

MASTER'S EXAMINER REPORT

GPS – Geopolitical Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Thesis title:	Immigration waves and the policy-response of the U.S.: A Study of Mexican Immigrants in the U.S.
Name of Student:	Bingyu Jin
Referee (incl. titles):	PhDr. Malvína Krausz Hladká, Ph.D.
Report Due Date:	13.6.2021

Comments of the referee on the thesis highlights and shortcomings (following the four numbered aspects of your assessment indicated below).

1) Contribution and argument:

The extent, depth, and implications of immigration waves and US policy towards Mexican immigrants is an important and very interesting topic to analyse. US government weakness in dealing with immigration issue are also a relevant theme for many political scientists. The contribution of the author, in this context, seems very beneficial and up to date.

2) Theoretical and methodological framework:

Thesis starts with theoretical research framework and literature overview where author prove her attempts to in-depth description, theoretical deliberation and analysis of the topic. Author has formulated the research questions and hypothesis clearly and they were analyzed well and critically. She is aware of some weak points in her work that would hopefully bring her forward in her future research activities. More frequent consultations would be also beneficiary to the progress and final status of the proposed thesis.

Author has demonstrated knowledge of the research field and the conclusions of her Master's Thesis have showed author's ability to work with all kind of informative sources and literature but mainly her proficiency in this topic.

3) Sources and literature:

Bingyu Jin has demonstrated knowledge of the research field and her thesis have showed author's ability to work with all kind of informative sources and literature. Author quotes very extensive, relevant and recent literature which shows that the author has a profound knowledge of the literature, stats, data, documents, internet resources concerning the topic.

4) Manuscript form and structure:

Technical structure of the text is transparent: it starts with theoretical part, theoretical deliberation and analysis of the topic and present the methodology and structure that will be used. Topic itself is analysed in 3 more chapters. The division in chapters is logical, all the chapters are divided into paragraphs in a correct manner. The argumentation is structured correctly and really easy to

follow, so the thesis fulfills all the criteria set to M.A. program according to the standards of the Institute of Political Science.

5) Quality of presentation

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Contribution (research quality, analysis, and conclusions) (max. 40 points)</i>	33
<i>Theoretical and methodological framework (max. 25 points)</i>	23
<i>Sources and literature (max. 10 points)</i>	8
<i>Manuscript form and structure (max. 15 points)</i>	13
<i>Quality of presentation (grammar, style, coherence) (max. 10 points)</i>	9
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points)	86
The proposed grade (A-B-C-D-E-F)	B

Suggested questions for the defence are:

I (do not) recommend the thesis for final defence.

Referee Signature

Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Quality standard
91 – 100	A	= outstanding (high honor)
81 – 90	B	= superior (honor)
71 – 80	C	= good
61 – 70	D	= satisfactory
51 – 60	E	= low pass at a margin of failure
0 – 50	F	= failing. The thesis is not recommended for defence.