of FGFR3 expression and aurora kinases in t(4;14) cell lines. Our results show that A1014907 inhibits aurora kinases and FGFR3. In cells lacking FGFR3 expression, A1014907 caused cell cycle arrest in a majority of them but failed to induce marked apoptosis. In cells expressing wild type FGFR3 or those with activating mutations in FGFR3 (Y373C or G382D), A1014907 caused potent cell cycle arrest besides pronounced apoptosis.

Our pre-clinical projects highlight the natural history of MM with del17p in both, de-novo and acquired setting. Low Hb, high LDH level and PC proliferation rate, were noted in the del17p cohort. Similar observations were made by Fonseca et al. in a smaller patient cohort. Compared to a previous report of 110 patients, we observe lesser del17p with ISS III disease in our patient sample (our cohort: 36% vs 45% in the study cohort) [43]. We also show the relevance of simultaneous HRT or high LDH with del17p group, which predict for OS. This agrees with the use of these parameters when risk stratifying MM patients using revised international staging
We next studied the natural history of 76 MM patients who acquired del17p after diagnosis while on treatment. The OS of this group was naturally shorter which we estimated at 18 months. These patients have been shown to do poorly in several studies with median PFS (ranging between 3.4-7.6 months) [44, 45].

An important finding of our study is a high OR for acquired del17p when certain specific parameters including, high LDH at diagnosis and presence of HRTs, especially, t(4;14). High LDH is usually a marker of aggressivity in the context of cancer biology. In solid tumours including renal cell carcinoma, melanoma and prostate carcinoma, high LDH portends poor OS and is a marker of metastatic disease[46]. High LDH levels are usually found at diagnosis and are predictive of disease relapse in hematological malignancies[47]. In MM increased LDH predicts for aggressive disease forms and reduced survival post chemotherapy[48, 49]. Hence a high LDH at diagnosis is likely a marker of advancing disease.

A previous sequential analysis of patients has shown that presence of HRTs at baseline is associated with detection of more copy number abnormalities on follow-up and this was postulated to be due to higher genomic instability in these patients.[50]. Our study is complimentary to this study as del17p is secondary cytogenetic aberration and we have shown increased odds of acquisition of del17p with pre-existing HRT’s. The high risk sub-group of MM patients need more effective treatment alternatives. By identifying a simultaneous Aurora kinase and activated FGFR3 target, we have tried to address this issue for t(4;14) patients which we have also shown to improve the probability of acquiring del17p in MM patients.
Conclusions

Targeted treatment approach has significant advantages in the treatment of cancers as compared to conventional chemotherapy. Activated FGFR3 pathway is critical signalling pathway in MM cell. A1014907 is a targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor with significant cytotoxic activity in t(4;14) cell lines. Patients with activated FGFR3 pathway are likely to benefit from A1014907.

Del17p is a poor prognosis chromosomal aberration in MM with poor OS outcomes. ISS III stage, high LDH and high-risk translocations predict for shorter OS in patients with del(17p).

del17p patients showed increased association with high baseline LDH, t(4;14) and high-risk translocations, highlighting an important association of secondary translocations in MM. This would significantly impact response to treatment as noted in our study; hence the need for newer therapeutic targets with the ability to overcome the poor prognostic impact of high-risk translocations and del17p
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