

Abstract

According binding legislation, explanatory reports attached to legislative bills is integral part of legislative process in the Czech republic for a long time, dramatically exceeding duration of autonomic Czech state. However, law sciences aren't interested in them a lot, equally to whole legislative process. Unfortunately, most of legislative actors do the same.

At first, this thesis briefly describes legislation related to explanatory reports. It considers both efficient legislation and valid legislation with efficiency planed from 1st January 2000, but also legislation in Legislative government guideline, which is binding only for legislative bill submitted by government.

Coming out from assumption, that writing explanatory report isn't the purpose by itself, the thesis define several functions, which explanatory reports should fulfill. Only in comparison with to these fuctions, we could say how explanatory report should like and eventually how it definitely souldn't.

Base on these defined functions, the most common lacks of explanatory notes, which makes fullfiling the function more difficult or even impossible. These lacks is presented at real documents (bills) from legislative process – passed bills, rejected bills and also bill going through the various parts of legislative process right now is represented.

From fact that quality of explanatory reports is not always excellent results the existence of quite large space for improving the situation. The author of the thesis suggest several changes, which would lead to the significant improving according to his subjective opinion. Except that, these changes are able to be implement quickly and easily to the real legislative process.

Nevertheless, it is necessary to say that most decisive circumstance having potencial to be the biggest positive for quality of explanatory reports, would be transformation of main legislative actors' attitude, in the direction of large awareness of enormous importance of explanatory notes.